



12-1-2012

2012 Global Go To Think Tanks Index Report

James G. McGann

University of Pennsylvania, jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu

THINK TANKS AND CIVIL SOCIETIES PROGRAM © 2012, TTCSP All rights reserved.

Except for short quotes, no part of this document and presentation may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program.

All requests, questions and comments should be sent to:

James G. McGann, Ph.D.

Director

Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program

International Relations Program

University of Pennsylvania

Philadelphia, PA USA 19104-6305

This paper is posted at Scholarly Commons. http://repository.upenn.edu/think_tanks/7

For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.

2012 Global Go To Think Tanks Index Report

Keywords

think tank index, think tank rankings, go to think tank index, public policy research organizations

Disciplines

International and Area Studies

Comments

THINK TANKS AND CIVIL SOCIETIES PROGRAM © 2012, TTCSP All rights reserved.

Except for short quotes, no part of this document and presentation may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program.

All requests, questions and comments should be sent to:

James G. McGann, Ph.D.
Director
Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program
International Relations Program
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA USA 19104-6305

2012
THINK TANKS AND CIVIL SOCIETIES PROGRAM
INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS PROGRAM
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

Final Release - 1.28.13



**2012 GLOBAL GO TO THINK TANKS REPORT
AND POLICY ADVICE**

James G. McGann, Ph.D.
Director
Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA USA

Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program

International Relations Program

University of Pennsylvania

635 Williams Hall

255 S. 36th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19104-6305

Direct Line: (215) 746-2928

Main Office: (215) 898-0452

Email: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu

January 24, 2013

Dear Friend and Colleague,

I am pleased to announce the launch of the 2012 Global Go To Think Tanks Rankings and associated trends report. The report can be accessed at the Program's website: <http://www.gotothinktank.com>.

The report's publication is the culmination of an eight-month process involving the support of think tanks and experts from every region of the world. Despite the scope of the project, the rankings are conducted without the benefit of a full time staff or budget, instead made possible with the assistance of a group of research interns from the University of Pennsylvania and other colleges in the Philadelphia area.

Below is a snapshot of more than 1950 experts and peer institutions that participated in this year's ranking process:

- ◆ 793 expert panelists for all the regional and functional research categories
- ◆ 150 journalists and scholars with expertise spanning politics, think tanks, and civil society
- ◆ 55 current and former directors of think tank programs and networks
- ◆ 40 public and private donors
- ◆ 100s of think tanks
- ◆ 25-30 intergovernmental organizations
- ◆ 120 academic institutions

Further, I am pleased to highlight the increasingly global reach of the rankings, as reflected in the following statistics regarding this year's report:

- ♦ 6,603 think tanks from 182 countries were invited to participate in the process
- ♦ 1,100 plus individuals from 120 countries participated in the nominations and rankings process
- ♦ Think tanks were nominated, and subsequently ranked, in 38 categories
- ♦ A total of 1647 think tanks were nominated
- ♦ A total of well over 57,000 nominations were received across the 38 categories
- ♦ 171 think tanks were nominated as the world's top think tank

Each year, our team works to improve the quality of the data collected and the results generated by the ranking process. A summary of the changes we have over the last six years is highlighted below. This and last year's reviews of the process sparked an upheaval of certain aspects of past year's methodology. In an effort to make the rankings process more democratic and fair, 2012's process, like 2011's, began with a call for nominations of think tanks across the thirty eight categories, not relying (as the process had in the past) on Expert Panels for these nominations. At each stage of the process, Expert Panel members were then consulted to verify the legitimacy of each round's results. By using the Expert Panels as barometers for the data's accuracy rather than as generators of data, we intend to make the process more democratic and eliminate the influence of any potential biases on the rankings.

In addition, this year's process featured the modification and development of additional categories relative to last year's ranking. The 2012 Global Go-To Think Tank Index includes both new and altered categories in comparison to the 2011 index. The new categories are: "Top Energy and Resource Policy Think Tanks," "Top Education Policy Think Tanks," "Best For-Profit Think Tanks," "Best Independent Think Tanks" (financially, structurally, and legally independent of government and political parties), "Best Advocacy Campaign," and "Best Policy Study/Report Produced by a Think Tank 2011-2012." "Top Think Tanks in Asia" was split into "Top Think Tanks in China, India, Japan, and the Republic of Korea" and "Top Think Tanks in Asia (excluding China, India, Japan, and the Republic of Korea)." During the rankings process, the regional categories for the Americas were rearranged into "Top Think Tanks in South America," "Top Think Tanks in Central America and the Caribbean" and "Top Think Tanks in Mexico and Canada." Unfortunately, because of the smaller number of think tanks and less developed civil society in Central America and the Caribbean, that category was ultimately recombined with the South American category. Finally, "Best New Think Tank" is now determined by the past twenty-four months, rather than the past eighteen.

Still, efforts to streamline and perfect the process are ongoing, and as we are continually seeking ways to enhance the process, I welcome your comments and suggestions on how it might be improved. I further encourage you to provide the names and contact information for prospective Expert Panel members you might suggest for the functional areas and geographic regions covered by the rankings.

As you may know, our initial effort to generate a ranking of the world's leading think tanks was a response to a series of requests from donors and journalists to produce national, regional, and

international lists of the preeminent think tanks. Our ongoing efforts are now defined by our drive to understand the role of think tanks in governments and civil societies globally, so that we can *help to improve their capacity and performance*.

Our rankings process, as in the past, relies on a shared definition of public policy research, analysis, and engagement organizations, a detailed set of selection criteria, and an increasingly open and transparent nomination and selection process. Particularly with this year's improvements, we believe this process has tremendous utility for think tanks, policymakers, donors, and the public. We are especially pleased with increased participation from developing countries especially in Africa, Latin America and, which allows us to bring special attention to the important work they are doing, often under a set of circumstances with a set of obstacles all their own.

Finally, I would like to thank you again for all your support over the years, and for helping make the Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program a continued success.

Sincerely,

James McGann, Ph.D.
Assistant Director, International Relations Program
Director, Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program
University of Pennsylvania

2012 THINK TANKS RANKINGS CATEGORIES

- I. **Top Think Tanks in the World**
 - Think Tank of the Year 2012 – Top Think Tank in the World
 - Top Think Tanks – Worldwide (Non-US)
 - Top Think Tanks – Worldwide (US and Non-US)

- II. **Top Think Tanks by Region**
 - Top Think Tanks in the United States
 - Top Think Tanks in Western Europe
 - Top Think Tanks in Central and Eastern Europe
 - Top Think Tanks in Asia (excluding China, India, Japan, and the Republic of Korea)
 - Top Think Tanks in China, India, Japan and the Republic of Korea
 - Top Think Tanks in Sub-Saharan Africa
 - Top Think Tanks in Mexico and Canada
 - Top Think Tanks in South and Central America
 - Top Think Tanks in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA)

- III. **Top Think Tanks by Area of Research**
 - Top Security and International Affairs Think Tanks
 - Top International Development Think Tanks
 - Top Environment Think Tanks
 - Top Health Policy Think Tanks
 - Top Domestic Economic Policy Think Tanks
 - Top International Economic Policy Think Tanks
 - Top Social Policy Think Tanks
 - Top Science and Technology Think Tanks
 - Top Transparency and Good Governance Think Tanks
 - Top Energy and Resource Policy Think Tanks
 - Top Education Policy Think Tanks (Unranked)

- IV. **Top Think Tanks by Special Achievement**
 - Think Tanks with the Most Innovative Policy Ideas/Proposals
 - Best New Think Tanks

- Think Tanks with Outstanding Policy-Oriented Public Programs
- Think Tanks with the Best Use of the Internet or Social Media
- Think Tanks with the Best Use of the Media (Print or Electronic)
- Think Tanks with the Best External Relations/Public Engagement Programs
- Think Tanks with the Most Significant Impact on Public Policy
- Best University Affiliated Think Tanks
- Best Government Affiliated Think Tanks
- Best Think Tanks with Political Party Affiliation
- Best For-Profit Think Tanks (Unranked)
- Top Think Tanks with Annual Operating Budgets of Less Than \$5 Million USD
- Best Advocacy Campaign
- Best Policy Study/Report Produced by a Think Tank 2011-2012

The Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program

“Helping to bridge the gap between knowledge and policy”

Researching the trends and challenges facing
think tanks, policymakers, and policy-oriented
civil society groups...

Sustaining, strengthening, and building
capacity for think tanks around the world...

Maintaining the largest, most comprehensive
global database of think tanks...

All requests, questions, and comments
should be directed to:
James G. McGann, Ph.D.
Director

Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program
International Relations Program
University of Pennsylvania
Telephone: (215) 746-2928
Email: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu

2012 Copyright: All rights reserved. No part of this report may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the University of Pennsylvania, Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | 10 |
| INTRODUCTION | 11 |
| GLOBAL TRENDS AND TRANSITIONS | 14 |
| METHODOLOGY AND TIMELINE | 24 |
| 2012 THINK TANK STATISTICS | 32 |
| 2012 GLOBAL GO TO RANKINGS RESULTS | 41 |
| TOP THINK TANKS IN THE WORLD | 41 |
| TOP THINK TANKS BY REGION | 49 |
| TOP THINK TANKS BY AREA OF RESEARCH | 66 |
| TOP THINK TANKS BY SPECIAL ACHIEVEMENT | 87 |
| APPENDICES | 108 |
| BACKGROUND ON THE THINK TANKS AND CIVIL SOCIETIES PROGRAM | 126 |
| THE RESEARCH TEAM | 127 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I want to express my deep appreciation to all the scholars, think tank directors, journalists, policymakers, and donors from every region of the world who took time out of their busy schedules to participate in the 2012 think tank rankings. A special note of thanks goes to the over 1950 peer and experts functional area and regional specialists who served on the thirty eight Expert Panels that provided valuable insights and assistance as I compiled the final 2012 Global Go To Think Tank Index.

I also want to thank my research interns for their help in collecting and analyzing the data for this research project. Special thanks goes to Andrew Metrick, Project Lead for the 2012 Global Go To Think Tank Report, who assembled and edited this year's report. He and his team put in long hours to help improve the quality and appearance of the 2012 Global Go To Think Tank Index Report. Finally, I want to thank the United Nations and the United Nations University for hosting the briefing and global launch of the 2012 Global Go To Think Tank Index in New York again this year, and the World Bank for hosting the Washington, D.C. briefing and launch.

INTRODUCTION

The 2012 Global Go To Think Tank Rankings marks the sixth edition of the annual report. As in previous years, the Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program (TTCSP) at the University of Pennsylvania's International Relations Program has relied on the indexing criteria and process developed by James G. McGann for ranking think tanks around the world. The Program's Rankings remain the first and most comprehensive ranking of the world's top think tanks, and are based on an annual global peer and expert survey of over 1950 scholars, policymakers, journalists, and regional and subject area experts. Given the rigor and scope of the process, the Rankings produced have been described as the insider's guide to the global marketplace of ideas. As part of the process, all 6,603 think tanks in the world were contacted and encouraged to participate in the nominations process as well as a group of over 9,000 journalists, policymakers, public and private donors, think tanks, and regional and subject area specialists. This group of peers and experts were surveyed to nominate and then rank public policy research centers of excellence for 2012. Additionally, the Program has assembled a set of Expert Panels, comprising over 750 expert members from around the world, spanning the political spectrum and drawing from a wide variety of disciplines and sectors, to help in the refining and validation of the lists generated. These experts were consulted at every stage in the process. The nominations and rankings were based on the detailed set of criteria that included the think tanks' production of rigorous and relevant research, publications, and programs in one or more substantive areas of research (see "Methodology and Timeline" for the complete set of nomination and ranking criteria, and "Appendices" for a detailed explication of the rankings process).

The 2006 Global Go To Think Tank Rankings, the first of what would become the annual reports, was launched in response to the numerous requests Dr. McGann received from journalists, donors, scholars, and government officials to provide a list of the leading think tanks globally and in particular countries or regions of the world. In its initial conception, the project sought to identify some of the leading think tanks globally in an effort to respond to these inquiries in a systematic fashion. Since 2006, the process has been refined and streamlined, and the number and scope of the institutions and individuals involved has grown steadily.

The Rankings' primary objective is to recognize some of the world's leading public policy think tanks and highlight the notable contributions these institutions are making to governments and civil societies worldwide. Over the course of just six years, the "Think Tank Index" has become the authoritative source for the top public policy institutions around the world. This year we are fortunate enough to be launching the Report at the United Nations University and at the World Bank in Washington, D.C. Last year, over two hundred diplomats, foundations, and think tanks attended the launch at the UN, and upwards of two hundred print and electronic media outlets featured the Report's findings.

This Report is comprised of the results of 2012's Rankings. Also included in the Report is a summary of the major trends and issues with respect to think tanks worldwide, as they have been identified through the annual survey of think tanks and the Program's interviews with the staff of think tanks and civil society organizations in every region of the world.

Each year, we attempt to respond systematically to the comments and suggestions we receive regarding how we might improve the nomination and ranking process. This year, the considerable

time we devoted to this response spurred a number of significant changes in the process. As in the 2011 process, this year's Rankings relied on an open nominations process, followed by a review of this process by Expert Panels. We have a continuing commitment to increasing the quality and representativeness of the Index each and every year we conduct the survey.

In addition, this year's Rankings feature a number of new or modified categories. The 2012 Global Go-To Think Tank Index includes several new categories and several categories that have been altered from the 2011 index. The new categories are: "Top Energy and Resource Policy Think Tanks", "Top Education Policy Think Tanks", "Best For-Profit Think Tanks", "Best Independent Think Tanks (financially, structurally, and legally independent of government and political parties)", "Best Advocacy Campaign" and "Best Policy Study/Report Produced by a Think Tank 2011-2012." "Top Think Tanks in Asia" was split into "Top Think Tanks in China, India, Japan and the Republic of Korea" and "Top Think Tanks in Asia (excluding China, India, Japan, and the Republic of Korea)" The regional categories for the Americas were rearranged into "Top Think Tanks in South America," "Top Think Tanks in Central America and the Caribbean" and "Top Think Tanks in Mexico and Canada." Finally, "Best New Think Tank" is now determined by the past 24, previously 18, months.

Though this year's process has enjoyed the improvements outlined above, I would be remiss were I to fail to mention a few qualifications. As in previous years – and despite the work done to mitigate this issue this year – the Rankings continue to underrepresent certain regions within the "Top Think Tanks – Worldwide" category. This likely is related to the relatively small number of think tanks in developing countries, their underdeveloped capacity, and the limited resources available to these institutions. It is also a function of the reality that more than sixty percent of the world's think tanks are based in Europe and North America. Unfortunately, there are simply more and better-funded think tanks in the G7 countries. In addition, the historically dominant role these countries have played in world politics and the influence they traditionally exert over political, economic, and social thinking contributes to the prominence of their think tanks. That said, I would like to direct your attention to the regional, research area, and special achievement categories, which together might provide a fuller picture of the work of think tanks globally. The playing field is being leveled, however, by advances in information-based technologies which are becoming cheaper and more powerful, thus enabling think tanks in the Global South to have greater national, regional, and global reach.

Though each year our best efforts have gone into generating a rigorous, inclusive, and objective process, we recognize the impossibility of entirely ridding the selection of the world's top think tanks from bias. The potential personal, regional, ideological, and disciplinary biases of those consulted throughout the process may, inevitably, have crept into the Rankings. While some have suggested what we move to a small group of experts or a panel of journalists to make the selections, we are unwavering in our commitment to a rigorous, yet open and democratic process. We are confident in the quality and integrity of the Rankings given the safeguards in place, which include the open and transparent nature of the process, the creation and provision of a detailed set of nomination and selection criteria, and the annually increasing participation of think tanks and experts from every region of the world, and particularly from historically underrepresented countries in the Southern hemisphere. The most significant change has been the on-going involvement the expert panelists which has dramatically improved the nominations and ranking process. Together, these measures insulate the nomination and selection process from any significant problems of bias and misrepresentation.

I would like to highlight the exclusion of think tanks based in the United States from the principal global ranking, as the Program believes their inclusion would distort the global ranking given the extraordinary worldwide prominence and preeminence of U.S. think tanks. In so doing, the Rankings are able to further highlight lesser-known think tanks in regions outside of the United States.

We also want underscore that the Global Go-To Think Tank Index is but one measure of a think tank's performance and impact and is designed to be used in conjunction with other metrics to help identify and evaluate think tank centers of excellence in every region of the world.

As a final note, I would like to remind you that the data collection, research, and analysis for this project, as in previous years, was conducted without the benefit of field research, a budget, or a staff, instead relying on a group of interns from the University of Pennsylvania and other colleges in the Philadelphia area.

Despite the limitations of the Rankings, we are confident that the peer nomination and selection process and the work of the international Expert Panels together have enabled us to create the most authoritative list of high performance think tanks in the world.

GLOBAL TRENDS AND TRANSITIONS

“The potential of think tanks to support and sustain democratic governments and civil society is far from exhausted. Today policymakers and civil society throughout the developed and the developing world face the common problem of bringing expert knowledge to bear on government decision-making. The challenge is to harness the vast reservoir of knowledge, information and associational energy that exists in public policy research organizations in every region of the world for public good.”

– James McGann, *Think Tanks and Civil Society Program*
University of Pennsylvania, 2012.

Important Terms And Definitions

- ♦ **Economically Developed Country (EDC):** An industrialized country mainly often found in the Northern Hemisphere.¹
- ♦ **Inter-Governmental Organization (IGO):** International/transnational actors composed of member-countries.
- ♦ **Globalization:** A multifaceted concept that represents the increasing integration of economics, communications, and culture across national boundaries.
- ♦ **Non-Governmental Organization (NGO):** International (transnational) organizations with private memberships.
- ♦ **North:** The economically developed countries (EDCs) including those of Western Europe, the United States and Canada in North America, Japan in Asia, and Australia and New Zealand in Oceania.
- ♦ **South:** The economically less developed countries (LDCs), primarily located in Africa, Asia, and Latin America.

Think Tanks and the Transnationalization of Ideas and Influence

The growth of public policy research organizations, or think tanks, over the last few decades has been nothing less than explosive. Not only have these organizations increased in number, but the scope and impact of their work have also expanded dramatically. This past decade, however, has shown the first marked decrease in the rate of establishment of new think tanks. Despite this recent trend, think tanks continue to increase their role and influence in countries around the world. The potential of think tanks to support and sustain democratic governments and civil societies around the world is far from exhausted. Today policymakers and civil societies throughout the developed and developing world face the common problem of bringing expert knowledge to bear in governmental

¹ All definitions, with the exception of “globalization,” from *International Politics on the World Stage, 12th ed.* by John Rourke (New York: McGraw Hill, 2008).

decision-making. The challenge is to harness the vast reservoir of knowledge, information, and associational energy that exists in public policy research organizations in every region of the world for public good.

The breadth and scope of this reservoir has expanded considerably since the 1990s as these think tanks have responded to the need of policymakers and the general public for information that is useful, reliable, accessible, and understandable. Although this need has been an inherent dynamic of the policymaking process, the forces of globalization have fostered and markedly accelerated the growth of independent think tanks, due to their unique ability to strengthen the research-policy bridge and thus increase the quality and effectiveness of the policymaking process. As a result, think tanks can now be found in most countries of the world. By developing and strengthening ties with other nongovernmental and research organizations via state, regional and international networks, think tanks have solidified their position as integral contributors to the policymaking process. In recognition of the increased role, number, and position of think tanks, as well as the fact that think tanks have received less attention from scholars relative to environment, development, education and social service-oriented NGOs, this report focuses on the role of these institutions, as well as their role in regional and global networks, in order to illustrate the value and utility of think tanks to policymakers and the public at regional and global levels. In addition, the report will explore the major challenges facing this group of policy-oriented non-governmental organizations.

What is a Think Tank?

Think tanks are public-policy research analysis and engagement organizations that generate policy-oriented research, analysis, and advice on domestic and international issues, which enable policymakers and the public to make informed decisions about public policy issues. Think tanks may be affiliated or independent institutions and are structured as permanent bodies, not ad hoc commissions. These institutions often act as a bridge between the academic and policymaking communities and between states and civil society, serving in the public interest as independent voices that translate applied and basic research into a language and form that is understandable, reliable, and accessible for policymakers and the public (Think Tanks and Policy Advice in the US, Routledge 2007 and in *The Fifth Estate: The Role of Think Tanks in Domestic and Foreign Policy in the US* forthcoming).

United States

There are 1,823 think tanks in the U.S.

- ♦ 90.5% created since 1951
- ♦ Number has more than doubled since 1980
- ♦ 31% created between 1981-1990---End of Post WWII consensus & Challenge to the Welfare State
- ♦ Most of the think tanks that have come into existence in the U.S. since the 1970s are specialized
- ♦ About one quarter (or approximately 400) of the think tanks are located in Washington, DC

- ♦ More than half are university affiliated
- ♦ Number of new think tanks declined over the last 11 years

Reasons for the Growth of Think Tanks in the 20th and 21st Centuries

- ♦ Information and technological revolution
- ♦ End of national governments' monopoly on information
- ♦ Increasing complexity and technical nature of policy problems
- ♦ Increasing size of government
- ♦ Crisis of confidence in governments and elected officials
- ♦ Globalization and the growth of state and non-state actors
- ♦ Need for timely and concise information and analysis “in the right form at the right time and in the right hands” (McGann 2007)

Reasons for the Recent Decline in Number of Think Tanks Established Worldwide

- ♦ Political and regulatory environment hostile to think tanks and NGOs in many countries
- ♦ Decrease funding for policy research by public and private donors
- ♦ Public and private donors move to short term, project specific funding instead of investing in ideas and institutions
- ♦ Underdeveloped institutional capacity and the inability to adapt to change
- ♦ Increased competition from advocacy organizations, for-profit consulting firms, law firms and 24/7 electronic media
- ♦ Institutions have served their purpose and have discontinued their operations

Graph 1.0 Number of Think Tanks Established




Table 2.0a Number of Think Tanks Established by Period

| Period | 1900-1910 | 1911-1920 | 1921-1930 | 1931-1940 | 1941-1950 | 1951-1960 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| # Think Tanks Established | 18 | 25 | 42 | 42 | 120 | 213 |
| # Think Tanks Est. per Year (Avg.) | 1.6 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 12 | 21.3 |

Table 2.0b Number of Think Tanks Established by Period

| Period | 1961-1970 | 1971-1980 | 1981-1990 | 1991-2000 | 2001-2007 | TOTAL Responses |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| # Think Tanks Established | 367 | 612 | 1001 | 1422 | 486 | 4348 |
| # Think Tanks Est. per Year (Avg.) | 36.7 | 61.2 | 100.1 | 142.2 | 69.4 | NA |

2012 Trends in Think Tanks and Policy Advice

Major Findings

Think tanks have become more active players in domestic and foreign policy in the last two decades and are now present in 182 countries. While think tanks continue to be concentrated in the U.S. and Western Europe (sixty percent of all think tanks are located in these two regions), several factors are driving the growth of think tanks in other areas of the world.

Mega Trends

- I. Globalization:** Knowledge is increasingly an international commodity that spans physical and metaphysical boundaries. Globalization is unquestionably one of the most profound and powerful trends that is continually shaping and driving the flow of technology, resources, knowledge, people, values, and ideas. The growth of the knowledge-based economy has led to competition among knowledge-based institutions worldwide for the best ideas and people. New technologies have leveled the global playing field in a way that challenges established powers and elite institutions around the world. There are now 2 billion people who have access to the Internet in every region of the world.
- II. Growth of International Actors:** The proliferation of state and non-state actors, such as NGOs and IGOs, have created a demand and provided the support and space for the establishment of think tanks around the world.
- III. Democratization and Decentralization of Power:** The democratic movements around the world have helped fuel the demand for independent analysis of public policies and the creation of a new set of non-governmental think tanks.
- IV. Demands for Independent Information and Analysis:** Over the last 15 years the state's monopoly and control of information has rapidly diminished due to technological advances and democratic movements. These movements have created a space for knowledge-based institutions like think tanks to provide independent information and analysis.
- V. Increased Complexity of Policy Issues:** Governments are faced with a range of highly technical and complex problems that require a degree of expertise that require policymakers to seek outside advice. At the same time, governments are under increased pressure to improve economic and bureaucratic performance. Governments have historically turned to think tanks for evidence and advice on these matters but that may be changing.
- VI. New Tech Revolution and the Rate of Technological Change:** Better, cheaper, and faster technology has made it much easier for individuals and small organizations to operate and publicize their work. The Internet, social networks, the cloud and handheld computers have made it much easier for individuals and organizations with limited financial resources to conduct research and disseminate the findings globally. Organizations use websites and social networks to share their agendas and findings. Many of these approaches operate outside of the traditional academic review process, peer-reviewed publications, and communication channels. These changes have dramatically increased the timeliness, reach, and impact of research and commentary that is not associated with institutions, but rather conducted by individuals and social movements. The combination of globalization and constant technological innovation has empowered these individuals in way that is posing a major challenge to established knowledge based institutions like universities and think tanks.
- VII. Increasingly Open Debate about Government Decision-Making:** Interest groups and public citizens are less deferential to allowing governments to monopolize decision making, which has put a premium on more open discussion of issues and policy options. Key players are less likely to accept government information and rationales, creating a demand for more

independent sources of analysis. Global policy and advocacy networks have increased the power and influence of these organizations.

- VIII. Global “Hacktivist,” Anarchist and Populist Movements:** During the last 12 months a seemingly unrelated set of movements have sprung up across the globe that have one thing in common they are all anti-establishment at their core. The groups that have emerged in countries as diverse as India, Greece, Egypt, Tunisia, China, Bahrain, Chile and the United States can be described as a wave of global populism. These movements have gathered the young, unemployed, underemployed and disaffected into often leaderless mass movements that are challenging the established political and economic order. Fueled by the economic crisis, political paralysis and policy gridlock of many regional and national governments, these popular movements have surfaced to give voice to the public dissatisfaction with the corruption, abuses of civil liberties and general ineffectiveness and indecisiveness of their leaders. It is also in response to a credibility and representation gap where citizens feel that they have been marginalized and elected leaders are out of touch with their needs and interests. Finally, what Wikileaks, the Arab Awakening, Take Back America, the Tea Party, the Jasmine Revolution and anti-immigrant groups in France and the anti-corruption groups in China and India also have in common is that they are enhanced and enabled by a brand of 60s style community organizing techniques that are coupled with powerful new technologies such as social networks (Facebook, Twitter, YouTube), cell phones, handheld computers (iPod, iPhone, iPad, etc.) and new media (Al Jazeera, the Huffington Post).
- IX. Global Structural Adjustment:** There is a major global structural adjustment that is turning the world upside down. The economically developed countries are now in crisis while many developing countries are experiencing real, sustained economic growth. Intense competition from developing countries and emerging economies has placed intense competitive pressures in the manufacturing, service and high tech sectors traditionally dominated by the countries in the North. The current economic crisis is also creating challenges to the liberal economic order and plunged the traditional economic powers of the world into fiscal and monetary crisis. The continuing economic crisis and associated fiscal constraints have brought into focus the deep-seated structural and fiscal problems that policymakers have historically deferred from one administration to the next. These problems have now begun to surface in the domestic political landscape and failure to deal with them 10 or 15 years ago has left policymakers with a host of difficult choices. Making these tough decisions doesn’t come easy for politicians who must face reelection. The reality is that the standard of living in the North will decline, entitlements will have to be cut and taxes raised; no politician wants to bring this message to the electorate.
- X. Economic Crisis and Political Paralysis:** The dynamic growth and competitive challenge posed by the emerging economies in the Global South require that the countries in the North trim their budgets and government programs to bring them in line with current global economic competition, changing demographics, mounting sovereign debt and little or no economic growth. The inability of the Economically Developed Countries (EDCs) to compete with the low-wages and low benefit cost in developing countries and emerging economies will make it difficult for the countries in the North to emerge from the economic crisis in the short term. The prospects for meaningful economic growth even in the long term appear to be dim unless significant structural adjustments occur, new technologies are employed and productivity gains are realized. Making budget cuts and strategic investments (e.g. in science and technology, infrastructure, strategic resources, and education) that will enable the countries in the North to remain competitive in the global economy will require deep cuts in military spending and entitlement programs. Rather than developing a plan to deal with these long-term structural problems, the politicians in the United States, Europe, and Japan have become mired in partisan politics and policy gridlock
- XI. Policy Tsunamis:** Today an increasing number of political, natural and social phenomena at the national level have a global impact. As globalization intensifies these transnational events will

grow in number and intensity and create what I term “Policy Tsunamis.” I use the term ‘tsunami’ because these policy problems will appear on the policy landscape in one country and then grow in size and complexity as they sweep across the globe with devastating consequences. Only those countries that are able to identify, track and analyze these transnational shock waves will be able to respond to them effectively. The current economic crisis, the Arab Spring and Wikileaks caught policymakers and the public off guard. There have always been local events that have had global implications but what is new is the speed and intensity in which these policy issues travel around the globe and rapidly reach a crisis stage.

XII. State vs. Supercharged Individuals and Social Networks: The democratization of politics and information has enabled politics and power to become highly decentralized. The state-centric world order has devolved from states to intergovernmental organizations to non-governmental organizations and now to individuals. Individuals are now empowered by the Internet and social networks and can create loose organizations and networks that can effectively challenge the state. This trend is reflected in supercharged individuals like Osama Bin Laden, Julian Assange, Mohammed Bouazizi in Tunisia and Wael Ghonim in Egypt who shape and influence national and global politics.

Emerging Issues And Trends Facing Think Tanks

There are a number of issues/trends facing think tanks that have not received as much attention in comparison to other NGOs. Outlined below are a few potential areas of inquiry that require additional research and debate:

- I. Dramatic Shifts in Funding Patterns:** National, regional, and local governments have cut their funding for public policy research while corporations and private foundations have limited their grant-making to project-specific support. Foreign donors from Asia, and the oil-rich countries of the Middle East increasingly help fill the funding gap while baby boomers make significant resources available to non-profit institutions through planned giving. This can be a mixed blessing since these donors often have very specialized interests and want to be involved in the projects they support on an ongoing basis. In addition, some private foundations and individual donors have been moving their support away from analysis to activism and from think tanks to advocacy organizations. The recent economic crisis continues to have a negative impact on think tanks that are not considered as critical as social welfare programs. Many institutions are taking a hard look at their programs and a number of think tanks are considering merging with larger, more established institutions.
- II. Increased Specialization:** Specialized institutions and programs are attractive to funders who want to target their dollars at specific problems or issues. This trend toward increased specialization has had a direct impact on the programs, constituencies and funding sources of multi-purpose policy organizations, thereby increasing competition among think tanks simultaneously. It has become increasingly difficult for think tanks to convince prospective funders that their programs are worthy of support. Moreover, increased specialization discourages interdisciplinary responses to complex issues and limits creativity of scholars.
- III. Increased Competition:** Think tanks have embraced specialization as a means of distinguishing themselves from the competition. This branding has taken the form of functional, political and issue specialization that helps market their institution to donors who are increasingly providing project- specific support, to policymakers, and to the

public who is trying to make sense of the crowded marketplace of ideas and institutions. The vast majority of the think tanks that have come into existence in the last 30 years have been focused on a single issue or area of policy research. More recently, think tanks have faced a new competitive threat from consulting firms, law firms, advocacy groups and cable news networks that now directly compete with think tanks for gifts, grants and contracts.

- IV. Influence and Independence:** As think tanks become more visible and influential, some organizations appear to be losing their voice and independence along the way. Managing the tensions associated with relevance, influence and independence is a delicate balancing act that must be carefully managed if think tanks are to maintain their credibility with policymakers and the public.
- V. Outputs vs. Impact:** Historically think tanks have placed a focus on output over impact. How do think tanks measure their impact? For many institutions, it is limited to the number of books and policy briefs produced rather than providing the impetus for new legislation or changes in policy. This issue is further complicated by donors who are increasingly interested in supporting “high impact organizations” and want think tanks to demonstrate their impact on public policy.
- VI. Phantom NGO Think Tanks:** Governments are creating think tanks that are designed to appear to be non-governmental organizations but are in fact arms of the government. Likewise, corporations and individuals have established think tanks to promote their special interests. This trend raises concerns about a lack of transparency and private interest masquerading as public interest.
- VII. Hybrid Organization:** As think tanks have faced new challenges in the societies in which they operate, they have adapted and created hybrid institutions. More and more think tanks are a blend of organizational types (academic research center, consulting group, marketing firm, and media outlet) and the roles of key staff have changed as well. Think tank budgets and staffing patterns now place as much emphasis on policy research as they do on promoting it and the scholars who conduct it. Today, the staff of think tank institutions are comprised of multifaceted individuals who are part scholar, journalist, marketing executive and policy entrepreneur.
- VIII. Impact of the Internet, New Media, Social Networking and the Cloud:** Information no longer translates into power unless it is in the right form at the right time, and it is redefining how think tanks operate. Most think tanks now have websites and conduct policy debates via the Internet. The reality that more and more people get their information from the Internet, traditional and new media, and through social networking sites requires that organizations reexamine how they create, disseminate, and discuss public policy issues. This reality also requires that organizations reconsider the methods they use to reach the constituents they represent and/or the clients they serve and to produce academic-quality research that is understandable and accessible to policymakers and to the public. These dramatic changes have transformed how public policies are analyzed, debated and made and think tanks need to keep pace with these changes or be buried by them.
- IX. Action vs. Ideas:** Non-partisan, multi-purpose organizations are forced to abandon traditional methods of operation, such as dialogue and debate, and consider new methods as funders and other stakeholders in the policy process have grown impatient with conferences, forums and seminars on public policy issues. This trend owes significantly to the influence of donors who now prefer operational, advocacy-oriented programs and institutions over conferences, forums, and seminars. New policy-oriented

institutions have out-marketed traditional policy research establishments that fail to understand and respond to the fundamental changes that have taken place in Washington and other capitals around the world.

- X. Greater Emphasis on External Relations and Marketing Strategies:** The rise of special interests and a need for a quick response to complex policy problems have created a greater demand for policy research and fostered the growth of specialized public policy think tanks. This trend has placed greater emphasis on marketing strategies and external relations that effectively target key constituencies and donors. Think tanks are forced to redesign their “products” so they can be disseminated to a number of strategically selected target audiences for the greatest impact. In this new world, pithy, punchy policy briefs replace books, journals and white papers in order meet the time constraints of policymakers and the demand for a quick response to policy issues and problems. Four hundred-page books and reports now are reduced to a few pages or words if the material is disseminated as a text message or blog. These new realities pose immense challenges for think tanks that must adapt to these changes while not losing the quality and integrity of their research.
- XI. Going Global:** Think tanks are increasingly adopting a global presence, perspective and audience. The economist Joseph Stiglitz commented that think tanks must “scan globally and act locally” if they are to be effective in today’s policy environment. This trend is driven, in part, by transnational issues such as global warming, proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, pandemics and terrorism. In recent years, a number of global think tanks (Carnegie Endowment for International Peace and the International Crisis Group) have emerged. They are designed to address global issues and serve a global audience of policymakers. Numerous think tanks are trying to cultivate stronger ties to counterpart organizations within their region and across the world. The emergence of regional economic alliances due to global interdependence has created a new network of regionally oriented policy institutions. But these organizations tend to be the same ones that find it difficult to compete with the highly specialized organizations that have a clear market niche and constituency.
- XII. Leadership & Managing Tensions:** An unprecedented number of think tank executives are retiring or stepping down. Many of these leaders founded and/or led the think tanks for many years so the impact and transitions are likely to be problematic. Key institutions like RAND, Peterson Institute for International Economics, Urban Institute, and the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars have all seen leadership changes in the last 12 months and others like the Heritage Foundation and the Brookings Institute are planning for a transition to new leadership. The issue is more severe in Africa and Eastern and Central Europe where the senior staffs are very small. Transitions there can have a far greater impact on an organization. The successor generations of leadership – whether of governments or other institutions – is never easy, but nonetheless essential. One bad hire or a rocky transition can cripple an organization for years. Even when the search for an executive is successful, the institution will face a range of challenges that will require careful management by the governing board. New leaders will face new challenges and will be required to deal with the continuing challenge of managing the tensions among influence and independence, rigor and relevance, degree of specialization, breadth and depth in the range of issues they seek to address, between continuity and change in pursuing those issues, and ultimately, having an impact on policy and the lives of the people in the countries in which they operate.

Conclusions

The ongoing challenge for think tanks is to produce timely and accessible policy-oriented research that effectively engages policymakers, the press and the public on the critical issues facing a country. Gone are the days when a think tank could operate with the motto “research it, write it and they will find it.” Today, think tanks must be lean, mean, policy machines. *The Economist* described “good think tanks” as those organizations that are able to combine “intellectual depth, political influence, and flair for publicity, comfortable surroundings, and a streak of eccentricity.” New technologies are being created every day and at an accelerated pace that will continually force think tanks to identify new and faster ways to collect, sort and analyze data and then communicate their findings to a highly segmented target audience using a variety of communication tools. Those who fail to organize and integrate these qualities into their think tank will become known for their “pedantry, irrelevance, obscurity, poverty and conventionality.” Many think tanks have already successfully met this challenge and are now playing a critical role in bridging the divide between the academic and policy communities and policymakers and the public.

For all the reasons outlined in this report, the role and importance of independent think tanks will continue to grow. Clearly there is no shortage of policy challenges at the national, regional and global level. The world we live in can be characterized by what has been described as the *Four Mores*: more issues, more actors, more competition, and more conflict. Over the last 10-15 years, governments and civil society groups have come to rely on think tanks for ideas and advice, and I am confident that this trend will continue well into the future.

GLOBAL THINK TANK INDEX METHODOLOGY AND TIMELINE

The 2012 Global Go To Think Tanks Rankings process continued to employ the structural changes implemented in 2011's process. Last year's process involved a significant restructuring of the nomination and selection process, based on feedback from scholars, think tanks, and experts who had participated in the process over the prior five years. Similarly, this year's process implemented a number of substantive changes, relying on participant feedback and suggestions. Among these changes were the addition of new and modification of old categories, the expansion of the Expert Panels, and the continued effort to include think tanks from traditionally underrepresented regions, particularly those non-OECD countries.²

Before beginning the 2012 nomination and selection process, a team of some thirty interns conducted extensive research to establish a comprehensive universe of the world's known think tanks. Through this process, a universe of 6,603 think tanks was identified – 58 think tanks more than were identified in 2011 – for possible inclusion in the year's study. A team of 40 interns spent approximately 6 weeks updating and verifying the contact information for all the institutions, using web searches, print and web based lists of think tanks and TTCSP institutional profile surveys, which were sent to all 6,603 think tanks in the database.

One month in advance of the launch of the 2012 nomination and selection process, all 6,603 think tanks were sent a letter announcing the beginning of the 2012 process and seeking recommendations both for improving the methodology and for potential Expert Panel members. Previous years' Expert Panel members were further sent a survey that requested that they evaluate the efficacy and validity of the criteria used in previous years for the nomination and selection process.

This year's process and schedule is summarized below:

Round I: Nominations

June – August 2012

A call for nominations is sent to 6,603 think tanks and approximately 6,500 journalists, public and private donors, and policymakers from around the world. These nominations are tabulated by the research team. Institutions with five or more nominations are included in the 2012 selection process. Individuals who served on the 2011 Expert Panel and those who were nominated prior to the beginning of Round I are invited to serve on the 2012 Expert Panel. Experts from every region and functional area being ranked are included on the Expert Panel.

Round II: Peer and Expert Rankings

September – November 2012

Think tanks that received five or more nominations in Round I are included in an electronic ranking survey. A letter announcing the commencement of Round II is emailed to the 6,603 think tanks and 6,500 journalists, public and private donors, and policymakers. Following their completion of the survey, the rankings are tabulated and the list of finalists is generated and prepared for the Expert Panel's review and approval. The list of nominated institutions is then shared with Expert Panel

² The OECD, or Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, is a forum of thirty-four high-income (or developed) countries committed to democracy and the market economy.

members, who are asked to identify any serious errors, omissions, or irregularities in the slate of nominated institutions.

Round III: Expert Panel Selects 2012 Go To Think Tanks November – December 2012

Expert Panel members are asked to review the final rankings and recommend any warranted changes. Their feedback is taken into account, and the Rankings are finalized.

Launch: 2012 Global Go To Think Tank Rankings Announced 17 January 2012

The 2012 Global Go To Think Tank Rankings and Report are announced at the United Nations University in New York City and at the National Press Club in Washington, D.C., as well as through selected organizations in every region of the world.

2012's Nomination Categories:

- I. Top Think Tanks in the World
 - ♦ Think Tank of the Year 2012 – Top Think Tank in the World
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks – Worldwide (Non-US)
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks – Worldwide (US and Non-US)

- II. Top Think Tanks by Region
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks in the United States
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks in Western Europe
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks in Central and Eastern Europe
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks in Asia (excluding China, India, Japan, and the Republic of Korea)
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks in China, India, Japan, and the Republic of Korea
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks in Sub-Saharan Africa
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks in Mexico and Canada³
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks in South and Central America
 - ♦ Top Think Tanks in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA)

- III. Top Think Tanks by Area of Research
 - ♦ Top Security and International Affairs Think Tanks
 - ♦ Top International Development Think Tanks
 - ♦ Top Environment Think Tanks
 - ♦ Top Health Policy Think Tanks
 - ♦ Top Domestic Economic Policy Think Tanks
 - ♦ Top International Economic Policy Think Tanks

³ In previous years, there was a single regional category titled “Top Think Tanks in Latin America.” This year’s rankings split that category into “Top Think Tanks in Mexico and Canada” and “Top Think Tanks in Central and South America.”

- ♦ Top Social Policy Think Tanks
- ♦ Top Science and Technology Think Tanks
- ♦ Top Transparency and Good Governance Think Tanks
- ♦ Top Energy and Resource Policy Think Tanks
- ♦ Top Education Policy Think Tanks (Unranked)

IV. Top Think Tanks by Special Achievement

- ♦ Think Tanks with the Most Innovative Policy Ideas/Proposals
- ♦ Best New Think Tanks (Established in the last 18 months)
- ♦ Think Tanks with Outstanding Policy-Oriented Research Programs
- ♦ Think Tanks with the Best Use of the Internet or Social Media
- ♦ Think Tanks with the Best Use of the Media (Print or Electronic)
- ♦ Think Tanks with the Best External Relations/Public Engagement Programs
- ♦ Think Tanks with the Most Significant on Public Policy
- ♦ Best University Affiliated Think Tanks
- ♦ Best Government Affiliated Think Tanks
- ♦ Best Think Tanks with Political Party Affiliation
- ♦ Best For-Profit Think Tanks (Unranked)
- ♦ Top Think Tanks with Annual Operating Budgets of Less Than \$5 Million USD⁴
- ♦ Best Advocacy Campaign
- ♦ Best Policy Study/Report Produced by a Think Tank 2011-2012

A snapshot of the more than 1950 peer institutions and experts who participated in the 2012 nomination and selection process is provided below:

- ♦ 793 expert panelists for all the regional and functional research categories
- ♦ 150 journalists and scholars with expertise spanning politics, think tanks, and civil society
- ♦ 55 current and former directors of think tank programs and networks
- ♦ 40 public and private donors
- ♦ 150 civil society representatives
- ♦ 100s of think tanks
- ♦ 25-30 intergovernmental organizations
- ♦ 120 academic institutions

Further, I am pleased to highlight the increasingly global reach of the rankings, as reflected in the following statistics regarding this years report:

- ♦ 6,603 think tanks from 182 countries were invited to participate in the process

⁴ This category was added this year in an effort to recognize the work of smaller think tanks that nevertheless produce influential research but might otherwise be edged out the rankings by think tanks with bigger budgets and more manpower.

- ♦ 1,947 individuals from 120 countries participated in the nominations and rankings process
- ♦ Think tanks were nominated, and subsequently ranked, in 38 categories
- ♦ A total of 1,647 think tanks were nominated
- ♦ A total of 57,018 votes were cast over two voting rounds
- ♦ 171 think tanks were nominated as the world's top think tank

To aid participants in each stage of the nomination and selection process, I requested that those persons nominating and ranking think tanks strictly adhere to the provided think tank definition, selection criteria, and think tank assessment tool. Participants were asked to focus on the key aspects of think tanks' performance, such as the rigor and relevance of the research and analysis generated, the scale of their operations, the breadth of the audience the audience they reach, the sources of their funding, the contribution of their research and analysis to public debate and to the policymaking process, and the cumulative impact of their work on public policy. For the sake of the Rankings, think tanks were defined as follows:

Think tanks or public policy research, analysis, and engagement institutions are organizations that generate policy-oriented research, analysis, and advice on domestic and international issues in an effort to enable policymakers and the public to make informed decisions about public policy issues. Think tanks may be affiliated with political parties, governments, interest groups, or private corporations or constituted as independent nongovernmental organizations (NGOs). These institutions often act as a bridge between the academic and policymaking communities, serving the public interest as an independent voice that translates applied and basic research into a language and form that is understandable, reliable, and accessible for policymakers and the public.

Structured as permanent bodies, in contrast with ad hoc commissions or research panels, think tanks devote a substantial portion of their financial and human resources to commissioning and publishing research and policy analysis in the social sciences: political science, economics, public administration, and international affairs. The major outputs of these organizations are books, monographs, reports, policy briefs, conferences, seminars, formal briefings and informal discussions with policymakers, government officials, and key stakeholders.

In an effort to help make sense of this highly diverse set of institutions we have created a typology that takes into consideration the comparative differences in political systems and civil societies around the world. While think tanks may perform many roles in their host societies, not all think tanks do the same things to the same extent. Over the last 85 years, several distinctive organizational forms of think tanks have come into being that differ substantially in terms of their operating styles, their patterns of recruitment, their aspirations to academic standards of objectivity and completeness in research and their engagement of policy makers, the press and the public. We believe, despite these differences that most think tanks tend to fall into the broad categories outlined below.

As mentioned, the participants were further provided with a set of nominations and ranking criteria. They appear below:

- ♦ Direct relationship between organization's efforts in a particular area to a positive change in societal values such as significant changes in quality of life within respective country (amounts of goods and services available to citizens, state of physical and mental health, quality of environment, quality of political rights, access to institutions);
- ♦ Publication of the organization's work by peer reviewed journals, books and other authoritative publications;
- ♦ Ability to retain elite scholars & analysts;
- ♦ Access to elites in the area of policymaking, media and academia;
- ♦ Academic reputation (formal accreditation, citation of think tank, publications by scholars in major academic books, journals, conferences and in other professional publications);
- ♦ Media reputation (number of media appearances, interviews and citations);
- ♦ Reputation with policymakers (name recognition with particular issues, number of briefings and official appointments, policy briefs, legislative testimony delivered);
- ♦ Level of organization's financial resources (endowment, membership fees, annual donations, government and private contracts, earned income);
- ♦ Ability of the organization to meet the demands of those that fund it or to meet the goals of its respective grant-making institution;
- ♦ Overall output of organization (policy proposals, publications, interviews, conferences, staff nominated to official posts);
- ♦ Number of recommendations to policymakers, staff serving advisory roles to policymakers, awards given to scholars;
- ♦ Usefulness of organization's information in advocacy work, preparing legislation or testimony, preparing academic papers or presentations, conducting research or teaching;
- ♦ The organization's ability to produce new knowledge or alternative ideas on policy;
- ♦ Ability to bridge the gap between the academic and policymaking communities;
- ♦ Ability to bridge the gap between policymakers and the public;
- ♦ Ability to include new voices in the policymaking process;
- ♦ Ability of organization to be inscribed within issue and policy networks; Success in challenging the traditional wisdom of policymakers and in generating innovative policy ideas and programs.

Finally, participants were provided with a think tank assessment tool:

Clearly, assessing the impact of think tanks is not an easy endeavor to undertake given the various and conflicting actors, events, and politics involved in the policy making process. Despite the significant challenges in establishing a causal relationship between knowledge and policy, it is necessary for think tanks to understand and effectively respond to the growing chorus of questions being raised by donors, journalists, and the public about the role and influence of think tanks in civil societies and governments around the world. According to the research of Donald Abelson, James McGann, and others, think tanks can utilize various measures to assess the impact of increases in their activities as well as to account for their contributions to the policymaking environment and civil society. McGann's recent (2008) research has focused on developing a comprehensive assessment tool for evaluating a think tank's impact. The impetus for this research, in part, was the apparent confusion that exists about the differences between outputs and impacts. In various studies and surveys that McGann has conducted over the years, researchers and think tanks responded curiously when asked about impact on public policy and how they measure it. The overwhelming response was to provide a list of research outputs (number of books published, conference held, web hits, media appearances, etc.). Outputs, however, are not the only way to measure impact. The metric provided below is designed to serve as a catalyst for a discussion on how to effectively measure the impact of think tanks. It is provided here as background for the think tank ranking process in the hopes that it will help clarify the distinction between outputs and impacts and provide a useful tool as you prepare your rankings.

- ♦ Resource indicators: Ability to recruit and retain leading scholars and analysts; the level, quality, and stability of financial support; proximity and access to decision-makers and other policy elites; a staff with the ability to conduct rigorous research and produce timely and incisive analysis; institutional currency; quality and reliability of networks; and key contacts in the policy academic communities, and the media
- ♦ Utilization indicators: Reputation as a “go-to” organization by media and policy elites in the country; quantity and quality of media appearances and citations, web hits, testimony before legislative and executive bodies; briefings, official appointments, consultation by officials or departments/agencies; books sold; reports distributed; references made to research and analysis in scholarly and popular publications and attendees at conferences and seminars organized
- ♦ Output indicators: Number and quality of: policy proposals and ideas generated; publications produced (books, journal articles, policy briefs, etc.); news interviews conducted; briefings, conferences, and seminars organized; and staff who are nominated to advisory and government posts
- ♦ Impact indicators: Recommendations considered or adopted by policymakers and civil society organizations; issue network centrality; advisory role to political parties, candidates, transition teams; awards granted; publication in or citation of publications in academic journals,

public testimony and the media that influences the policy debate and decision-making; listserv and website dominance; and success in challenging the conventional wisdom and standard operating procedures of bureaucrats and elected officials in the country

Beyond this quantitative assessment, an effective evaluation of impact should also involve NGOs, as well as members of the government and policymakers, to ascertain the degree to which they have utilized the grantee's research output. This participation can be obtained through interviews, surveys, questionnaires, and focus group meetings, utilizing the Outcome Mapping which "moves away from assessing the products of an activity or a program to focus on changes in behaviors and relationships (outcomes) which can lead to changes." Impact can be viewed as positive if it "changes the behavior, relationships, activities, or actions of the people, groups, and organizations with whom a program works directly."

Although this qualitative assessment is essential because it recognizes that policy impact can be successfully achieved even if policy prescriptions are not directly translated into actual policy, we recommend that this assessment should be translated into numerical rankings, thereby allowing comparisons with baseline data for effective monitoring and evaluation in the future.

Participants and Expert Panel members were further asked to adhere to a very simple but important set of ground rules:

- ♦ No self-nominations
- ♦ Adherence to professional conduct by revealing and avoiding any potential conflicts of interest
- ♦ Use of the selection criteria provided as a tool when evaluating organizations and making nominations and selections
- ♦ Provision of the formal, full name of the institution nominated and of the country in which it is based
- ♦ Avoidance of national, political, ideological, and disciplinary bias when making all nominations and selections

The evaluation of these institutions, many of which are by the very nature of their work political, according to a set of universal, concrete, and objective characteristics is perhaps the Rankings' greatest challenge. As in previous years, I have controlled for this difficulty to the greatest extent possible, providing every participant with access to the selection criteria, which were designed to create a common, objective metric for ranking the world's 6,603 think tanks.

Finally, participants in the nomination and selection process are able to rank the top global think tanks, top regional think tanks, area of research, and special achievement categories separately. While this can, at times, lead to apparent discrepancies – in which, for example, an institution is ranking higher globally than it is regionally, or vice versa – the particulate nature of the process is more valuable than these potential discrepancies are detrimental to the reliability of the Rankings. In

keeping participation in the various categories separate, participants are not forced to provide nominations or selections in categories about which they are not knowledgeable. In ranking the various categories separately, I hope to assure a proper and meaningful regional representation in the final Rankings.

2012 THINK TANK STATISTICS


NUMBER OF THINK TANKS IN THE WORLD IN 2012

| Region | No. of TT's | % of Total |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| Africa | 554 | 8.4 |
| Asia | 1194 | 18 |
| Europe | 1836 | 27.8 |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 721 | 11 |
| Middle East and North Africa | 339 | 5.1 |
| North America | 1919 | 29.1 |
| Oceania | 40 | .6 |
| Total | 6603 | 100 |

This chart reflects the number of think tanks in 2012 based on data collected as of July 24, 2012

2012 GLOBAL DISTRIBUTION OF THINK TANKS BY REGION

6,603 THINK TANKS TOTAL



G20 THINK TANKS

| Member | GDP (PPP) | Population | Think Tanks |
|-------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Argentina | \$596 billion | 41,769,726 | 137 |
| Australia | \$882.4 billion | 21,766,711 | 30 |
| Brazil | \$2.172 trillion | 203,766,711 | 82 |
| Canada | \$1.33 trillion | 34,030,589 | 96 |
| China | \$10.09 trillion | 1,336,718,015 | 429 |
| European Union | \$14.82 trillion | 492,387,344 | 1457 |
| France | \$2.145 trillion | 65,312,249 | 177 |
| Germany | \$2.94 trillion | 81,471,834 | 194 |
| India | \$4.06 trillion | 1,189,172,906 | 269 |
| Indonesia | \$1.03 trillion | 245,613,043 | 21 |
| Italy | \$1.774 trillion | 61,016,804 | 107 |
| Japan | \$4.31 trillion | 126,475,664 | 108 |
| Mexico | \$1.567 trillion | 113,724,226 | 60 |
| Republic of Korea | \$1.459 trillion | 48,754,657 | 35 |
| Russia | \$2.223 trillion | 138,739,892 | 122 |
| Saudi Arabia | \$622 billion | 26,131,703 | 4 |
| South Africa | \$524 billion | 40,004,031 | 86 |
| Turkey | \$960.5 billion | 78,785,548 | 27 |
| United Kingdom | \$2.173 trillion | 62,698,362 | 288 |
| United States | \$14.66 trillion | 313,232,044 | 1823 |

COUNTRIES WITH THE LARGEST NUMBER OF THINK TANKS⁵

| | | |
|----|----------------|------|
| 1 | United States | 1823 |
| 2 | China | 429 |
| 3 | United Kingdom | 288 |
| 4 | India | 269 |
| 5 | Germany | 194 |
| 6 | France | 177 |
| 7 | Argentina | 137 |
| 8 | Russia | 122 |
| 9 | Japan | 108 |
| 10 | Italy | 107 |
| 11 | Canada | 96 |
| 12 | South Africa | 86 |
| 13 | Brazil | 82 |
| 14 | Sweden | 77 |
| 15 | Switzerland | 71 |
| 16 | Mexico | 60 |
| 17 | Netherlands | 57 |
| 18 | Spain | 55 |
| 19 | Romania | 54 |
| 19 | Israel | 54 |
| 21 | Kenya | 53 |
| 21 | Belgium | 53 |
| 23 | Taiwan | 52 |
| 24 | Bolivia | 51 |
| 25 | Ukraine | 47 |

⁵ We have not been able to identify any think tanks operating in the following countries: Anguilla, Antigua & Barbuda, Aruba, British Virgin Islands, Brunei, Cayman Islands, Comoros, Djibouti, Equatorial Guinea, French Guiana, Kiribati, Macao, Marshall Islands, Micronesia, Monaco, Montserrat, Myanmar, Nauru, Palau, San Marino, Sao Tome & Principe, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Turkmenistan, Turks And Caicos Islands, Tuvalu, U.S. Virgin Islands, Vanuatu.

COUNTRIES WITH TEN OR MORE THINK TANKS

| AFRICA | | ASIA | | EASTERN EUROPE | | WESTERN EUROPE | |
|---------------|----|-------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|
| South Africa | 86 | China | 429 | Russia | 122 | United Kingdom | 288 |
| Kenya | 53 | India | 269 | Romania | 54 | Germany | 194 |
| Nigeria | 46 | Japan | 108 | Ukraine | 47 | France | 177 |
| Ghana | 36 | Taiwan | 52 | Poland | 41 | Italy | 107 |
| Uganda | 28 | South Korea | 35 | Hungary | 40 | Sweden | 77 |
| Ethiopia | 25 | Bangladesh | 35 | Bulgaria | 33 | Switzerland | 71 |
| Zimbabwe | 24 | Hong Kong | 30 | Czech Republic | 27 | Netherlands | 57 |
| Cameroon | 21 | Indonesia | 21 | Serbia | 24 | Spain | 55 |
| Burkina Faso | 16 | Philippines | 20 | Lithuania | 19 | Belgium | 53 |
| Senegal | 16 | Pakistan | 19 | Slovenia | 19 | Austria | 40 |
| Malawi | 15 | Malaysia | 18 | Slovakia | 18 | Greece | 35 |
| Tanzania | 15 | Armenia | 14 | Estonia | 17 | Denmark | 34 |
| Benin | 14 | Sri Lanka | 14 | Macedonia (FYR) | 16 | Finland | 28 |
| Namibia | 14 | Nepal | 13 | Bosnia & Herzegovina | 13 | Portugal | 21 |
| Botswana | 13 | Azerbaijan | 13 | Belarus | 12 | Norway | 15 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 12 | Georgia | 13 | Latvia | 11 | Ireland | 14 |
| | | Cambodia | 10 | Albania | 10 | | |
| | | Kyrgyzstan | 10 | Croatia | 10 | | |

| LATIN AMERICA | | MIDDLE EAST | | NORTH AMERICA | | OCEANIA | |
|----------------------|-----|--------------------|----|----------------------|------|----------------|----|
| Argentina | 137 | Israel | 54 | United States | 1823 | Australia | 30 |
| Brazil | 82 | Egypt | 34 | Canada | 96 | | |
| Mexico | 60 | Iran | 33 | | | | |
| Bolivia | 51 | Iraq | 29 | | | | |
| Chile | 41 | Palestine | 28 | | | | |
| Colombia | 40 | Turkey | 27 | | | | |
| Costa Rica | 37 | Yemen | 23 | | | | |
| Peru | 32 | Tunisia | 18 | | | | |
| Dominican Republic | 28 | Jordan | 16 | | | | |
| Paraguay | 27 | Lebanon | 12 | | | | |
| Cuba | 19 | Kuwait | 11 | | | | |
| Ecuador | 18 | Morocco | 11 | | | | |
| Uruguay | 17 | | | | | | |
| Venezuela | 17 | | | | | | |
| El Salvador | 13 | | | | | | |
| Panama | 12 | | | | | | |
| Guatemala | 12 | | | | | | |
| Nicaragua | 10 | | | | | | |
| Trinidad & Tobago | 10 | | | | | | |

GLOBAL DISTRIBUTION OF THINK TANKS BY COUNTRY

| AFRICA | | ASIA | | EASTERN EUROPE | | WESTERN EUROPE | |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| Angola | 4 | Afghanistan | 6 | Albania | 10 | Andorra | 1 |
| Benin | 14 | Armenia | 14 | Belarus | 12 | Austria | 40 |
| Botswana | 13 | Azerbaijan | 13 | Bosnia & Herzegovina | 13 | Belgium | 53 |
| Burkina Faso | 16 | Bangladesh | 35 | Bulgaria | 33 | Denmark | 34 |
| Burundi | 5 | Bhutan | 1 | Croatia | 10 | Finland | 28 |
| Cameroon | 21 | Brunei | 0 | Czech Republic | 27 | France | 17 |
| Cape Verde | 2 | Cambodia | 10 | Estonia | 17 | Germany | 19 |
| Central African Republic | 2 | China | 42 | Hungary | 40 | Greece | 35 |
| Chad | 3 | Georgia | 13 | Kosovo | 3 | Iceland | 7 |
| Comoros | 0 | Hong Kong | 30 | Latvia | 11 | Ireland | 14 |
| Congo | 3 | India | 26 | Lithuania | 19 | Italy | 10 |
| Congo, D.R. | 7 | Indonesia | 21 | Macedonia (FYR) | 16 | Liechtenstein | 2 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 12 | Japan | 10 | Moldova | 9 | Luxembourg | 6 |
| Djibouti | 0 | Kazakhstan | 8 | Montenegro | 4 | Malta | 4 |
| Equatorial Guinea | 0 | Kyrgyzstan | 10 | Poland | 41 | Monaco | 0 |
| Eritrea | 5 | Laos | 3 | Romania | 54 | Netherlands | 57 |
| Ethiopia | 25 | Macao | 0 | Russia | 12 | Norway | 15 |
| Gabon | 2 | Malaysia | 18 | Serbia | 24 | Portugal | 21 |
| Gambia | 6 | Maldives | 6 | Slovakia | 18 | San Marino | 0 |
| Ghana | 36 | Mongolia | 7 | Slovenia | 19 | Spain | 55 |
| Guinea | 2 | Myanmar | 0 | Ukraine | 47 | Sweden | 77 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 1 | Nepal | 13 | Region Total | 54 | Switzerland | 71 |
| Kenya | 53 | North Korea | 2 | | | United Kingdom | 28 |
| Lesotho | 4 | Pakistan | 19 | | | Vatican City | 1 |
| Liberia | 3 | Philippines | 20 | | | Region Total | 12 |
| Madagascar | 5 | Singapore | 6 | | | | |
| Malawi | 15 | South Korea | 35 | | | | |
| Mali | 9 | Sri Lanka | 14 | | | | |
| Mauritania | 2 | Taiwan | 52 | | | | |
| Mauritius | 9 | Tajikistan | 7 | | | | |
| Mozambique | 4 | Thailand | 8 | | | | |
| Namibia | 14 | Turkmenistan | 0 | | | | |
| Niger | 4 | Uzbekistan | 8 | | | | |
| Nigeria | 46 | Vietnam | 9 | | | | |
| Rwanda | 7 | Region Total | 11 | | | | |
| Sao Tome & Principe | 0 | | | | | | |
| Senegal | 16 | | | | | | |
| Seychelles | 3 | | | | | | |
| Sierra Leone | 1 | | | | | | |
| Somalia | 6 | | | | | | |
| South Africa | 86 | | | | | | |
| Sudan | 4 | | | | | | |
| Swaziland | 4 | | | | | | |
| Tanzania | 15 | | | | | | |
| Togo | 4 | | | | | | |
| Uganda | 28 | | | | | | |
| Zambia | 9 | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | 24 | | | | | | |
| Region Total | 55 | | | | | | |

| LATIN | | MIDDLE EAST | | NORTH AMERICA | | OCEANIA | |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| Anguilla | 0 | Algeria | 9 | Canada | 96 | Australia | 30 |
| Antigua & Barbuda | 1 | Bahrain | 4 | United States | 18 | Fiji | 1 |
| Argentina | 13 | Cyprus | 6 | Region Total | 19 | Kiribati | 0 |
| Aruba | 0 | Egypt | 34 | | | Marshall Islands | 0 |
| Bahamas | 2 | Iran | 33 | | | Micronesia | 0 |
| Barbados | 7 | Iraq | 29 | | | Nauru | 0 |
| Belize | 4 | Israel | 54 | | | New Zealand | 6 |
| Bermuda | 3 | Jordan | 16 | | | Palau | 0 |
| Bolivia | 51 | Kuwait | 11 | | | Papua N. Guinea | 2 |
| Brazil | 82 | Lebanon | 12 | | | Samoa | 1 |
| British Virgin Islands | 0 | Libya | 1 | | | Solomon Islands | 0 |
| Cayman Islands | 0 | Morocco | 11 | | | Tonga | 0 |
| Chile | 41 | Oman | 2 | | | Tuvalu | 0 |
| Colombia | 40 | Palestine | 28 | | | Vanuatu | 0 |
| Costa Rica | 37 | Qatar | 6 | | | Region Total | 40 |
| Cuba | 18 | Saudi Arabia | 4 | | | | |
| Dominica | 3 | Syria | 5 | | | | |
| Dominican Republic | 28 | Tunisia | 18 | | | | |
| Ecuador | 18 | Turkey | 27 | | | | |
| French Guiana | 0 | U.A.E | 6 | | | | |
| El Salvador | 13 | Yemen | 23 | | | | |
| Grenada | 1 | Region Total | 33 | | | | |
| Guadeloupe | 4 | | | | | | |
| Guatemala | 12 | | | | | | |
| Guyana | 3 | | | | | | |
| Haiti | 2 | | | | | | |
| Honduras | 9 | | | | | | |
| Jamaica | 7 | | | | | | |
| Martinique | 2 | | | | | | |
| Mexico | 60 | | | | | | |
| Montserrat | 0 | | | | | | |
| Nicaragua | 10 | | | | | | |
| Panama | 12 | | | | | | |
| Paraguay | 27 | | | | | | |
| Peru | 32 | | | | | | |
| Puerto Rico | 5 | | | | | | |
| St. Kitts-Nevis | 1 | | | | | | |
| St. Lucia | 2 | | | | | | |
| St. Vincent | 1 | | | | | | |
| Suriname | 2 | | | | | | |
| Trinidad & Tobago | 10 | | | | | | |
| Uruguay | 17 | | | | | | |
| Venezuela | 17 | | | | | | |
| Turks and Caicos Islands | 0 | | | | | | |
| U.S. Virgin Islands | 0 | | | | | | |
| Region Total | 72 | | | | | | |

| |
|-------------------|
| 2012 WORLD |
| 6.603 |

UNITED STATES THINK TANKS BY STATE

(FROM MOST TO FEWEST)

| | |
|------------------------|------------|
| WASHINGTON D.C. | 394 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 176 |
| CALIFORNIA | 170 |
| NEW YORK | 143 |
| VIRGINIA | 106 |
| ILLINOIS | 55 |
| MARYLAND | 50 |
| TEXAS | 47 |
| CONNECTICUT | 46 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 41 |
| NEW JERSEY | 36 |
| FLORIDA | 31 |
| MICHIGAN | 31 |
| COLORADO | 31 |
| GEORGIA | 29 |
| OHIO | 25 |
| MINNESOTA | 23 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 23 |
| WASHINGTON | 23 |
| WISCONSIN | 22 |
| ARIZONA | 21 |
| INDIANA | 21 |
| MAINE | 20 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 20 |
| TENNESSEE | 19 |
| MISSOURI | 18 |
| ALABAMA | 16 |
| KANSAS | 16 |
| OREGON | 16 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 13 |
| HAWAII | 12 |
| KENTUCKY | 11 |
| OKLAHOMA | 11 |
| IOWA | 10 |
| LOUISIANA | 10 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 10 |
| ARKANSAS | 8 |
| MONTANA | 8 |
| NEBRASKA | 7 |

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| NEW MEXICO | 7 |
| UTAH | 7 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 6 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 6 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 5 |
| VERMONT | 5 |
| IDAHO | 4 |
| NEVADA | 4 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 4 |
| ALASKA | 3 |
| DELAWARE | 3 |

2012 GLOBAL GO TO THINK TANKS RANKING RESULTS

I would like to point out that the inclusion of an institution in the universe of leading think tanks does not indicate a seal of approval or endorsement for the institution, its publications, or programs on the part of the Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program. Likewise a failure to be nominated does not necessarily indicate a lack of a quality and effectiveness or poor performance. There are 6,603 think tanks that are doing exceptional work to help bridge the gap between knowledge and policy. This report is no more than an effort to highlight some of the leading think tanks worldwide. The results of the 2012 rankings process are provided below.

| |
|---|
| <p style="text-align: center;">Think Tank of the Year 2012 – Top Think Tank in the World <i>Table #1</i></p> |
|---|

| |
|---|
| <p>1. Brookings Institution (United States)</p> |
|---|

Top 100 Think Tanks – Worldwide (Non-US)
Table #2

| | |
|-----|--|
| 1. | Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 2. | Bruegel (Belgium) |
| 3. | Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) (Sweden) |
| 4. | Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 5. | Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS) (China) |
| 6. | International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 7. | International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (United Kingdom) |
| 8. | Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) (Belgium) |
| 9. | European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR) (United Kingdom) |
| 10. | Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 11. | Center for Economic Policy Research (CEPR) (United Kingdom) |
| 12. | French Institute of International Relations (IFRI) (France) |
| 13. | German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP) (Germany) |
| 14. | Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIIA) (Japan) |
| 15. | Korea Development Institute (KDI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 16. | Konrad Adenauer Foundation (KAS) (Germany) |
| 17. | Carnegie Middle East Center (Lebanon) |
| 18. | Friedrich Ebert Foundation (FES) (Germany) |
| 19. | Carnegie Moscow Center (Russia) |
| 20. | Human Rights Watch (United Kingdom) |
| 21. | Clingendael, Netherlands Institute of International Relations (The Netherlands) |
| 22. | Fundação Getulio Vargas (FGV) (Brazil) |
| 23. | Royal United Services Institute (RUSI) (United Kingdom) |
| 24. | Asian Development Bank Institute (ADBI) (Japan) |
| 25. | China Institutes of Contemporary International Relations (CICIR) (China) |
| 26. | Danish Institute of International Studies (DIIS) (Denmark) |
| 27. | Kiel Institute for World Economy (Germany) |
| 28. | Lowy Institute for International Policy (Australia) |
| 29. | Fraser Institute (Canada) |
| 30. | German Council on Foreign Relations (DGAP) (Germany) |
| 31. | Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (United Kingdom) |
| 32. | Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI) (Canada) |
| 33. | Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBRI) (Brazil) |
| 34. | Centre for European Reform (CER) (United Kingdom) |
| 35. | Barcelona Centre for International Affairs (CIDOB) (Spain) |
| 36. | China Institute of International Studies (CIIS) (China) |
| 37. | African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) (South Africa) |
| 38. | North- South Institute (NSI) (Canada) |

| | |
|-----|--|
| 39. | Consejo Argentino para las Relaciones Internacionales (CARI) (Argentina) |
| 40. | German Development Institute (DIE) (Germany) |
| 41. | Institute of Development Studies (IDS) (United Kingdom) |
| 42. | Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 43. | Turkish Economic and Social Studies Foundation (TESEV) (Turkey) |
| 44. | Norwegian Institute of International Affairs (NUPI) (Norway) |
| 45. | South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) (South Africa) |
| 46. | Institute of World Economy and International Relations (IMEMO, RAS) (Russia) |
| 47. | Institute for Security Studies (ISS) (South Africa) |
| 48. | Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS) (Singapore) |
| 49. | Korea Institute for International Economic Policy (KIEP) (Republic of Korea) |
| 50. | Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO) (Norway) |
| 51. | Centre for Civil Society (CCS) (India) |
| 52. | Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (Indonesia) |
| 53. | IDEAS (United Kingdom) |
| 54. | Institute for Defence Studies and Analyses (IDSA) (India) |
| 55. | RAND Europe (United Kingdom) |
| 56. | Center for Policy Studies at Central European University (CPS-CEU) (Hungary) |
| 57. | Civitas: Institute for the Study of Civil Society (United Kingdom) |
| 58. | Razumkov Centre (Ukraine) |
| 59. | European Union Institute for Security Studies (EUISS) (France) |
| 60. | Polish Institute of International Affairs (PISM) (Poland) |
| 61. | Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies (IDSS) (Singapore) |
| 62. | Center for Social and Economic Research (CASE) (Poland) |
| 63. | Center for Economic and Social Development (CESD) (Azerbaijan) |
| 64. | Shanghai Institutes of International Studies (China) |
| 65. | Demos (United Kingdom) |
| 66. | Al-Ahram Center for Political and Strategic Studies (ACPSS) (Egypt) |
| 67. | Bonn International Center for Conversion (BICC) (Germany) |
| 68. | Association for Liberal Thinking (ALT) (Turkey) |
| 69. | IMANI Center for Policy and Education (Ghana) |
| 70. | Adam Smith Institute (ASI) (United Kingdom) |
| 71. | African Economic Research Consortium (AERC) (Kenya) |
| 72. | Centro Euro Mediterraneo sui Cambiamenti Climatici (CMCC) (Italy) |
| 73. | Centre for Conflict Resolution (CCR) (South Africa) |
| 74. | Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 75. | Timbro (Sweden) |
| 76. | African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 77. | Foundation for International Relations and Foreign Dialogue (FRIDE) (Spain) |
| 78. | Center for Free Enterprise (Republic of Korea) |
| 79. | Development Research Center of the State Council (China) |
| 80. | European Center for International Political Economy (ECIPE) (Belgium) |

| | |
|------|---|
| 81. | The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI) (India) |
| 82. | Council on Foreign and Defense Policy (SVOP) (Russia) |
| 83. | Egmont Institute, The Royal Institute for International Relations (Belgium) |
| 84. | Istituto Bruno Leoni (Italy) |
| 85. | East Asia Institute(EAI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 86. | Open Society Institute (OSI) (Hungary) |
| 87. | European Policy Centre (EPC) (Belgium) |
| 88. | Fundación para el Análisis y los Estudios Sociales (FAES) (Spain) |
| 89. | Heinrich Boll Stiftung (HBS) (Germany) |
| 90. | Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO) (Russia) |
| 91. | African Centre for Technology Studies (ACTS) (Kenya) |
| 92. | Centro de Estudios Públicos (CEP) (Chile) |
| 93. | Institute for International Political Studies (ISPI) (Italy) |
| 94. | Free Market Foundation (FMF) (South Africa) |
| 95. | Policy Exchange (United Kingdom) |
| 96. | Institute for National Security Studies (INSS) (Israel) |
| 97. | Libertad y Desarrollo (Chile) |
| 98. | Centre for Policy Studies (CPS) (United Kingdom) |
| 99. | Bangladesh Institute of Development Studies (BIDS) (Bangladesh) |
| 100. | Fundación Libertad (Argentina) |

Top 150 Think Tanks – Worldwide (US and Non-US)
Table #3

| | |
|-----|---|
| 1. | Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 2. | Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 3. | Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 4. | Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) (Sweden) |
| 5. | Center for Strategic and International Studies (United States) |
| 6. | Council on Foreign Relations (United States) |
| 7. | Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 8. | Bruegel (Belgium) |
| 9. | Rand Corporation (United States) |
| 10. | International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (United Kingdom) |
| 11. | Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (United States) |
| 12. | Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 13. | German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP) (Germany) |
| 14. | International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 15. | Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 16. | Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIJA) (Japan) |
| 17. | Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS) (China) |
| 18. | Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 19. | Cato Institute (United States) |
| 20. | American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 21. | European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR) (United Kingdom) |
| 22. | French Institute of International Relations (IFRI) (France) |
| 23. | Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) (Belgium) |
| 24. | Fundação Getulio Vargas (FGV) (Brazil) |
| 25. | Fraser Institute (Canada) |
| 26. | German Council on Foreign Relations (DGAP) (Germany) |
| 27. | Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR) (United Kingdom) |
| 28. | Clingendael, Netherlands Institute of International Relations (The Netherlands) |
| 29. | Carnegie Moscow Center (Russia) |
| 30. | Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 31. | Centre for European Studies (CES) (Belgium) |
| 32. | Asian Development Bank Institute (Japan) |
| 33. | Konrad Adenauer Foundation (KAS) (Germany) |
| 34. | Institute for World Economy and International Relations (IMEMO, RAS) (Russia) |
| 35. | World Economic Forum (Switzerland) |
| 36. | Carnegie Middle East Center (Lebanon) |
| 37. | Friedrich Ebert Foundation (FES) (Germany) |
| 38. | China Institute of International Studies (CIIS) (China) |

| |
|--|
| 39. Consejo Argentino para las Relaciones Internacionales (CARI) (Argentina) |
| 40. Kiel Institute for World Economy (Germany) |
| 41. Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI) (Canada) |
| 42. Royal United Services Institute (RUSI) (United Kingdom) |
| 43. Danish Institute of International Studies (DIIS) (Denmark) |
| 44. Lowy Institute for International Policy (Australia) |
| 45. IDEAS (United Kingdom) |
| 46. Human Rights Watch (United Kingdom) |
| 47. Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (United Kingdom) |
| 48. China Institutes of Contemporary International Relations (CICIR) (China) |
| 49. Institute of Economic Affairs (United Kingdom) |
| 50. Al-Ahram Center for Political and Strategic Studies (Egypt) |
| 51. Centre for Civil Society (CCS) (India) |
| 52. Civitas: Institute for the Study of Civil Society (United Kingdom) |
| 53. RAND Europe (United Kingdom) |
| 54. Libertad y Desarrollo (Chile) |
| 55. Korea Institute for International Economic Policy (KIEP) (Republic of Korea) |
| 56. Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources Policy Analysis Network (FANRPAN) (South Africa) |
| 57. Bonn International Center for Conversion (BICC) (Germany) |
| 58. Korea Development Institute (KDI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 59. Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO) (Norway) |
| 60. Fundación para el Análisis y los Estudios Sociales (FAES) (Spain) |
| 61. African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) (South Africa) |
| 62. African Economic Research Consortium (AERC) (Kenya) |
| 63. Center for International and Strategic Studies (China) |
| 64. Barcelona Centre for International Affairs (CIDOB) (Spain) |
| 65. East Asia Institute (EAI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 66. Polish Institute of International Affairs (PISM) (Poland) |
| 67. Demos (United Kingdom) |
| 68. Center for Social and Economic Research (CASE) (Poland) |
| 69. European Union Institute for Security Studies (EUISS) (France) |
| 70. Centre For European Reform (CER) (United Kingdom) |
| 71. Open Society Institute (OSI) (Hungary) |
| 72. European Center for International Political Economy (ECIPE) (Belgium) |
| 73. Shanghai Institutes of International Studies (China) |
| 74. Centre for Strategic and International Studies (Indonesia) |
| 75. Foundation for International Relations and Foreign Dialogue (FRIDE) (Spain) |
| 76. Norwegian Institute of International Affairs (NUPI) (Norway) |
| 77. Turkish Economic and Social Studies Foundation (TESEV) (Turkey) |
| 78. Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies (IDSS) (Singapore) |
| 79. Institute of Foreign Affairs and National Security (IFANS) (Republic of Korea) |
| 80. German Development Institute (DIE) (Germany) |

| |
|--|
| 81. South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) (South Africa) |
| 82. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 83. Heinrich Boll Stiftung (HBS) (Germany) |
| 84. Razumkov Center (Ukraine) |
| 85. Timbro (Sweden) |
| 86. Centre for Conflict Resolution (South Africa) |
| 87. Centre for Policy Studies (CPS) (United Kingdom) |
| 88. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS) (Singapore) |
| 89. Association for Liberal Thinking (ALT) (Turkey) |
| 90. Centre for Public Policy Studies (CPPS) (Malaysia) |
| 91. Centro de Estudios Públicos (CEP) (Chile) |
| 92. Istituto Affari Internazionali (IAI) (Italy) |
| 93. African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 94. Institute of Development Studies (IDS) (United Kingdom) |
| 95. Real Instituto Elcano (Elcano Royal Institute) (Spain) |
| 96. Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 97. African Centre for Technology Studies (ACTS) (Kenya) |
| 98. Bangladesh Institute of Development Studies (BIDS) (Bangladesh) |
| 99. Council on Foreign and Defense Policy (SVOP) (Russia) |
| 100. Development Research Center of the State Council (China) |
| 101. F.A. Hayek Foundation (Slovakia) |
| 102. Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO) (Russia) |
| 103. Centre for Independent Studies (CIS) (Australia) |
| 104. Center for Policy Studies at Central European University (CPS-CEU) (Hungary) |
| 105. Institute for Defence Studies and Analysis (IDSA) (India) |
| 106. Center for Free Enterprise (CFE) (Republic of Korea) |
| 107. Fundación Libertad (Argentina) |
| 108. Institute for National Security Studies (INSS) (Israel) |
| 109. Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations (ICRIER) (India) |
| 110. The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI) (India) |
| 111. IMANI Center for Policy and Education (Ghana) |
| 112. Institute for International Policy Studies (IIPS) (Japan) |
| 113. Institute for Security Studies (ISS) (South Africa) |
| 114. Egmont Institute, The Royal Institute for International Relations (Belgium) |
| 115. Observer Research Foundation (India) |
| 116. Policy Exchange (United Kingdom) |
| 117. European Policy Centre (EPC) (Belgium) |
| 118. Fundação Armando Álvares Penteado (Brazil) |
| 119. Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK) (Germany) |
| 120. Free Market Foundation (South Africa) |
| 121. Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA) (Senegal) |
| 122. Lithuanian Free Market Institute (Lithuania) |

| |
|---|
| 123. Istituto Bruno Leoni (Italy) |
| 124. Center for Strategic Studies (SAM) (Azerbaijan) |
| 125. Instituto Ecuatoriano de Economía Política (IEEP) (Ecuador) |
| 126. Friedrich Naumann Foundation for Freedom (FNF) (Germany) |
| 127. Institute for Development and Global Governance (DRI) (France) |
| 128. Centro Euro Mediterraneo sui Cambiamenti Climatici (CMCC) (Italy) |
| 129. Regional Centre for Strategic Studies (RCSS) (Sri Lanka) |
| 130. Centro Divulgación Conocimiento Económico para la Libertad (CEDICE Libertad) (Venezuela) |
| 131. Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM) (Italy) |
| 132. Centro de Estudio de Realidad Económica y Social (CERES) (Uruguay) |
| 133. Economic Research Center (ERC) (Azerbaijan) |
| 134. Organization for Social Science Research in Africa (OSSREA) (Ethiopia) |
| 135. National Institute for Defense Studies (NIDS) (Japan) |
| 136. Economic Policy Research Centre (EPRC) (Uganda) |
| 137. Institute for Economic Research (IFO) (Germany) |
| 138. Institución Futuro (Spain) |
| 139. Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis (KIPPRA) (Kenya) |
| 140. Hellenic Foundation for European and Foreign Policy (ELIAMEP) (Greece) |
| 141. Development Alternatives (India) |
| 142. Finnish Institute of International Affairs (FIIA) (Finland) |
| 143. Centre for Strategic Research and Analysis (CESRAN) (Turkey) |
| 144. Instituto de Estudos Empresariais (IEE) (Brazil) |
| 145. National Institute for Research Advancement (NIRA) (Japan) |
| 146. Centro de Investigaciones Económicas Nacionales (CIEN) (Guatemala) |
| 147. Gulf Research Center (GRC) (Saudi Arabia) |
| 148. Israel-Palestine Center for Research and Information (Israel/Palestine) |
| 149. Instituto Ciencia Política (ICP) (Colombia) |
| 150. Institute of Statistical, Social and Economic Research (Ghana) |

Top Think Tanks By Region

| Top 55 Think Tanks in the United States <i>Table #4</i> | |
|--|--|
| 1. | Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 2. | Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 3. | Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 4. | Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 5. | RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 6. | Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (United States) |
| 7. | American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 8. | Pew Research Center (United States) |
| 9. | Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 10. | Cato Institute (United States) |
| 11. | Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 12. | Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 13. | National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) (United States) |
| 14. | Center for a New American Security (CNAS) (United States) |
| 15. | World Resources Institute (WRI) (United States) |
| 16. | Hoover Institution (United States) |
| 17. | Atlantic Council of the United States (United States) |
| 18. | United States Institute of Peace (USIP) (United States) |
| 19. | Carnegie Council for Ethics in International Affairs (United States) |
| 20. | Earth Institute (United States) |
| 21. | James A. Baker III Institute for Public Policy (United States) |
| 22. | Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs (United States) |
| 23. | Center on Budget and Policy Priorities (CBPP) (United States) |
| 24. | Urban Institute (United States) |
| 25. | Center for International Development (CID) (United States) |
| 26. | Center for Global Development (CGD) (United States) |
| 27. | Open Society Institute (OSI) (United States) |
| 28. | Freedom House (United States) |
| 29. | Human Rights Watch (United States) |
| 30. | Stimson Center (United States) |
| 31. | Hudson Institute (United States) |
| 32. | New America Foundation (United States) |
| 33. | Foreign Policy Research Institute (FPRI) (United States) |
| 34. | Acton Institute (United States) |
| 35. | Worldwatch Institute (United States) |
| 36. | Resources for the Future (RFF) (United States) |

| |
|---|
| 37. Center for Climate and Energy Solutions (C2ES), FNA Pew Center on Global Climate Change (United States) |
| 38. Inter-American Dialogue (United States) |
| 39. German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF) (United States) |
| 40. Center for the National Interest, FNA Nixon Center (United States) |
| 41. Aspen Institute (United States) |
| 42. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) (United States) |
| 43. Reason Foundation (United States) |
| 44. Center for Transatlantic Relations (CTR) (United States) |
| 45. Foundation for Economic Education (FEE) (United States) |
| 46. Manhattan Institute for Policy Research (MI) (United States) |
| 47. Competitive Enterprise Institute (CEI) (United States) |
| 48. Mercatus Center (United States) |
| 49. Economic Policy Institute (EPI) (United States) |
| 50. Pacific Research Institute (United States) |
| 51. Institute for Policy Studies (IPS) (United States) |
| 52. Center for Economic and Policy Research (CEPR) (United States) |
| 53. Demos US (United States) |
| 54. Independent Institute (United States) |
| 55. EastWest Institute (EWI) (United States) |

Top 75 Think Tanks in Western Europe
Table #5

| |
|---|
| 1. Bruegel (Belgium) |
| 2. Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 3. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) (Sweden) |
| 4. International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 5. Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 6. Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 7. International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (United Kingdom) |
| 8. Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) (Belgium) |
| 9. World Economic Forum (Switzerland) |
| 10. Konrad Adenauer Foundation (KAS) (Germany) |
| 11. Friedrich Ebert Foundation (FES) (Germany) |
| 12. French Institute of International Relations (IFRI) (France) |
| 13. European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR) (United Kingdom) |
| 14. German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP) (Germany) |
| 15. Kiel Institute for World Economy (Germany) |
| 16. Clingendael, Netherlands Institute of International Relations (The Netherlands) |
| 17. Carnegie Europe (Belgium) |
| 18. Barcelona Centre for International Affairs (CIDOB) (Spain) |
| 19. German Council on Foreign Relations (DGAP) (Germany) |
| 20. Danish Institute for International Studies (DIIS) (Denmark) |
| 21. Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (United Kingdom) |
| 22. Adam Smith Institute (United Kingdom) |
| 23. Fundación para las Relaciones Internacionales y el Diálogo (FRIDE) (Spain) |
| 24. Center for Economic Policy Research (CEPR) (United Kingdom) |
| 25. Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO) (Norway) |
| 26. Royal United Services Institute (RUSI) (United Kingdom) |
| 27. Civitas: Institute for Study of Civil Society (United Kingdom) |
| 28. Demos (United Kingdom) |
| 29. IDEAS (United Kingdom) |
| 30. Istituto Affari Internazionali (IAI) (Italy) |
| 31. Egmont Institute, Royal Institute for International Relations (Belgium) |
| 32. German Development Institute (DIE) (Germany) |
| 33. Ecologic Institute (Germany) |
| 34. Institute for Public Policy Research (IPPR) (United Kingdom) |
| 35. Hanns Seidel Foundation (Germany) |
| 36. European Policy Center (EPC) (Belgium) |
| 37. Institute of International and European Affairs (IIEA) (Ireland) |
| 38. Centre for European Studies (Belgium) |
| 39. European Union Institute for Security Studies (EUISS) (France) |

| |
|---|
| 40. Norwegian Institute of International Affairs (NUPI) (Norway) |
| 41. Centre d'Etudes et de Recherches Internationales (CERI) (France) |
| 42. Real Instituto Elcano, Elcano Royal Institute (Spain) |
| 43. Centre for European Reform (CER) (United Kingdom) |
| 44. European Centre for Development Policy Management (ECDPM) (The Netherlands) |
| 45. Friedrich Naumann Foundation (Germany) |
| 46. Heinrich Boll Stiftung (HBS) (Germany) |
| 47. Timbro (Sweden) |
| 48. Fondation pour l'Innovation Politique (France) |
| 49. Hayek Institute (Austria) |
| 50. Fabian Society (United Kingdom) |
| 51. Bertelsmann Foundation (Germany) |
| 52. Centre d'Etudes Prospectives et d'Informations Internationales (CEPII) (France) |
| 53. Danish Centre for Political Studies (CEPOS) (Denmark) |
| 54. Friends of Europe (Belgium) |
| 55. Foreign Policy Center (FPC) (Belgium) |
| 56. Policy Network (United Kingdom) |
| 57. Hellenic Foundation for European and Foreign Policy (ELIAMEP) (Greece) |
| 58. German Institute for Economic Research (DIW) (Germany) |
| 59. European Centre for International Political Economy (ECIPE) (Belgium) |
| 60. Fundación para el Análisis y los Estudios Sociales (FAES) (Spain) |
| 61. Institute of Fiscal Studies (United Kingdom) |
| 62. Institut des Relations Internationales et Strategique (IRIS) (France) |
| 63. Center for Policy Studies (United Kingdom) |
| 64. Institute for Government (United Kingdom) |
| 65. Institute for International Political Studies (ISPI) (Italy) |
| 66. Lisbon Council for Economic Competitiveness (Belgium) |
| 67. International Centre for Black Sea Studies (ICBSS) (Greece) |
| 68. Institución Futuro (Spain) |
| 69. Istituto Bruno Leoni (Italy) |
| 70. Policy Exchange (UK) (United Kingdom) |
| 71. Avenir Suisse (Switzerland) |
| 72. Oxford Council on Good Governance (OCGG) (United Kingdom) |
| 73. Res Publica (United Kingdom) |
| 74. Fundación Alternativas (Spain) |
| 75. Security and Defense Agenda (SDA) (Belgium) |

Top 60 Think Tanks in Central and Eastern Europe
Table #6

| |
|---|
| 1. Center for Social and Economic Research (CASE) (Poland) |
| 2. Carnegie Moscow Center (Russia) |
| 3. Institute of World Economy and International Relations (IMEMO, RAS) (Russia) |
| 4. Polish Institute of International Affairs (PISM) (Poland) |
| 5. Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO) (Russia) |
| 6. Center for Economic and Financial Research (CEFIR) (Russia) |
| 7. Centre for Eastern Studies (OSW) (Poland) |
| 8. Razumkov Center (Ukraine) |
| 9. Center for Democracy and Human Rights (CEDEM) (Montenegro) |
| 10. Center for Security and Defense Studies (Hungary) |
| 11. Lithuanian Free Market Institute (Lithuania) |
| 12. Prague Security Studies Institute (Czech Republic) |
| 13. Centre for Liberal Strategies (Bulgaria) |
| 14. PRAXIS Center for Policy Studies (Estonia) |
| 15. F.A. Hayek Foundation (Slovakia) |
| 16. Slovak Foreign Policy Association (Slovakia) |
| 17. Belgrade Centre for Security Policy (FNA Centre for Civil-Military Relations) (Serbia) |
| 18. Demos Europa Centre for European Strategy (Poland) |
| 19. Georgian Foundation for Strategic and International Studies (Georgia) |
| 20. Independent Institute for Social Policy (Russia) |
| 21. Center for Policy Studies (Hungary) |
| 22. Centre for Public Policy PROVIDUS (Latvia) |
| 23. Hungarian Institute of International Affairs (Hungary) |
| 24. Albanian Institute for International Studies (Albania) |
| 25. Liberalni Institut (Czech Republic) |
| 26. Ludwig von Mises Institute (Romania) |
| 27. Center for International Relations (Poland) |
| 28. Institute for the USA and Canadian Studies (Russia) |
| 29. Open Society Institute (OSI) (Hungary) |
| 30. Center for Eastern Geopolitical Studies (Lithuania) |
| 31. EUROPEUM Institute for European Policy (Czech Republic) |
| 32. Center for Strategic Studies (Azerbaijan) |
| 33. Gaidar Institute for Economic Policy (FNA Institute for the Economy in Transition) (Russia) |
| 34. Economic Expert Group (Russia) |
| 35. Vadim Getman Kiev National Economic University (FNA Kiev Economic Institute) (Ukraine) |
| 36. European Institute (Bulgaria) |
| 37. TARKI Social Research Institute (Hungary) |
| 38. Kosovar Civil Society Foundation (Kosovo) |
| 39. Institute for Economic Research (IER) (Slovenia) |

| |
|---|
| 40. Strategia St. Petersburg Center for Humanities and Political Studies (Russia) |
| 41. Institute of International Relations (Czech Republic) |
| 42. Institute for Policy Studies (IPS) (Georgia) |
| 43. International Centre for Policy Studies (ICPS) (Ukraine) |
| 44. Center for Research and Policy Making (Macedonia) |
| 45. Institute for Market Economics (Bulgaria) |
| 46. Institute for Urban Economics (IUE) (Croatia) |
| 47. International Center for Human Development (Armenia) |
| 48. Research Center of the Slovak Foreign Policy Association (Slovakia) |
| 49. Institute of Public Affairs (Bulgaria) |
| 50. International Centre for Defense Studies (Estonia) |
| 51. Economics Institute (Serbia) |
| 52. Populari (Bosnia and Herzegovina) |
| 53. Institute for Public Affairs (Slovakia) |
| 54. Institute of Economics (EIZ) (Lithuania) |
| 55. Institute of Public Affairs (Romania) |
| 56. Institute for Security and International Studies (Russia) |
| 57. Institute of Strategic and Defense Studies at the National Defense University (Hungary) |
| 58. Institute for Public Policy (Russia) |
| 59. Institute of Baltic Studies (Czech Republic) |
| 60. Russian Council on International Affairs (RSMD) (Russia) |

**Top 40 Think Tanks in Asia (Excluding China, India, Japan, and
the Republic of Korea)**

Table #7

| |
|--|
| 1. Lowy Institute (Australia) |
| 2. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 3. Centre for Strategic Studies (CSS) (New Zealand) |
| 4. Australian Institute for International Affairs (AIIA) (Australia) |
| 5. East Asian Institute (Singapore) |
| 6. Centre for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (Indonesia) |
| 7. Centre for Public Policy Studies (Malaysia) |
| 8. Taiwan Foundation for Democracy (Taiwan) |
| 9. The Centre for Independent Studies (Australia) |
| 10. Institute of Strategic and International Studies (ISIS) (Malaysia) |
| 11. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS) (Singapore) |
| 12. Institute for Strategic and Development Studies (ISDS) (Philippines) |
| 13. Strategic and Defense Studies Centre (SDSC) (Australia) |
| 14. Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (Indonesia) |
| 15. Bangladesh Institute for Development Studies (BIDS) (Bangladesh) |
| 16. Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies (IDSS) (Singapore) |
| 17. Taiwan Institute of Economic Research (TIER) (Taiwan) |
| 18. Center for Economic Research (Uzbekistan) |
| 19. Center for Strategic Studies (New Zealand) |
| 20. Institute for Social and Environmental Transition (Nepal) |
| 21. Institute of Policy Studies (IPS) (Bangladesh) |
| 22. Social Policy and Development Centre (SPDC) (Pakistan) |
| 23. Cambodian Institute for Cooperation and Peace (Cambodia) |
| 24. Institute of Policy Studies of Sri Lanka (Sri Lanka) |
| 25. Chung-Hua Institution for Economic Research (Taiwan) |
| 26. Sustainable Development Policy Institute (Pakistan) |
| 27. Institute of World Economics and Politics (IWEP) (Vietnam) |
| 28. Regional Center for Strategic Studies (RCSS) (Sri Lanka) |
| 29. Political Risks Assessment Group (Singapore) |
| 30. Alternate Solutions Institute (Pakistan) |
| 31. The Institute of Security and International Studies (ISIS) (Thailand) |
| 32. Institute of National Capacity Studies (Indonesia) |
| 33. Darussalam Institute of Policy and Strategic Studies (BDIPSS) (Brunei) |
| 34. Foundation for Tolerance International (Kyrgyzstan) |
| 35. Diplomatic Academy of Vietnam (Vietnam) |
| 36. Center for Research on Economic and Social Transformation (CREST) (Pakistan) |
| 37. Centre for Poverty Analysis (CEPA) (Sri Lanka) |
| 38. Vietnam Institute of Economics (Vietnam) |

| |
|---|
| 39. Economic Institute of Cambodia (Cambodia) |
|---|

| |
|---|
| 40. Centre for Strategic and Policy Studies (CSPS) (Brunei) |
|---|

Top 45 Think Tanks in China, India, Japan, and the Republic of Korea
Table #8

| |
|--|
| 1. Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS) (China) |
| 2. Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIIA) (Japan) |
| 3. Korea Development Institute (KDI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 4. China Institutes of Contemporary International Relations (CICIR) (China) |
| 5. Asan Institute for Policy Studies (Republic of Korea) |
| 6. Asia Forum Japan (AFJ) (Japan) |
| 7. Carnegie China Center at Tsinghua Center for Global Policy (China) |
| 8. China Institute of International Studies (CIIS) (China) |
| 9. Korea Institute for Economic Policy (Republic of Korea) |
| 10. Observer Research Foundation (India) |
| 11. Institute for Defense Studies and Analyses (IDSA) (India) |
| 12. Centre for Policy Research (India) |
| 13. National Institute for Defense Studies (NIDS) (Japan) |
| 14. Shanghai Institute for International Studies (SIIS) (China) |
| 15. Centre for Civil Society (CSS) (India) |
| 16. Center for Free Enterprise (Republic of Korea) |
| 17. East Asia Institute (Republic of Korea) |
| 18. Center for International and Strategic Studies (China) |
| 19. Development Research Center of the State Council (China) |
| 20. Institute of Developing Economies, Japan External Trade Organization (IDE-JETRO) (Japan) |
| 21. Japan Center for International Exchange (JCIE) (Japan) |
| 22. Delhi Policy Group (India) |
| 23. Institute of International Policy Studies (IIPS) (Japan) |
| 24. Institute of Peace and Conflict Studies (IPCS) (India) |
| 25. The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI) (India) |
| 26. Tokyo Foundation (Japan) |
| 27. Development Alternatives (India) |
| 28. Center for Study of Science Technology and Policy (India) |
| 29. National Council of Applied Economic Research (India) |
| 30. Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI) (Japan) |
| 31. Korea Institute for Defense Analyses (KIDA) (Republic of Korea) |
| 32. National Institute for Research Advancement (NIRA) (Japan) |
| 33. Centre for the Study of Developing Societies (India) |
| 34. Cathay Institute for Public Affairs (China) |
| 35. Unirule Institute for Economics (China) |
| 36. Japan Institute for International Development (Japan) |
| 37. Institute for National Policy Research (INPR) (China) |
| 38. Korean Energy Economics Institute (KEEI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 39. Research Institute for Peace and Security (RIPS) (Japan) |

| |
|---|
| 40. Institute of Economic Growth (India) |
| 41. Korea Institute for National Unification (KINU) (Republic of Korea) |
| 42. United Service Institution of India (India) |
| 43. Liberty Institute (India) |
| 44. Institute for International Policy Studies (Japan) |
| 45. The Sejong Institute (Republic of Korea) |

Top 50 Think Tank in Sub-Saharan Africa
Table #9

| |
|--|
| 1. South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) (South Africa) |
| 2. Institute for Security Studies (ISS) (South Africa) |
| 3. Center for Development and Enterprise (South Africa) |
| 4. African Center for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) (South Africa) |
| 5. Africa Institute of South Africa (South Africa) |
| 6. Centre for Conflict Resolution (South Africa) |
| 7. African Economic Research Consortium (Kenya) |
| 8. IMANI Center for Policy and Education (Ghana) |
| 9. Council for Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA) (Senegal) |
| 10. Botswana Institute for Development Policy Analysis (BIDPA) (Botswana) |
| 11. Center for Policy Analysis (Ghana) |
| 12. Institute for Democracy in South Africa (IDASA) (South Africa) |
| 13. Centre for Democratic Development (Ghana) |
| 14. Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis (KIPPRA) (Kenya) |
| 15. Ethiopian Development Research Institute (EDRI) (Ethiopia) |
| 16. Economic Policy Research Center (EPRC) (Uganda) |
| 17. Ethiopian Economic Policy Research Institute (EEA/EEPRI) (Ethiopia) |
| 18. Research on Poverty Alleviation (REPOA) (Tanzania) |
| 19. Free Market Foundation (South Africa) |
| 20. Institute for Global Dialogue (South Africa) |
| 21. Institute for Economic Affairs (IEA) (Ghana) |
| 22. Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources Policy Analysis Network (FANRPAN) (South Africa) |
| 23. South African Institute of Race Relations (South Africa) |
| 24. Nigerian Institute of International Affairs (NIIA) (Nigeria) |
| 25. Advocates Coalition for Development and Environment (ACODE) (Uganda) |
| 26. Organization for Social Sciences Research in Eastern Africa (OSSREA) (Ethiopia) |
| 27. Institute of Economic Affairs (Kenya) |
| 28. Centre Ivoirien de Recherche Economique et Sociale (CIRES) (Cote d'Ivoire) |
| 29. Centre for Population and Environmental Development (CPED) (Nigeria) |
| 30. Centre for Research and Technology Development (RESTECH Centre) (Kenya) |
| 31. African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 32. Initiative for Public Policy Analysis (IPPA) (Nigeria) |
| 33. Rift Valley Institute (Kenya) |
| 34. Centre for Development Studies (Ghana) |
| 35. Institute of Statistical, Social and Economic Research (Ghana) |
| 36. Center for the Study of the Economies of Africa (CSEA) (Nigeria) |
| 37. Makerere Institute of Social Research (MISR) (Uganda) |
| 38. Institute for Public Policy Research (Namibia) |
| 39. Institute for Empirical Research in Political Economy (IERPE) (Benin) |

| |
|---|
| 40. Justice and Human Rights Institute (Ghana) |
| 41. Centre d'Etudes, de Documentation et de Recherches Economique et Sociale (CEDRES) (Burkina) |
| 42. Economic and Social Research Foundation (ESRF) (Tanzania) |
| 43. Groupe de Recherche en Economie Applique et Theorique (GREAT) (Mali) |
| 44. Inter-Region Economic Network (IREN) (Kenya) |
| 45. Macro Economic and Financial Management Institute (MEFMI) (Zimbabwe) |
| 46. Institute of Policy Analysis and Research (IPAR-Kenya) (Kenya) |
| 47. Institute for Public Policy of Nigeria (Nigeria) |
| 48. Programme de Troisieme Cycle Inter-universitaire en Economie (PTCI) (Burkina Faso) |
| 49. Integrated Social Development Center (ISODEC) (Ghana) |
| 50. Nigerian Institute for Social and Economic Research (Nigeria) |

Top 30 Think Tanks in Mexico and Canada
Table #10

| |
|--|
| 1. Fraser Institute (Canada) |
| 2. Consejo Mexicano de Asuntos Internacionales (COMEXI) (Mexico) |
| 3. Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI) (Canada) |
| 4. North-South Institute (Canada) |
| 5. Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económica (CIDE) (Mexico) |
| 6. Centro Análisis e Investigación (FUNDAR) (Mexico) |
| 7. Canadian Defense and Foreign Affairs Institute (Canada) |
| 8. C. D. Howe Institute (Canada) |
| 9. Canadian International Council (Canada) |
| 10. Institute for Research on Public Policy (Canada) |
| 11. Macdonald-Laurier Institute (Canada) |
| 12. Colegio de México (Mexico) |
| 13. Atlantic Institute for Market Studies (AIMS) (Canada) |
| 14. Conference Board of Canada (Canada) |
| 15. International Institute for Sustainable Development (Canada) |
| 16. Montreal Economic Institute (Canada) |
| 17. Centro de Investigación para el Desarrollo (CIDAC) (Mexico) |
| 18. Centro de Investigaciones Sobre la Libre Empresa (CISLE) (Mexico) |
| 19. Public Policy Forum (Canada) |
| 20. El Colegio de la Frontera Norte (Mexico) |
| 21. Instituto Mexicano para la Competividad (IMCO) (Mexico) |
| 22. Instituto para la Seguridad y la Democracia (Mexico) |
| 23. Colectivo de Análisis para la Seguridad con Democracia (CASEDE) (Mexico) |
| 24. Frontier Centre for Public Policy (Canada) |
| 25. Queen's Centre for International Relations (QCIR) (Canada) |
| 26. Centro de Estudios en Calidad de Vida y Desarrollo Social (Mexico) |
| 27. Instituto de Pensamiento Estratégico Agora (IPEA) (Mexico) |
| 28. Centro Espinosa Yglesias (Mexico) |
| 29. Institute of Politics (Mexico) |
| 30. México Evalúa Centro de Análisis de Política Pública (Mexico) |

Top 45 Think Tanks in South and Central America

Table #11

| |
|---|
| 1. Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV) (Brazil) |
| 2. Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC/CEPAL) (Chile) |
| 3. Centro de Estudios Públicos (CEP) (Chile) |
| 4. Centro de Divulgación del Conocimiento Económico para la Libertad (CEDICE Libertad) (Venezuela) |
| 5. Centro de Estudios de la Realidad Económica y Social (CERES) (Uruguay) |
| 6. Centro de Implementación de Políticas Públicas para la Equidad y el Crecimiento (CIPPEC) (Argentina) |
| 7. Corporación de Estudios para Latinoamérica (CIEPLAN) (Chile) |
| 8. Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBRI) (Brazil) |
| 9. Consejo Latinoamericano de Ciencias Sociales (CLASCO) (Argentina) |
| 10. Instituto Fernando Henrique Cardoso (Brazil) |
| 11. Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada (IPEA) (Brazil) |
| 12. Fundación para el Avance de las Reformas y las Oportunidades (Group FARO) (Ecuador) |
| 13. Consejo Argentino para las Relaciones Internacionales (CARI) (Argentina) |
| 14. Centro Brasileiro de Análise e Planejamento (CEBRAP) (Brazil) |
| 15. Fundación de Investigaciones Económicas Latinoamericanas (Argentina) |
| 16. Centro Latinoamericano de Economía Humana (CLAEH) (Uruguay) |
| 17. Consejo Uruguayo para las Relaciones Internacionales (CURI) (Uruguay) |
| 18. Fundación Ideas para la Paz (Colombia) |
| 19. Fundación para la Educación Superior y el Desarrollo (Fedesarrollo) (Colombia) |
| 20. Fundación Chile XXI (21) (Chile) |
| 21. Fundación Pensar (Argentina) |
| 22. Centro de Estudios de Estado y Sociedad (CEDES) (Argentina) |
| 23. Instituto Libertad y Democracia (ILD) (Peru) |
| 24. Núcleo de Estudios de la Violencia de la Universidad de São Paulo (NEV/USP) (Brazil) |
| 25. Instituto de Estudios Peruanos (IPE) (Peru) |
| 26. Libertad y Desarrollo (Chile) |
| 27. Fundación Salvadoreña para el Desarrollo Económico y Social (FUSADES) (El Salvador) |
| 28. Instituto Ecuatoriano de Economía Política (IEEP) (Ecuador) |
| 29. Foro Social de Deuda Externa y Desarrollo de Honduras (FOSDEH) (Honduras) |
| 30. Fundación Libertad (Argentina) |
| 31. Instituto do Milênio (Brazil) |
| 32. Grupo de Análisis para el Desarrollo (GRADE) (Peru) |
| 33. Fundación Jaime Guzmán (Chile) |
| 34. Instituto de Ciencias Políticas (Colombia) |
| 35. Centro de Análisis y Difusión de la Economía Paraguaya (CADEP) (Paraguay) |
| 36. Centro de Estudios Distributivos, Laborales y Sociales (CEDLAS) (Argentina) |
| 37. Fundación Dr. Guillermo Manuel Ungo (FUNDAUNGO) (El Salvador) |

| |
|---|
| 38. Grupo Propuesta Ciudadana (Peru) |
| 39. Instituto de Estudios Avanzados (Bolivia) |
| 40. Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 41. Asociación de Investigación y Estudios Sociales (ASIES) (Guatemala) |
| 42. Fundación Centro de Pensamiento Primero Colombia (Colombia) |
| 43. Centro de Investigaciones Económicas Nacionales (CIEN) (Guatemala) |
| 44. Fundación Milenio (Bolivia) |
| 45. Instituto Desarrollo (Paraguay) |

Top 45 Think Tanks in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)

Table #12

| |
|--|
| 1. Carnegie Middle East Center (Lebanon) |
| 2. Gulf Research Center (GRC) (Saudi Arabia) |
| 3. Begin Sadat Center for Strategic Studies (Israel) |
| 4. Turkish Economic and Social Studies Foundation (TESEV) (Turkey) |
| 5. Al-Ahram Center for Political and Strategic Studies (Egypt) |
| 6. Al Jazeera Centre for Studies (Qatar) |
| 7. Institute for National Security Studies (INSS) FNA Jaffee Center for Strategic Studies (Israel) |
| 8. Brookings Doha Center (Qatar) |
| 9. Center for Economics and Policy Studies (EDAM) (Turkey) |
| 10. Center for Strategic Studies (Jordan) |
| 11. RAND-Qatar Policy Institute (Qatar) |
| 12. Egyptian Center for Economic Studies (Egypt) |
| 13. International Institute for Counter-Terrorism (ICT) (Israel) |
| 14. Arab Thought Forum (Jordan) |
| 15. Harry S. Truman Institute for Advancement of Peace (Israel) |
| 16. Centre des Etudes et Recherches en Sciences Sociales (CERSS) (Morocco) |
| 17. Association for Liberal Thinking (Turkey) |
| 18. Amadeus Center (Morocco) |
| 19. Emirates Center for Strategic Studies and Research (United Arab Emirates) |
| 20. Moshe Dayan Center for Middle Eastern and African Studies (Israel) |
| 21. Israel Center for Social and Economic Progress (ICSEP) (Israel) |
| 22. Egyptian Center for Economic Studies (Egypt) |
| 23. Egyptian Council for Foreign Affairs (Egypt) |
| 24. Center of Arab Women for Training and Research (Egypt) |
| 25. Israel Democracy Institute (IDI) (Israel) |
| 26. Kuwait Center for Strategic Studies (Kuwait) |
| 27. The Economic Policy & Research Center (EPRC) (United Arab Emirates) |
| 28. Center for Arab Unity Studies (CAUS) (Lebanon) |
| 29. Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs (Israel) |
| 30. Economic Research Forum (Egypt) |
| 31. European Stability Initiative (Turkey) |
| 32. Ibn Khaldoun Center for Development Studies (Egypt) |
| 33. Dubai Institute of Government (United Arab Emirates) |
| 34. Palestinian Academic Society for the Study of International Affairs (Palestinian Authority) |
| 35. The Information and Decision Support Center (IDSC) (Egypt) |
| 36. Van Leer Jerusalem Institute (Israel) |
| 37. Institute for Future Studies (Egypt) |
| 38. Institut Francais des Recherches sur l'Iran (Iran) |
| 39. Issam Fares Center for Public Policy and International Affairs (IFI) (Lebanon) |

| |
|---|
| 40. The Reut Institute (Israel) |
| 41. Bahrain Center for Studies and Research (Bahrain) |
| 42. Arab Planning Institute (API) (Kuwait) |
| 43. Falk Institute for Economic Research (Israel) |
| 44. Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research (KISR) (Kuwait) |
| 45. Tunisian Institute for Strategic Studies (ITES) (Tunisia) |

Top Think Tanks by Area of Research

| Top 70 Security and International Affairs Think Tanks <i>Table #13</i> | |
|---|---|
| 1. | Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 2. | Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 3. | Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 4. | Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 5. | Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 6. | Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) (Sweden) |
| 7. | RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 8. | Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (United States) |
| 9. | International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (United Kingdom) |
| 10. | International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 11. | Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 12. | German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP) (Germany) |
| 13. | French Institute of International Relations (IFRI) (France) |
| 14. | China Institutes of Contemporary International Relations (CICIR) (China) |
| 15. | Hoover Institution (United States) |
| 16. | European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR) (United Kingdom) |
| 17. | Royal United Services Institute (RUSI) (United Kingdom) |
| 18. | Institute for International and Strategic Relations (IRIS) (France) |
| 19. | Clingendael, Netherlands Institute of International Relations (The Netherlands) |
| 20. | Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs (United States) |
| 21. | Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 22. | German Council on Foreign Relations (DGAP) (Germany) |
| 23. | Council on Foreign and Defence Policy (SVOP) (Russia) |
| 24. | Center for a New American Security (CNAS) (United States) |
| 25. | East Asia Institute (Republic of Korea) |
| 26. | Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO) (Norway) |
| 27. | American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 28. | United States Institute of Peace (USIP) (United States) |
| 29. | Australian Strategic Policy Institute (ASPI) (Australia) |
| 30. | Egmont Institute, Royal Institute for International Relations (Belgium) |
| 31. | German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF) (United States) |
| 32. | Istituto Affari Internazionali (IAI) (Italy) |
| 33. | Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO) (Russia) |
| 34. | Stimson Center (FNA Henry L. Stimson Center) (United States) |
| 35. | Institute of Strategic and International Studies (ISIS) (Malaysia) |
| 36. | Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (Indonesia) |
| 37. | Norwegian Institute of International Affairs (NUPI) (Norway) |

| |
|--|
| 38. Polish Institute of International Affairs (PISM) (Poland) |
| 39. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 40. Real Instituto Elcano, Elcano Royal Institute (Spain) |
| 41. European Centre for International Political Economy (ECIPE) (Belgium) |
| 42. Centre for Eastern Studies (OSW) (Poland) |
| 43. South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) (South Africa) |
| 44. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 45. Institute for Defense and Strategic Studies (IDSS) (Singapore) |
| 46. Institute for Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS) (Singapore) |
| 47. Hellenic Foundation for European and Foreign Policy (ELIAMEP) (Greece) |
| 48. Human Rights Watch (United States) |
| 49. Brazilian Center for International Relations (CEBRI) (Brazil) |
| 50. Institute for National Security Studies (FNA) Jaffee Center for Strategic Studies (Israel) |
| 51. Strategic Studies Institute (South Africa) |
| 52. Gulf Research Center (GRC) (Saudi Arabia) |
| 53. Institute of Foreign Affairs and National Security (Republic of Korea) |
| 54. Center for American Progress (United States) |
| 55. Center for Strategic Studies (Jordan) |
| 56. Hudson Institute (United States) |
| 57. Center for Strategic and Budgetary Assessments (CSBA) (United States) |
| 58. Shanghai Institute for International Studies (SIIS) (China) |
| 59. Regional Center for Strategic Studies (RCSS) (Sri Lanka) |
| 60. Kofi Annan International Peace and Training Center (Ghana) |
| 61. Center for Security and Defense Studies (Hungary) |
| 62. Institute for United States and Canada Studies (IMEMO, RAS) (Russia) |
| 63. Prague Security Studies Institute (PSSI) (Czech Republic) |
| 64. Belgrade Center for Security Policy (FNA Center for Civil-Military Relations) (Serbia) |
| 65. Institute of Peace and Conflict Studies (India) |
| 66. Institute for International Relations (IIR) (Czech Republic) |
| 67. Al-Ahram Center for Political and Strategic Studies (Egypt) |
| 68. Security and Defense Agenda (Belgium) |
| 69. Stimson Center (FNA Henry L. Stimson Center) (United States) |
| 70. Swedish Institute of International Affairs (UI) (Sweden) |

Top 80 International Development Think Tanks
Table #14

| |
|---|
| 1. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 2. Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (United Kingdom) |
| 3. Center for Global Development (CGD) (United States) |
| 4. Center for International Development (CID) (United States) |
| 5. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (United States) |
| 6. United Nations University World Institute for Development Economics Research (UNU-WIDER) (Finland) |
| 7. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 8. Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 9. Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 10. German Development Institute (DIE) (Germany) |
| 11. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) (United States) |
| 12. North-South Institute (Canada) |
| 13. Institute of Development Studies (IDS) (United Kingdom) |
| 14. Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV) (Brazil) |
| 15. Danish Institute for International Studies (DIIS) (Denmark) |
| 16. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 17. Asian Development Bank Institute (Japan) |
| 18. Cato Institute Center for Global Liberty and Prosperity (United States) |
| 19. Korea Development Institute (KDI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 20. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 21. Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS) (China) |
| 22. Konrad Adenauer Foundation (KAS) (Germany) |
| 23. Norwegian Institute of International Affairs (NUPI) (Norway) |
| 24. Friedrich Ebert Foundation (FES) (Germany) |
| 25. Atlas Economic Research Foundation (United States) |
| 26. South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) (South Africa) |
| 27. African Economic Research Consortium (Kenya) |
| 28. Bangladesh Institute of Development Studies (BIDS) (Bangladesh) |
| 29. Hudson Institute Center for Global Prosperity (United States) |
| 30. Fundacion para la Relaciones Internacionales y el Dialogo Exterior (FRIDE) (Spain) |
| 31. Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations (IDDRI) (France) |
| 32. International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD) (Canada) |
| 33. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) (Sweden) |
| 34. Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA) (Senegal) |
| 35. Club of Rome (Switzerland) |
| 36. Center for Social and Economic Research (CASE) (Poland) |
| 37. Fundacion para el Analisis y los Estudios Sociales (FAES) (Spain) |
| 38. American Enterprise Institute (AEI) (United States) |

| |
|--|
| 39. Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI) (Canada) |
| 40. Libertad y Desarrollo (Chile) |
| 41. Centre for the Study of African Economies (United Kingdom) |
| 42. Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBRI) (Brazil) |
| 43. Centre for Development Alternatives (India) |
| 44. Center for Economic and Social Development (CESD) (Azerbaijan) |
| 45. African Technology Policy Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 46. Centre for Development and the Environment (Norway) |
| 47. Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations (ICRIER) (India) |
| 48. Centro de Divulgacion del Conocimiento Economico (CEDICE Libertad) (Venezuela) |
| 49. Fundacion Carolina (Spain) |
| 50. Instituto Libertad y Democracia (Peru) |
| 51. Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 52. Japan International Cooperation Agency Research Institute (JICA) (Japan) |
| 53. African Institute for Economic Development and Planning (Senegal) |
| 54. Consejo Argentino para las Relaciones Internacionales (CARI) (Argentina) |
| 55. Grupo de Analisis para el Desarrollo (GRADE) (Peru) |
| 56. Institute of World Economy and International Relations (IMEMO, RAS) (Russia) |
| 57. Water and Development Research Group, Helsinki University of Technology (Finland) |
| 58. International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED) (United Kingdom) |
| 59. Institute of Developing Economies (IDE-JETRO) (Japan) |
| 60. Nordic Africa Institute (Sweden) |
| 61. Institute for Global Dialogue (South Africa) |
| 62. Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIIA) (Japan) |
| 63. Center for the Implementation of Public Policies Promoting Equity and Growth (Argentina) |
| 64. Chr. Michelsen Institute (Norway) |
| 65. Institute for Policy Studies (United States) |
| 66. International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) (Switzerland) |
| 67. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 68. Asociacion Latinoamericana de Organizaciones de Promocion al Desarrollo (ALOP) (Mexico) |
| 69. Third World Network (TWN) (Malaysia) |
| 70. Development Alternatives (Costa Rica) |
| 71. Philippine Institute for Development Studies (PIDS) (Philippines) |
| 72. Institute for Democracy in South Africa (IDASA) (South Africa) |
| 73. Technical Centre for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation (CTA) (Netherlands) |
| 74. Centre for Policy Dialogue (CPD) (Bangladesh) |
| 75. Lowy Institute (Australia) |
| 76. European Center for Development Policy Management (ECDPM) (Netherlands) |
| 77. James A. Baker III Institute for Public Policy (United States) |
| 78. Thailand Development Research Institute (TDRI) (Thailand) |
| 79. Global Industrial and Social Progress Research Institute (GISPRI) (Japan) |
| 80. Pakistan Institute of Development Economics (Pakistan) |

Top 70 Environment Think Tanks

Table #15

| |
|---|
| 1. World Resources Institute (United States) |
| 2. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 3. Center for Climate and Energy Solutions (C2ES) (United States) |
| 4. Worldwatch Institute (United States) |
| 5. Earthwatch Institute (United States) |
| 6. Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI) (Sweden) |
| 7. Resources for the Future (RFF) (United States) |
| 8. Earth Institute (United States) |
| 9. Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 10. Ecologic Institute (Germany) |
| 11. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) (Kenya) |
| 12. Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK) (Germany) |
| 13. International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD) (Canada) |
| 14. Center for Environmental Research (UFZ) (Germany) |
| 15. Center for European Policy Studies (CEPS) (Belgium) |
| 16. E3G Third Generation Environmentalism (United Kingdom) |
| 17. Center for Economic and Ecological Studies (Cen2eco) (Switzerland) |
| 18. International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED) (United Kingdom) |
| 19. Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology (ATREE) (India) |
| 20. Center for Science and Environment (CSE) (India) |
| 21. The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI) (India) |
| 22. Forum for the Future (United Kingdom) |
| 23. Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (Brazil) |
| 24. Centro Mexicano de Derecho Ambiental (Mexico) |
| 25. African Wildlife Foundation (AWF) (Kenya) |
| 26. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 27. Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) (United States) |
| 28. Center for International Forestry Research (Indonesia) |
| 29. Copenhagen Consensus Center (CCC) (Denmark) |
| 30. Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences (China) |
| 31. Australia Institute (TAI) (Australia) |
| 32. Centre for Population and Environmental Development (CPED) (Nigeria) |
| 33. Chinese Academy For Environmental Planning (CAEP) (China) |
| 34. Institute for European Environmental Policy (IEEP) (United Kingdom) |
| 35. Center for Sustainable Development and Environment (Iran) |
| 36. The Property and Environment Research Center (PERC) (United States) |
| 37. Competitive Enterprise Institute (United States) |
| 38. Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM) (Italy) |
| 39. Research Institute of Innovative Technology for the Earth (RITE) (Japan) |

| |
|--|
| 40. New Zealand Climate Change Research Institute (New Zealand) |
| 41. Wuppertal Institute (Germany) |
| 42. Development Alternatives (India) |
| 43. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) (Austria) |
| 44. Civic Exchange Honk Kong (China) |
| 45. Institute for Sustainable Development (ISD) (Poland) |
| 46. African Centre for Technology Studies (ACTS) (Kenya) |
| 47. Center for Development and Environment (SUM) (Norway) |
| 48. Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES) (Japan) |
| 49. Oeko-Institut (Germany) |
| 50. Center for Applied Research (CAR) (Botswana) |
| 51. Program on Energy and Sustainable Development (United States) |
| 52. Departamento Ecología Territorio, Facultad de Estudios Ambientales y Rurales (FEAR) (Colombia) |
| 53. The Arava Institute for Environmental Studies (Israel) |
| 54. Integrated Research and Action for Development (IRADe) (India) |
| 55. Environment for Development Program (EfD) (Sweden) |
| 56. Heschel Center for Environmental Learning and Leadership (Israel) |
| 57. Thailand Environment Institute (TEI) (Thailand) |
| 58. Global Development Research Center (GDRC) (Japan) |
| 59. Pembina Institute (Canada) |
| 60. International Center for Climate Governance (ICCG) (Italy) |
| 61. Netherlands Society for Nature and Environment / Stichting Natuur en Milieu (The Netherlands) |
| 62. Environmental Management and Law Association (EMLA) (Hungary) |
| 63. Instituto de Estudios Avanzados en Desarrollo (INESAD), Institute for Advanced Development Studies (Bolivia) |
| 64. MS Swaminathan Research Foundation (MSSRF) (India) |
| 65. Sustainable Development Policy Institute (Pakistan) |
| 66. Environment and Natural Resources Foundation (FARN) (Argentina) |
| 67. National Association for the Conservation of Nature (ANCON) (Panama) |
| 68. Sustainable Development Policy Institute (SDPI) (Pakistan) |
| 69. Transnational Institute (TNI) (Netherlands) |
| 70. Institute of Water Policy (Singapore) |

Top 30 Health Policy Think Tanks
Table #16

| |
|---|
| 1. Bloomberg School of Public Health Research Centers (JHSPH) (United States) |
| 2. Cambridge Centre for Health Services Research (United Kingdom) |
| 3. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 4. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 5. Fraser Institute (Canada) |
| 6. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 7. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 8. Council on Foreign Relations Global Health Program (United States) |
| 9. Kaiser Permanente Institute for Health Policy (KPIHP) (United States) |
| 10. Center for Studying Health Systems Change (HSC) (United States) |
| 11. FUNSALUD Centre for Health Policy (Mexico) |
| 12. Civitas (United Kingdom) |
| 13. Department of Health Policy and Management (United States) |
| 14. National Bureau for Economic Research (NBER) (United States) |
| 15. Urban Institute (United States) |
| 16. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 17. Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 18. Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 19. Health and Global Policy Institute (HGPI) (Japan) |
| 20. Phillips Center for Health and Well-Being (The Netherlands) |
| 21. Organization for Social Science Research in Eastern and Southern Africa (OSSREA) (Ethiopia) |
| 22. Center for Health System Research (Vietnam) |
| 23. Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies (WIIW) (Austria) |
| 24. Notre Europe (France) |
| 25. Centre d'Etudes et de Recherches en Sciences sociales (CERSS) (Morocco) |
| 26. Institute for Government (IfG) (United Kingdom) |
| 27. Institute of Economic Growth (IEG) (India) |
| 28. African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) ((Kenya)) |
| 29. Independent Institute of Social Policy (IISP) (Russia) |
| 30. Institute of Policy Studies (Sri Lanka) |

Top 80 Domestic Economic Policy Think Tanks
Table #17

| |
|--|
| 1. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 2. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 3. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 4. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) (United States) |
| 5. Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 6. Bruegel (Belgium) |
| 7. Adam Smith Institute (United Kingdom) |
| 8. Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 9. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 10. Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 11. Chinese Academy of Social Science (CASS), Institute of World Economics and Politics (China) |
| 12. Hoover Institution (United States) |
| 13. Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) (Belgium) |
| 14. German Institute for Economic Research (DIW) (Germany) |
| 15. Ifo Institute for Economic Research (Germany) |
| 16. Center for Social and Economic Research (CASE) (Poland) |
| 17. Kiel Institute for the World Economy (Germany) |
| 18. Center for Economic Policy Research (CEPR) (United Kingdom) |
| 19. Korea Development Institute (KDI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 20. Centro de Implementación de Políticas Públicas para la Equidad y el Crecimiento (CIPPEC) (Argentina) |
| 21. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities (CBPP) (United States) |
| 22. Fundação Getulio Vargas (FGV) (Brazil) |
| 23. Libertad y Desarrollo (Chile) |
| 24. Council on Foreign Relations (United States) |
| 25. Vienna International Institute for Comparative Economic Studies (WIIW) (Austria) |
| 26. Urban Institute (United States) |
| 27. C.D. Howe Institute (Canada) |
| 28. Center for Economic and Financial Research (CEFIR) (Russia) |
| 29. Fundacao Armando Alvares Penteado (FAAP) (Brazil) |
| 30. Center for Economic and Policy Research (United States) |
| 31. Association for Liberal Thinking (ALT) (Turkey) |
| 32. Austrian Institute for Economic Research (WIFO) (Austria) |
| 33. Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) (United Kingdom) |
| 34. Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 35. Hong Kong Centre for Economic Research (HKCER) (China) |
| 36. Centro de Estudios de la Realidad Economica y Social (CERES) (Uruguay) |
| 37. Centro de Estudios Publicos (CEP) (Chile) |

| |
|--|
| 38. Demos Europa (Poland) |
| 39. Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis (CPB) (Netherlands) |
| 40. Cathay Institute for Public Affairs (CIPA) (China) |
| 41. Korea Institute of International Economic Policies (KIEP) (Republic of Korea) |
| 42. Manhattan Institute for Policy Research (United States) |
| 43. Unirule Institute of Economics (China) |
| 44. Fundacion para el Analisis y los Estudios Sociales (FAES) (Spain) |
| 45. Center for European Economic Research (Germany) |
| 46. Centro de Investigacion y Docencia Economicas, (CIDE) (Mexico) |
| 47. Centro Brasileiro de Relacoes Internacionais (Brazil) |
| 48. Center for Fiscal Policy (Russia) |
| 49. Economics Institute (Serbia) |
| 50. Fraser Institute (Canada) |
| 51. Economic and Social Research Institute (ESRI) (Ireland) |
| 52. Economic Policy Institute (EPI) (United States) |
| 53. Grattan Institute (Australia) |
| 54. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 55. Kenya Institute of Public Policy Research & Analyses (Kenya) |
| 56. Fundación para la Educación Superior y el Desarrollo (Fedesarrollo) (Colombia) |
| 57. Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO) (Russia) |
| 58. IMANI Center for Policy and Education (Ghana) |
| 59. Research Institute for the Finnish Economy (ETLA) (Finland) |
| 60. National Institute of Economic and Social Research (United Kingdom) |
| 61. Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (Japan) |
| 62. Sejong Institute (Republic of Korea) |
| 63. Swedish Institute for Social Research (SOFI) (Sweden) |
| 64. National Center for Public Policy Research (NCPPI) (United States) |
| 65. Economic Expert Group (Russia) |
| 66. Economic Policy Research Center (Uganda) |
| 67. Center for Liberal-Democratic Studies (CLDS) (Serbia) |
| 68. Central Institute for Economic Management (CIEM) (Vietnam) |
| 69. Economic Institute (CERGE-EI) (Czech Republic) |
| 70. Fundacion de Estudios de Economia Aplicada (FEDEA) (Spain) |
| 71. TARKI Social Research Institute (Hungary) |
| 72. Jerome Levy Economics Institute (United States) |
| 73. Institute of Economics (EIZ) (Croatia) |
| 74. Egyptian Center for Economic Studies (ECES) (Egypt) |
| 75. Development Research Center of the State Council (China) |
| 76. Institute for Advanced Studies (IAS) (Austria) |
| 77. Institute for Economic Research (IER) (Slovenia) |
| 78. Center for Economic and Social Development (CESD) (Azerbaijan) |
| 79. African Technology Policy Studies (ATPS) (Kenya) |

80. Lithuanian Free Market Institute (Lithuania)

Top 50 International Economic Policy Think Tanks
Table # 18

| |
|--|
| 1. Bruegel (Belgium) |
| 2. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 3. Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 4. Kiel Institute for the World Economy (Germany) |
| 5. Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 6. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 7. Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 8. National Bureau for Economic Research (NBER) (United States) |
| 9. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 10. Adam Smith Institute (United Kingdom) |
| 11. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 12. Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy (BRIE) (United States) |
| 13. Institute of World Economy and International Relations (IMEMO, RAS) (Russia) |
| 14. Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 15. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 16. Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) (Belgium) |
| 17. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 18. European Center for International Political Economy (ECIPE) (Belgium) |
| 19. Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies (Austria) |
| 20. Korea Institute of International Economic Policies (KIEP) (Republic of Korea) |
| 21. Institute of Developing Economies (IDE-JETRO) (Japan) |
| 22. Fraser Institute (Canada) |
| 23. Center for Global Development (CGD) (United States) |
| 24. Centre d'Etudes Prospectives et d'Informations Internationales (CEPII) (France) |
| 25. Australian Institute of International Affairs (AIIA) (Australia) |
| 26. Israel Center for Social and Economic Progress (Israel) |
| 27. Institute of World Economics and Politics (IWEP, CASS) (China) |
| 28. Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA) (Indonesia) |
| 29. Center for Independent Studies (Australia) |
| 30. Center for Social and Economic Analysis (CASE) (Poland) |
| 31. Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (Brazil) |
| 32. Institute for Policy Studies (IPS) (United States) |
| 33. Ifo Institute for Economic Research (Germany) |
| 34. Centre for Economics and Foreign Policy Studies (EDAM) (Turkey) |
| 35. Organization for Social Science Research in Eastern and Southern Africa (Ethiopia) |
| 36. African Economic Research Consortium (Kenya) |
| 37. Razumkov Center (Ukraine) |
| 38. Consejo Argentino para las Relaciones Internacionales (CARI) (Argentina) |
| 39. India Council for Research on International Economic Relations (ICRIER) (India) |

| |
|--|
| 40. Baltic Development Forum (BDF) (Denmark) |
| 41. Centro de Estudio de Realidad Economica y Social (CERES) (Uruguay) |
| 42. Policy Studies Institute (PSI) (United Kingdom) |
| 43. Institute for World Economics (IWE) (Hungary) |
| 44. Institute for International Trade Negotiations (ICONE) (Brazil) |
| 45. Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO) (Russia) |
| 46. Institute of Economic Growth (IEG) (India) |
| 47. Fundacao Armando Alvares Penteado (Brazil) |
| 48. Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA) (Finland) |
| 49. Center for Economic and Social Development (CESD) (Azerbaijan) |
| 50. International Economic Studies (Sweden) |

Top 50 Social Policy Think Tanks
Table #19

| |
|--|
| 1. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 2. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 3. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 4. Urban Institute (United States) |
| 5. Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 6. Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 7. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 8. Fraser Institute (Canada) |
| 9. Center for Social and Economic Research (CASE) (Poland) |
| 10. Max Planck Institute for the Study of Societies (MPIfG) (Germany) |
| 11. Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 12. Fundação Getulio Vargas (FGV) (Brazil) |
| 13. Acton Institute (United States) |
| 14. Centro de Implementación de Políticas Públicas para la Equidad y el Crecimiento (CIPPEC) (Argentina) |
| 15. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities (CBPP) (United States) |
| 16. Civitas (United Kingdom) |
| 17. Demos (United Kingdom) |
| 18. Swedish Institute for Social Research (SOFI) (Sweden) |
| 19. Bruegel (Belgium) |
| 20. Korea Development Institute (KDI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 21. Israel Center for Social and Economic Progress (ICESP) (Israel) |
| 22. Russell Sage Foundation (United States) |
| 23. Manhattan Institute for Policy Research (United States) |
| 24. Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) (United Kingdom) |
| 25. Center for Economic and Policy Research (CEPR) (United Kingdom) |
| 26. Centro de Estudios Distributivos, Laborales y Sociales (CEDLAS) (Argentina) |
| 27. Grupo de Análisis para el Desarrollo (GRADE) (Peru) |
| 28. Independent Institute for Social Policy (IISP) (Russia) |
| 29. Institute for Public Policy Research (IPPR) (United Kingdom) |
| 30. New America Foundation (United States) |
| 31. Caledon Institute of Social Policy (Canada) |
| 32. Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources Policy Analysis Network (FANRPAN) (South Africa) |
| 33. Centre for Liberal Strategies (Bulgaria) |
| 34. Organization for Social Science Research in Southern and Eastern Africa (OSSREA) (Ethiopia) |
| 35. Institute of Sociology at the Russian Academy of Sciences (Russia) |
| 36. Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC) (Bangladesh) |
| 37. Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. (United States) |
| 38. Policy Studies Institute (United Kingdom) |

| |
|---|
| 39. Institute for Research on Public Policy (IRPP) (Canada) |
| 40. Philippine Institute for Development Studies (PIDS) (Philippines) |
| 41. Centre for Policy Research (India) |
| 42. Center for Education Policy Reform (South Africa) |
| 43. Centro de Referencia em Seguranca Alimentar e Nutricional (Brazil) |
| 44. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS) (Singapore) |
| 45. Institute for Urban Economics (IUE) (Russia) |
| 46. Grattan Institute (Australia) |
| 47. TARKI Social Research Institute (Hungary) |
| 48. Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies (JCEPS) (United States) |
| 49. Batory Foundation (Poland) |
| 50. Institute for Government (IFG) (United Kingdom) |

Top 50 Science and Technology Think Tanks
Table #20

| |
|---|
| 1. MIT Science, Technology, and Society Program (STS) (United States) |
| 2. Max Planck Institute (Germany) |
| 3. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 4. Center for Development Research (ZEF) (Germany) |
| 5. Information and Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF) (United States) |
| 6. Battelle Memorial Institute (United States) |
| 7. Technology, Entertainment, Design (TED) (United States) |
| 8. Institute for Future Technology (IFTECH) (Japan) |
| 9. Consortium for Science, Policy, and Outcomes (CSPO) (United States) |
| 10. Information and Communication Technologies for Development (ICT4D) (United Kingdom) |
| 11. Science and Technology Policy Research (SPRU) (United Kingdom) |
| 12. Institute for Basic Research (IBR) (United States) |
| 13. Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) (South Africa) |
| 14. African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 15. Bertelsmann Foundation (Germany) |
| 16. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) (Austria) |
| 17. Energy and Resources Institute (India) |
| 18. The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI) (India) |
| 19. Santa Fe Institute (SFI) (United States) |
| 20. African Center for Technology Studies (ACTS) (Kenya) |
| 21. Institute for Science and International Security (ISIS) (United States) |
| 22. Fondation Telecom, (France) |
| 23. Edge Foundation (United States) |
| 24. Eudoxa (Sweden) |
| 25. Fundación de la Innovación Bankinter (Spain) |
| 26. Research ICT Africa (RIA) (South Africa) |
| 27. Samuel Neaman Institute for Advanced Studies in Science and Technology (Israel) |
| 28. Telecom Center of Excellence (TCOE) (India) |
| 29. Keck Institute for Space Studies (KISS) (United States) |
| 30. Urban Institute (United States) |
| 31. Kansai Institute of Informational Systems and Industrial Renovation (KIIS) (Japan) |
| 32. Technology Policy Institute (TPI) (United States) |
| 33. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) (Sweden) |
| 34. Science and Technology Policy Institute (STEPI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 35. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) (United States) |
| 36. National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) (Japan) |
| 37. World Security Institute (WSI) (United States) |
| 38. Unirule Institute of Economics (China) |
| 39. Lisbon Council for Economic Competitiveness (Belgium) |

| |
|---|
| 40. Lowy Institute (Australia) |
| 41. Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIJA) (Japan) |
| 42. North South Institute (Canada) |
| 43. Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 44. Institute for the Encouragement of Scientific Research and Innovation of Brussels (ISRIB) (Belgium) |
| 45. Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO), (Russia) |
| 46. Turkish Economic and Social Studies Foundation (TSEV) (Turkey) |
| 47. Evidence-Informed Policy Network (EVIPNet) World Health Organization (Switzerland) |
| 48. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 49. Tanzania Commission for Science and Technology (COSTECH) (Tanzania) |
| 50. New America Foundation (United States) |

Top 30 Transparency and Good Governance Think Tanks
Table #21

| |
|---|
| 1. Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 2. Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 3. Freedom House (United States) |
| 4. Human Rights Watch (United Kingdom) |
| 5. Open Society Institute (OSI) (United States) |
| 6. Mo Ibrahim Foundation (United Kingdom) |
| 7. Oxford Council on Good Governance (OCGG) (United Kingdom) |
| 8. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 9. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 10. International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 11. National Endowment for Democracy (NED) (United States) |
| 12. Center for Public Integrity (United States) |
| 13. Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 14. Geneva Center for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces (DCAF) (Switzerland) |
| 15. Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (Brazil) |
| 16. Revenue Watch Institute (RWI) (United States) |
| 17. Global Integrity (United States) |
| 18. Institute for Democracy in South Africa (IDASA) (South Africa) |
| 19. Centro de Análisis e Investigación (FUNDAR) (Mexico) |
| 20. International Budget Partnership (IBP) (United States) |
| 21. Development Alternatives (India) |
| 22. Grupo FARO, Foundation for the Advance of Reforms and Opportunities (Ecuador) |
| 23. Taxpayers Alliance (United Kingdom) |
| 24. Indonesia Corruption Watch (ICW) (Indonesia) |
| 25. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 26. The QoG Institute (Sweden) |
| 27. Center for Public Policy Studies (Malaysia) |
| 28. Fundación Jubileo (Bolivia) |
| 29. International Center for Human Development (ICHD) (Armenia) |
| 30. PATTIRO (Indonesia) |

Top 20 Energy and Resource Policy Think Tanks
Table #22

| |
|---|
| 1. World Resource Institute (United States) |
| 2. Oxford Institute for Energy Studies (OIES) (United Kingdom) |
| 3. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 4. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 5. Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR) (United States) |
| 6. James A Baker III Institute for Public Policy (United States) |
| 7. TERI: The Energy and Resources Institute (India) |
| 8. Center for Science of Environment, Resources, and Energy (Japan) |
| 9. Center on Resource, Agricultural, Transport and Energy Economics (Canada) |
| 10. Centre for Energy Policy and Economics (CEPE) (Switzerland) |
| 11. Energy Studies Institute (Singapore) |
| 12. Korea Energy Economics Institute (KEEI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 13. Center for Resources and the Environment (United States) |
| 14. Institute of Energy Economics (Japan) |
| 15. European Centre for Energy and Resource Security (EUCERS) (United Kingdom) |
| 16. Centre for European Policy Studies (Belgium) |
| 17. Center on Environment, Energy and Resource Policy (CEERP) (China) |
| 18. Davis Energy Institute (United States) |
| 19. Centre for Energy Environment Resources Development (CEERD) (Thailand) |
| 20. Centre for Population and Environmental Development (CPED) (Nigeria) |

Top Education Policy Think Tanks (Unranked and in Alphabetical order)
Table #23

| |
|--|
| Brookings Institution (United States) |
| Brown Center on Education Policy (United States) |
| Cato Institute (United States) |
| Center for American Progress (United States) |
| Center for Democratic Education (Albania) |
| Center for Education Policy (Lithuania) |
| Center for Education Policy (Slovakia) |
| Center for Education Policy (CEP) (Serbia) |
| Center for Education Policy Research (United States) |
| Center for Educational Policy (Ukraine) |
| Center for Educational Policy Analysis (Hungary) |
| Center for Educational Policy Studies (Russia) |
| Center for Educational Policy Studies (CEPS) (Slovenia) |
| Center for Educational Research and Development (Croatia) |
| Center for Innovations in Education (CIE) (Azerbaijan) |
| Center for Public Policy (TzOT) (Kyrgyzstan) |
| Center for Social and Economic Analyses (Czech Republic) |
| Consortium for Policy Research in Education (United States) |
| Education and Training Unit (Armenia) |
| Education Initiatives Support Foundation (Kyrgyzstan) |
| Education Reform Initiative (Turkey) |
| Educational Reform Circles (Serbia) |
| Educational Reform Support Unit "Pulse" (Tajikistan) |
| Educational Studies Center (Ukraine) |
| Educator Policy Data Center (United States) |
| Forum za Slobodu Odgoja (Croatia) |
| Information Research Center for Civic Education (Kazakhstan) |
| Institut za Društvena Istraživanja u Zagreba (IDIZ) (Croatia) |
| Institute for Education Policy and Practice (United States) |
| Institute for Public Policy (Moldova) |
| Institute of Education (United Kingdom) |
| Institute of Public Affairs (Education Policy Program) (Poland) |
| International Center for Policy Studies (Ukraine) |
| International Institute for Education Policy, Planning and Management (EPPM) (Georgia) |
| Istanbul Policy Center at Sabanci University (IPC) (Turkey) |
| Kosovo Education Center (KEC) (Kosovo) |
| Macedonian Civic Education Center (MCEC) (Macedonia) |
| Mongolian Education Alliance (MEA) (Mongolia) |
| National Institute for Educational Policy Research (Japan) |

| |
|--|
| Orava Association (Slovakia) |
| Oversea Development Institute (United Kingdom) |
| PRAXIS Center for Policy Studies (Estonia) |
| ProMente Social Research (Bosnia and Herzegovina) |
| PROVIDUS Center for Public Policy (Latvia) |
| Qendra Shqiptare e Asistences Arsimore (Albania) |
| RAND Corporation (United States) |
| Slovak Governance Institute (SGI) (Slovakia) |
| Socires (Netherlands) |
| SRI Education Policy Center (United States) |
| The Moscow School of Social and Economic Sciences (MSSES) (Russia) |
| Urban Institute (United States) |

Top Think Tanks by Special Achievements

| Think Tanks with the Most Innovative Policy Ideas/Proposals <i>Table #24</i> | |
|---|---|
| 1. | Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 2. | Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 3. | Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 4. | Cato Institute (United States) |
| 5. | International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 6. | Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 7. | Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 8. | New America Foundation (United States) |
| 9. | European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR) (United Kingdom) |
| 10. | American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 11. | Center for Social and Economic Research (CASE) (Poland) |
| 12. | Fundar, Centro de Análisis e Investigación (Mexico) |
| 13. | Fraser Institute (Canada) |
| 14. | Center for European Studies (CES) (Belgium) |
| 15. | Israel-Palestine Center for Research and Information (IPCRI) (Israel/Palestine) |
| 16. | German Development Institute (DIE) (Germany) |
| 17. | Development Alternatives Group (India) |
| 18. | Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 19. | Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 20. | Zero Emissions Research and Initiatives (ZERI) (Japan) |
| 21. | Centre for Policy Studies (CPS) (United Kingdom) |
| 22. | French Institute of International Relations (IFRI) (France) |
| 23. | Friedrich Ebert Foundation (FES) (Germany) |
| 24. | Konrad Adenauer Foundation (KAS) (Germany) |
| 25. | IMANI Center for Policy and Education (Ghana) |
| 26. | Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBRI) (Brazil) |
| 27. | Heinrich Boll Foundation (HBS) (Germany) |
| 28. | Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 29. | African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 30. | Friedrich Naumann Foundation for Freedom (Germany) |

Best New Think Tanks
Table #25

| |
|---|
| 1. Google Ideas (United States) |
| 2. Economic Strategies for the 21st Century (e21) (United States) |
| 3. Macdonald-Laurier Institute (MLI) (Canada) |
| 4. Econwatch Society of Political Analysis (Germany) |
| 5. Institute for Democracy and Economic Affairs (IDEAS) (Malaysia) |
| 6. Arab Thought Forum (ATF) (Jordan) |
| 7. Casablanca Institute (Morocco) |
| 8. Sheikh Saud bin Saqr Al Qasimi Foundation for Policy Research (United Arab Emirates) |
| 9. Centre Africain des Etudes Asiatiques (CAEA) (Morocco) |
| 10. Audace Institut Afrique (AIA) (Ivory Coast) |

Think Tanks with Outstanding Policy-Oriented Research Programs
Table #26

| |
|---|
| 1. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 2. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 3. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 4. Bruegel (Belgium) |
| 5. Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 6. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 7. Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 8. Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 9. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 10. Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) (Belgium) |
| 11. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (United States) |
| 12. Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 13. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 14. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) (Sweden) |
| 15. International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 16. Center for Global Development (CGD) (United States) |
| 17. Adam Smith Institute (ASI) (United Kingdom) |
| 18. Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS) (China) |
| 19. International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (United Kingdom) |
| 20. Human Rights Watch (United Kingdom) |
| 21. Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 22. Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV) (Brazil) |
| 23. Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 24. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) (United States) |
| 25. World Resources Institute (WRI) (United States) |
| 26. German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP) (Germany) |
| 27. French Institute of International Relations (IFRI) (France) |
| 28. Hoover Institution (United States) |
| 29. Urban Institute (United States) |
| 30. Korea Development Institute (KDI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 31. Konrad Adenauer Foundation (KAS) (Germany) |
| 32. Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBRI) (Brazil) |
| 33. German Development Institute (DIE) (Germany) |
| 34. Lowy Institute for International Policy (Australia) |
| 35. Libertad y Desarrollo (LyD) (Chile) |
| 36. Centre for Policy Studies (CPS) (United Kingdom) |
| 37. Institute for Public Policy Research (IPPR) (United Kingdom) |
| 38. Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIIA) (Japan) |
| 39. Fundar, Centro de Análisis e Investigación (Mexico) |

| |
|--|
| 40. Institute for Security Studies (ISS) (South Africa) |
| 41. Brazilian Center for Analysis and Planning (CEBRAP) (Brazil) |
| 42. Institute of World Economy and International Relations (IMEMO, RAS) (Russia) |
| 43. Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis (KIPPRA) (Kenya) |
| 44. Washington Institute for Near East Policy (United States) |
| 45. Economic Policy Research Center (EPRC) (Uganda) |
| 46. Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 47. North-South Institute (NSI) (Canada) |
| 48. Centre for Public Policy Studies (CPPS) (Malaysia) |
| 49. East Asia Institute (EAI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 50. South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) (South Africa) |
| 51. Institute for International Policy Studies (IIPS) (Japan) |
| 52. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 53. Centre for the Study of Developing Societies (CSDS) (India) |
| 54. German Council on Foreign Relations (DGAP) (Germany) |
| 55. Carnegie Moscow Center (Russia) |
| 56. Institute for Research on Public Policy (IRPP) (Canada) |
| 57. Development Alternatives Group (India) |
| 58. Unirule Institute of Economics (China) |
| 59. Institute of Policy Studies (IPS) (Singapore) |
| 60. Taub Center for Social Policy Studies (Israel) |

Think Tanks with the Best Use of the Internet or Social Media
Table # 27

| |
|---|
| 1. Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 2. Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 3. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 4. Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 5. Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 6. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 7. Pew Research Center (United States) |
| 8. Human Rights Watch (United Kingdom) |
| 9. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 10. International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 11. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 12. Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 13. Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 14. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 15. European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR) (United Kingdom) |
| 16. Atlas Economic Research Institute (United States) |
| 17. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (United States) |
| 18. Center for a New American Security (CNAS) (United States) |
| 19. Technology, Entertainment, Design (TED) (United States) |
| 20. Fraser Institute (Canada) |
| 21. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 22. Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (United Kingdom) |
| 23. International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (United Kingdom) |
| 24. Centre for European Studies (CES) (Belgium) |
| 25. New America Foundation (United States) |
| 26. Konrad Adenauer Foundation (KAS) (Germany) |
| 27. Center for Global Development (CGD) (United States) |
| 28. Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBRI) (Brazil) |
| 29. Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIIA) (Japan) |
| 30. Lowy Institute for International Policy (Australia) |
| 31. Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV) (Brazil) |
| 32. Singapore Institute for International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 33. Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 34. African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 35. Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA) (Senegal) |
| 36. Centro de Divulgación del Conocimiento Económico para la Libertad (CEDICE Libertad) |
| 37. Institute for Public Policy Research (IPPR) (United Kingdom) |
| 38. Organization for Social Science Research in Eastern and Southern Africa (OSSREA) (Ethiopia) |
| 39. ResPublica (United Kingdom) |

40. Tahrir Data Project (Egypt)

Think Tanks with the Best Use of Media (Print or Electronic)
Table #28

| |
|--|
| 1. Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 2. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 3. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 4. Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 5. Human Rights Watch (United States) |
| 6. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 7. Pew Research Center (United States) |
| 8. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 9. Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 10. Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 11. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 12. Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 13. Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 14. German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF) (United States) |
| 15. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 16. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (United States) |
| 17. European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR) (United Kingdom) |
| 18. International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (United Kingdom) |
| 19. International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 20. Open Society Institute (OSI) (United States) |
| 21. Center for a New American Security (CNAS) (United States) |
| 22. Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) (Belgium) |
| 23. Korea Development Institute (KDI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 24. Lowy Institute for International Policy (Australia) |
| 25. Libertad y Desarrollo (LyD) (Chile) |
| 26. Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 27. Council on Foreign and Defence Policy (SVOP) (Russia) |
| 28. Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies (IDSS) (Singapore) |
| 29. Institute for Defense Studies and Analyses (IDSA) (India) |
| 30. Institute of Contemporary Development (INSOR) (Russia) |
| 31. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 32. South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) (South Africa) |
| 33. Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 34. African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 35. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) (Sweden) |

Think Tanks with the Best External Relations/Public Engagement Program
Table #29

| |
|--|
| 1. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 2. Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 3. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 4. Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 5. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 6. Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 7. Bruegel (Belgium) |
| 8. Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 9. Human Rights Watch (United Kingdom) |
| 10. Pew Research Center (United States) |
| 11. Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 12. Turkish Economic and Social Studies Foundation (TESEV) (Turkey) |
| 13. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (United States) |
| 14. International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 15. International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (United Kingdom) |
| 16. Atlas Economic Research Foundation (United States) |
| 17. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 18. Center for Global Development (CGD) (United States) |
| 19. Atlantic Council of the United States (United States) |
| 20. Center for a New American Security (CNAS) (United States) |
| 21. Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 22. Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (United Kingdom) |
| 23. Open Society Institute (OSI) (United States) |
| 24. Centro de Implementación de Políticas Públicas para la Equidad y el Crecimiento (CIPPEC) (Argentina) |
| 25. Lowy Institute for International Policy (Australia) |
| 26. Centre for European Studies (CES) (Belgium) |
| 27. Konrad Adenauer Foundation (KAS) (Germany) |
| 28. Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBRI) (Brazil) |
| 29. Libertad y Desarrollo (LyD) (Chile) |
| 30. Consejo Argentino para las Relaciones Internacionales (CARI) (Argentina) |
| 31. Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO) (Norway) |
| 32. Turkish Economic and Social Studies Foundation (TESEV) (Turkey) |
| 33. Centre for Policy Studies (CPS) (United Kingdom) |
| 34. Fundação Getulio Vargas (FGV) (Brazil) |
| 35. German Council on Foreign Relations (DGAP) (Germany) |
| 36. Urban Institute (United States) |
| 37. German Development Institute (DIE) (Germany) |
| 38. Gulf Research Center (GRC) (Saudi Arabia) |

| |
|---|
| 39. Kenya Institute of Public Policy Research Analysis (KIPPRA) (Kenya) |
| 40. African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 41. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 42. Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIIA) (Japan) |
| 43. South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) (South Africa) |
| 44. Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 45. Development Alternatives Group (India) |
| 46. Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) (United Kingdom) |
| 47. World Resources Institute (WRI) (United States) |
| 48. German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP) (Germany) |
| 49. Carnegie Europe (Belgium) |
| 50. Clingendael, Netherlands Institute of International Relations (The Netherlands) |

Think Tanks with the Most Significant Impact on Public Policy
Table #30

| |
|---|
| 1. Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 2. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 3. Bruegel (Belgium) |
| 4. Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 5. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 6. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 7. Chatham House (United Kingdom) |
| 8. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 9. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 10. Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 11. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 12. Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 13. Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) (Belgium) |
| 14. Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS) (China) |
| 15. Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 16. Human Rights Watch (United Kingdom) |
| 17. Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 18. Adam Smith Institute (ASI) (United Kingdom) |
| 19. International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 20. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (United States) |
| 21. Fraser Institute (Canada) |
| 22. Center for Social and Economic Research (CASE) (Poland) |
| 23. Fundar, Centro de Análisis e Investigación (Mexico) |
| 24. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) (Sweden) |
| 25. Center for a New American Security (CNAS) (United States) |
| 26. Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (United Kingdom) |
| 27. Open Society Institute (OSI) (United States) |
| 28. International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (United Kingdom) |
| 29. Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIIA) (Japan) |
| 30. Korean Development Institute (KDI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 31. German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP) (Germany) |
| 32. Fundação Getulio Vargas (Brazil) |
| 33. Al-Ahram Center for Strategic and Political Studies (ACPSS) (Egypt) |
| 34. CESifo Group (Germany) |
| 35. European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR) (United Kingdom) |
| 36. C. D. Howe Institute (Canada) |
| 37. New America Foundation (United States) |
| 38. Konrad Adenauer Foundation (KAS) (Germany) |
| 39. Libertad y Desarrollo (LyD) (Chile) |

| |
|---|
| 40. Urban Institute (United States) |
| 41. Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBRI) (Brazil) |
| 42. Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA) (Senegal) |
| 43. Turkish Economic and Social Studies Foundation (TESEV) (Turkey) |
| 44. International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (United Kingdom) |
| 45. IMANI Center for Policy and Education (Ghana) |
| 46. Centro de Estudios Públicos (CEP) (Chile) |
| 47. Kiel Institute for the World Economy (Germany) |
| 48. Centro de Divulgación del Conocimiento Económico para la Libertad (CEDICE Libertad) (Venezuela) |
| 49. Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO) (Russia) |
| 50. Center for Policy Studies at Central European University (CPS-CEU) (Hungary) |
| 51. European Center for International Political Economy (ECIPE) (Belgium) |
| 52. Organization for Social Science Research in Eastern and Southern Africa (OSSREA) (Ethiopia) |
| 53. African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 54. Lowy Institute for International Policy (Australia) |
| 55. North-South Institute (NSI) (Canada) |
| 56. Centre for European Studies (CES) (Belgium) |
| 57. Fundacion para la Educación Superior y el Desarrollo (Fedesarrollo) (Colombia) |
| 58. South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) (South Africa) |
| 59. Council on Foreign and Defence Policy (SVOP) (Russia) |
| 60. India Council for Research on International Economic Relations (ICRIER) (India) |
| 61. Instituto Fernando Henrique Cardoso (iFHC) (Brazil) |
| 62. Singapore Institute of International Affairs (SIIA) (Singapore) |
| 63. Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 64. German Council on Foreign Relations (DGAP) (Germany) |
| 65. Unirule Institute of Economics (China) |

Best University Affiliated Think Tanks
Table #31

| |
|---|
| 1. Hoover Institution, Stanford University (United States) |
| 2. Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, Harvard University (United States) |
| 3. Institute of Development Studies (IDS), University of Sussex (United Kingdom) |
| 4. Center for International Development (CID), Harvard University (United States) |
| 5. Center for International Studies and Research (CERI), Sciences Po (France) |
| 6. Earth Institute, Columbia University (United States) |
| 7. IDEAS/Public Policy Group, London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) (United Kingdom) |
| 8. Center for Transatlantic Relations (CTR), School of Advanced International Studies (SAIS), Johns Hopkins University (United States) |
| 9. Center for International Security and Cooperation (CISAC), Stanford University (United States) |
| 10. Centre for Defence Studies (CDS), Kings College London (United Kingdom) |
| 11. Yale Center for the Study of Globalization (YCSG), Yale University (United States) |
| 12. Brookings-Tsinghua Center for Public Policy (BTC), Tsinghua University (China) |
| 13. James A. Baker III Institute for Public Policy, Rice University (United States) |
| 14. Weatherhead Center for International Affairs (WCFIA), Harvard University (United States) |
| 15. Centre for the Study of African Economies (CSAE), Oxford University (United Kingdom) |
| 16. Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn (Germany) |
| 17. Center for Security Studies (CSS), Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) Zurich (Switzerland) |
| 18. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS), National University of Singapore (Singapore) |
| 19. Freeman Spogli Institute for International Studies (FSI), Stanford University (United States) |
| 20. BRICS Policy Center, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio) (Brazil) |
| 21. Mercatus Center, George Mason University (GMU) (United States) |
| 22. Human Security Report Project (HSRP), Simon Fraser University (Canada) |
| 23. Center for Policy Studies (CPS), Central European University (CEU) (Hungary) |
| 24. Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO) (Russia) |
| 25. Institute for International Relations, Beijing University (China) |
| 26. Strategic and Defence Studies Centre (SDSC), Australian National University (ANU) (Australia) |
| 27. Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies (IDSS), S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies (RSIS), Nanyang Technological University (Singapore) |
| 28. Economic Policy Research Center (EPRC), Makerere University (Uganda) |
| 29. Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Costa Rica) |
| 30. Centre for Strategic Studies (CSS), Victoria University of Wellington (New Zealand) |
| 31. Center for Political Analysis, Makerere University (Uganda) |
| 32. Liu Institute for Global Issues, University of British Columbia (UBC) (Canada) |
| 33. Edwin O. Reischauer Center for East Asia Studies, School for Advanced International Studies (SAIS), Johns Hopkins University (United States) |
| 34. Centre for International Security Studies (CISS), The University of Sydney (Australia) |

| |
|--|
| 35. Globalisation and Development Centre (GDC), Bond University (Australia) |
| 36. Weatherhead East Asian Institute, Columbia University (United States) |
| 37. Centre for Security, Economics, Technology (C SET), University of St. Gallen (Switzerland) |
| 38. Council on Foreign Relations and Defense (SVOP), National Research University (Russia) |
| 39. Center for Economic Research and Graduate Education, Economics Institute (CERGE-EI) (Czech Republic) |
| 40. Centre for Studies in Social Change (OSC), Sciences Po (France) |

Best Government Affiliated Think Tanks
Table #32

| | |
|-----|--|
| 1. | World Bank Institute (WBI), World Bank (United States) |
| 2. | United States Institute for Peace (USIP) (United States) |
| 3. | Chinese Academy of Social Science (CASS) (China) |
| 4. | Royal United Services Institute for Defence and Security Studies (RUSI) (United Kingdom) |
| 5. | United Nations University (UNU) (Japan) |
| 6. | Asian Development Bank Institute (Japan) |
| 7. | China Institute of International Studies (CIIS) (China) |
| 8. | German Development Institute (DIE) (Germany) |
| 9. | Korea Development Institute (KDI) (Republic of Korea) |
| 10. | China Institutes of Contemporary International Relations (CICIR) (China) |
| 11. | Norwegian Institute of International Affairs (NUPI) (Norway) |
| 12. | Development Research Group, World Bank (United States) |
| 13. | European Union Institute for Security Studies (France) |
| 14. | Council of Policy Advisors EU (Belgium) |
| 16. | Institute for Defence Studies and Analyses (IDSA) (India) |
| 17. | Institute of World Economy and International Relations (IMEMO, RAS) (Russia) |
| 18. | Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada (IPEA) (Brazil) |
| 19. | Center for Strategic and International Studies (Indonesia) |
| 20. | Shanghai Institute for International Studies (China) |
| 21. | Polish Institute of International Affairs (Poland) |
| 22. | Institute of Foreign Affairs and National Security (IFANS) (Republic of Korea) |
| 23. | Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO) (Ecuador) |
| 24. | Centre for Eastern Studies (OSW) (Poland) |
| 25. | Centre for European Studies (Belgium) |
| 26. | Development Research Center of the State Council (China) |
| 27. | Ethiopian Development Research Institute (Ethiopia) |
| 28. | Council on Foreign and Defense Policy (SVOP) (Russia) |
| 29. | Funação Alexandre Gusmao (Brazil) |
| 30. | Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI) (Japan) |
| 31. | Diplomatic Academy of Vietnam (Vietnam) |
| 32. | Center for Strategic Studies (Azerbaijan) |
| 33. | United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD) (Switzerland) |
| 34. | Brunei Darussalam Institute of Policy and Strategic Studies (BDIPSS) (Brunei) |
| 35. | University of Dar es Salaam (Tanzania) |
| 36. | Maritime Institute of Malaysia (MIMA) (Malaysia) |
| 37. | Information and Decision Support Center (Egypt) |
| 38. | Institute for West Asian and African Studies (China) |
| 39. | National Institute for Defense Studies (NIDS) (Japan)` |
| 40. | Institute of Strategic and Defense Studies at the National Defense University (Hungary) |

Best Think Tanks with Political Party Affiliation[§]

Table #33

| | |
|-----|--|
| 1. | Konrad Adenauer Foundation (KAS) (Germany) |
| 2. | Friedrich Ebert Foundation (FES) (Germany) |
| 3. | Heinrich Boll Stiftung (HBS) (Germany) |
| 4. | Demos (United Kingdom) |
| 5. | Friedrich Naumann Foundation (FNS) (Germany) |
| 6. | Center for European Policy Studies (Belgium) |
| 7. | Progressive Policy Institute (PPI) (United States) |
| 8. | Fundación para las Relaciones Internacionales y el Diálogo (FRIDE) (Spain) |
| 9. | Fabian Society (United Kingdom) |
| 10. | Fundación IDEAS (Spain) |
| 11. | Hanns Seidel Foundation (Germany) |
| 12. | Central Party School (China) |
| 13. | European Ideas Network (Belgium) |
| 14. | Fondation pour l'Innovation Politique (France) |
| 15. | Fundacion para el Analisis y los Estudios Sociales (FAES) (Spain) |
| 16. | Robert Shuman Foundation (France) |
| 17. | Fundación Armando Alvares Penteado (FAAP) (Brazil) |
| 18. | Fondazione Italianeuropei (Italy) |
| 19. | Fundación Pensar (Argentina) |
| 20. | Center for Policy Studies (Belgium) |
| 21. | New Democrat Network (United States) |
| 22. | Foundation for European Progressive Studies (FEPS) (Belgium) |
| 23. | Fundación Jaime Guzman (Chile) |
| 24. | Institute of Strategic Analysis and Policy Research (INSAP) (Malaysia) |
| 25. | Green European Foundation (GEF) (Belgium) |
| 26. | Terra Nova (France) |
| 27. | Foundation for EU Democracy (Belgium) |
| 28. | Wiardi Beckman Foundation (The Netherlands) |
| 29. | Rosa Luxemburg Foundation (Germany) |
| 30. | SEDAR Institute (Malaysia) |

[§] Some of the institutions listed in this category are formally affiliated with a political party while others are independent, but their research and policy proposals aligned with a political party even though they lack formal affiliation.

Best For-Profit Think Tanks (Unranked and in Alphabetical order)

Table #34

| |
|--|
| Access Capital Research (Ethiopia) |
| A.T. Kearney Global Business Policy Council (GBPC) (United States) |
| Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation (Portugal) |
| Daimler Benz Future Research Unit (Germany) |
| Deutsche Bank Research (Germany) |
| Economist Intelligence Unit (United Kingdom) |
| Ernest & Young (United States) |
| Eurasia Group (United States) |
| Google Ideas (United States) |
| IHS Global Insight (United Kingdom) |
| Initiative Neue Soziale Marktwirtschaft (INSM) (Germany) |
| Kernel Development Research P.L.C. (Ethiopia) |
| Kissinger Associates (United States) |
| McKinsey Global Institute (United States) |
| Nomura Research Institute (Japan) |
| Oxford Analytica (United States) |
| Prioritet (Azerbaijan) |
| Roubini Global Economics (United States) |
| Samsung Economic Research Institute (Korea) |
| SiR International (United States) |
| Stratfor (United States) |
| The Hybrid Reality Institute (United States) |
| Wiardi Beckman Foundation (The Netherlands) |

Best Think Tanks with an Annual Operating Budget under \$5 Million USD
Table #35

| |
|---|
| 1. North-South Institute (Canada) |
| 2. Center for Social and Economic Research (CASE) (Poland) |
| 3. Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBRI) (Brazil) |
| 4. Libertad y Desarrollo (Chile) |
| 5. Centro de Estudios Públicos (CEP) (Chile) |
| 6. Economic Policy Research Institute (South Africa) |
| 7. African Technology Policy Studies network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 8. Center for Economic and Social Development (CESD) (Azerbaijan) |
| 9. Center for Public Policy Studies (Malaysia) |
| 10. IMANI Center for Policy and Education (Ghana) |
| 11. Centro de Divulgación del Conocimiento Económico para la Libertad (CEDICE Libertad) (Venezuela) |
| 12. Consejo Argentino de Relaciones Internacionales (Argentina) |
| 13. USP Research Center for Public Policy (Brazil) |
| 14. Unirule Institute of Economics (China) |
| 15. Integrated Research and Action for Development (IRADe) (India) |
| 16. Organization for Social Science Research in Eastern and Southern Africa (Ethiopia) |
| 17. Instituto Ecuatoriano de Economía Política (Ecuador) |
| 18. Polish Institute of International Affairs (PISM) (Poland) |
| 19. Foreign Policy Research Institute United States |
| 20. Fundar (Mexico) |

Best Advocacy Campaign
Table #36

| |
|--|
| 1. Amnesty International (United Kingdom) |
| 2. Human Rights Watch (United Kingdom) |
| 3. Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 4. International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 5. Batory Foundation (Poland) |
| 6. Open Society Europe (Belgium) |
| 7. Foreign Policy Initiative (FPI) (United States) |
| 8. Qatar Foundation (Qatar) |
| 9. Economic Research Center (Azerbaijan) |
| 10. Global Witness ((United Kingdom) |
| 11. Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO) (Norway) |
| 12. Enough Project (United States) |
| 13. Pew Research Center (United States) |
| 14. Center for American Progress (CAP) (United States) |
| 15. Heritage Foundation (United States) |
| 16. Cato Institute (United States) |
| 17. Arab Reform Alternatives (ARA) (Egypt) |
| 18. FreedomWorks (United States) |
| 19. Acton Institute (United States) |
| 20. One Campaign (United States) |
| 21. Center for European Policy Analysis (United States) |
| 22. Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources Policy Analysis Network (FANRPAN) (South Africa) |
| 23. European Council on Foreign Relations (United Kingdom) |
| 24. Heinrich Boll Stiftung (HBS) (Germany) |
| 25. British Taxpayers Alliance (United Kingdom) |
| 26. Institute for Justice (United States) |
| 27. Americans for Tax Reform (United States) |
| 28. Copenhagen Consensus Center (Denmark) |
| 29. African Population and Health Research Center (APHRC) (Kenya) |
| 30. Central Asian Free Market Institute (Kyrgyzstan) |
| 31. Istituto Bruno Leoni (Italy) |
| 32. Conectas Direitos Humanos (Brazil) |
| 33. Center for Policy Analysis (Ghana) |
| 34. Center for Global Development (CGD) (United States) |
| 35. Competitive Enterprise Institute (CEI) (United States) |
| 36. Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (United Kingdom) |
| 37. GRAIN (Spain) |
| 38. Advocates Coalition for Development and Environment (Uganda) |
| 39. Association for International Affairs (Czech Republic) |
| 40. Consejo Mexicano de Asuntos Internacionales (COMEXI) (Mexico) |

| |
|--|
| 41. Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA) (Senegal) |
| 42. Tax Foundation (United States) |
| 43. Americas Principles Project (United States) |
| 44. Centro de Investigaciones Económicas Nacionales (CIEN) (Guatemala) |
| 45. Truman National Security Project (TNSP) (United States) |
| 46. Centro de Implementación de Políticas Públicas para la Equidad y el Crecimiento (CIPPEC) (Argentina) |
| 47. European Stability Initiative (Germany) |
| 48. Centre d'Etudes et de Recherches en Sciences Sociales (CERSS) (Morocco) |
| 49. Corner House (United Kingdom) |
| 50. Refugee Advocacy Network (Australia) |
| 51. Center for Strategic Studies (Azerbaijan) |
| 52. Culture and Arts Society (Ethiopia) |
| 53. Tax Justice Network (United Kingdom) |
| 54. Property and Environment Research Center (PERC) (United States) |
| 55. Global Financial Integrity (United States) |
| 56. Fundacion Ethos (Mexico) |
| 57. World Federalist Movement (United States) |
| 58. The Geneva Association (Switzerland) |
| 59. Uwezo (Kenya) |
| 60. Ethiopian Broadcast (Ethiopia) |
| 61. Fundacion para el Desarrollo Economico y Social de Panama (FUDESPA) (Panama) |
| 62. Instituto Mexicano para la Competitividad (Mexico) |
| 63. African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) (Kenya) |
| 64. Fortnight for Freedom (United States) |
| 65. Analytical Centre on Globalization and Regional Cooperation (ACGRC) (Armenia) |
| 66. Centre for Public Policy Studies (CPPS) (Malaysia) |
| 67. Institute of Peace and Conflict Studies (IPCS) (India) |
| 68. Instituto Mexicano para la Competitividad (Mexico) |
| 69. Makerere Institute of Social Research (Uganda) |
| 70. Think New Mexico (United States) |
| 71. Ukrainian Center for Independent Political Research (Ukraine) |
| 72. Institut d'Etudes Africaines (IEA) (Morocco) |
| 73. National Budget Group (Azerbaijan) |
| 74. National Council for Science & Technology (Kenya) |
| 75. SynergyNet (China) |

Best Policy Study/Report Produced by a Think Tank 2011-2012
Table #37

| |
|--|
| 1. Brookings Institution (United States) |
| 2. Bruegel (Belgium) |
| 3. Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) (United States) |
| 4. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (United States) |
| 5. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (United States) |
| 6. Human Rights Watch (United States) |
| 7. RAND Corporation (United States) |
| 8. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research (AEI) (United States) |
| 9. Peterson Institute for International Economics (United States) |
| 10. Transparency International (TI) (Germany) |
| 11. Center for New American Security (CNAS) (United States) |
| 12. C.D. Howe Institute (Canada) |
| 13. International Crisis Group (ICG) (Belgium) |
| 14. IDEAS (United Kingdom) |
| 15. Al Ahram Center for Political and Strategic studies (ACPSS) (Egypt) |
| 16. Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV) (Brazil) |
| 17. Advocates Coalition for Development and Environment (ACODE) (Uganda) |
| 18. Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources Policy Analysis Network (FANRPAN) (South Africa) |
| 19. Institute of World Economy and International Relations (IMEMO, RAS) (Russia) |
| 20. Institute of Development Studies (IDS) (United Kingdom) |
| 21. Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (United Kingdom) |
| 22. Center for Global Development (CGD) (United States) |
| 23. Institute for Defence Studies and Analyses (IDSA) (India) |
| 24. Rebuild Japan Initiative Foundation (Japan) |
| 25. Centre for European Reform (CER) (United Kingdom) |
| 26. Fundacion para la Relaciones Internacionales y el Dialogo Exterior (FRIDE) (Spain) |
| 27. German Development Institute (DIE) (Germany) |
| 28. Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO) (Norway) |
| 29. Libertad y Desarrollo (Chile) |
| 30. Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIIA) (Japan) |
| 31. Notre Europe (France) |
| 32. German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP) (Germany) |
| 33. Hellenic Foundation for European and Foreign Policy (ELIAMEP) (Greece) |
| 34. Istituto per gli Studi di Politica Internazionale (ISPI) (Italy) |
| 35. European Council for International Political Economy (ECIPE) (Belgium) |
| 36. Analytical Centre on Globalization and Regional Cooperation (ACGRC) (Armenia) |
| 37. Centro de Investigaciones Económicas Nacionales (CIEN) (Guatemala) |
| 38. Kofi Anan Foundation (Switzerland) |
| 39. Instituto Mexicano para la Competitividad (Mexico) |
| 40. The Economic Policy Research Foundation (TEPAV) (Turkey) |

| |
|---|
| 41. Institute of Peace & Conflict Studies (India) |
| 42. Cellule d'Analyse de Politiques Economiques du CIRES (Cote d'Ivoire) |
| 43. Heinrich Boll Stiftung (HBS) (Germany) |
| 44. Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis (KIPPRA) (Kenya) |
| 45. Politeia (United Kingdom) |
| 46. Centre d'Etudes et de Recherche Démographiques (CERED) (Vietnam) |
| 47. The Institute of Energy Economics (Japan) |
| 48. Corner House (United Kingdom) |
| 49. Asociace pro mezinarodni otazky (Czech Republic) |
| 50. Natural Resources Policy Analysis Network (South Africa) |
| 51. The Romanian Academic Society (Romania) |
| 52. Institut Royal des Etudes Stratégiques (IRES) (Morocco) |
| 53. Moroccan Interdisciplinary Center for Strategic and International Studies (Morocco) |
| 54. National Council for Science & Technology (Kenya) |
| 55. Institut d'Etudes Africaines (IEA) (Morocco) |
| 56. Levy Economics Institute (United States) |
| 57. Think New Mexico (United States) |
| 58. United Service Institution (India) |
| 59. Instituto de Estudios Peruanos (IEP) (Peru) |
| 60. West Africa Institute (Cape Verde) |

APPENDICES

A. CALL FOR INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND REGIONAL AND FUNCTIONAL EXPERT PANEL MEMBERS

Call for nominations email letter sent on April 9, 2012

1st reminder sent on May 28, 2011

2nd reminder sent on June 1, 2011

Nominations closed on June 5, 2011

April 9, 2012

Dear Friend and Colleague:

We are currently seeking nominations of qualified individuals to serve on the Expert Panels for the 2012 Global Go To Think Tank Index Project.

The Expert Panels (EP) will be comprised of distinguished individuals from around the world who are policy makers, public and private donors, journalists, former think tank executives and academics. These individuals will provide advice and support for the Global Go To Think Tank Index Project. The responsibilities will include providing advice on project development and design, external relations and resource mobilization.

The Expert Panelist (EP) members should have an in- depth knowledge of national and regional think tanks and/or be a functional areas expert in the research areas covered by the think tanks index (i.e. security and international affairs, domestic economics, social policy, etc.). Expert Panelists will help with the nominations and rankings process for the 2012 Global Go To Think Tank Index. Members will be expected to help solicit and review nominations in their area of expertise and assist with the ranking process. The purpose of the EP is to assure the quality and integrity of the rankings process.

You are encouraged to make nominations in all categories where you can recommend qualified candidates. Nominations can be made below in the following categories:

1. Regional Expert Panels

- a. United States
- b. Canada, Mexico, and Caribbean
- c. South America
- d. Middle East and North Africa
- e. Sub-Saharan Africa
- f. Western Europe
- g. Central and Eastern Europe
- h. South and Central Asia (NEW CATEGORY IN 2012)

2. Functional Research Area Expert Panels

- a. International Development
- b. Health Policy
- c. Environmental Policy
- d. Security and International Affairs
- e. Domestic Economic Policy
- f. International Economic Policy
- g. Social Policy
- h. Science and Technology Policy
- i. Transparency and Good Governance
- j. Energy and Resource Policy (NEW CATEGORY IN 2012)
- k. Education Policy (NEW CATEGORY IN 2012)

3. Specialty Categories

- a. Best Think Tank with a Budget under \$5 Million USD
- b. Best New Think Tanks (last 24 months)
- c. Best Independent Think Tanks (financially, structurally and legally independent of government and political parties) (NEW CATEGORY IN 2012)
- d. Think Tank with an Exemplary Policy-Oriented Public Policy Research Program
- e. Best Use of the Internet and Social Media to Engage the Public
- f. Best Use of the Media (Print or Electronic) to Communicate Programs and Research
- g. Best External Relations/Public Engagement Program
- h. Best Advocacy Campaign (NEW CATEGORY IN 2012)
- i. Best Policy Study Produced by a Think Tank 2011-2012 (NEW CATEGORY IN 2012)
- j. Most Significant Impact on Public Policy
- k. Most Innovative Policy Ideas/Proposals

For any questions or problems please contact James G. McGann at jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu or (215)746-2928.

James G. McGann, Ph.D.
Assistant Director, International Relations Program
Director, Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program
University of Pennsylvania
635 Williams Hall
255 S. 36th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6304

Main Office: 215 898-0452
Direct Line: 215 746-2928
Mobile: 215 206-1799
Email: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu
IR Website: <http://www.sas.upenn.edu/irp/>
TTCSP Website: <http://www.gotothinktank.com>

B. CALL FOR NOMINATIONS: 2011 GLOBAL GO TO THINK TANK INDEX

Email to over 11,500 Individuals and Organizations in over 213 countries

Call for nomination email letter sent on June 30, 2012

1st Reminder sent on July 12, 2012

2nd Reminder sent on August 17, 2012

3rd Reminder sent on August 24, 2012

4th and final reminder sent on August 30, 2011

Nominations Round I closed on September 6, 2012

Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program
International Relations Program
University of Pennsylvania
635 Williams Hall
255 South 36th Street
PHILADELPHIA, PA, USA 19104-6305
TEL. (001) 215 746-2928
EMAIL: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu

June 30, 2012

Greetings Colleagues and Friends:

I am pleased to announce the commencement of the 2012 Global Go-To Think Tank Report nominating process. The Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program at the University of Pennsylvania (TTCSP) is contacting you for help in identifying the world's leading think tanks for the 2012 Global Go-To Index. The primary objective of the index is to bring national and international recognition to the important role think tanks play in civil societies and governments around the world.

Please submit your nominations using the link to the survey below on or before August 15, 2012. Please take the time to make your nominations online, using this survey link: <http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/GXDF5XH>. Nominations can also be submitted by email to jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu but we encourage you to use the survey.

The process is simple and we have provided the following tools to help complete the survey: a link to the online nominations survey, a list of the nomination categories, a definition of think tanks, think tank ranking criteria and a think tank assessment tool. I encourage you to make nominations only in the areas in which you have knowledge and experience and for think tanks that you feel warrant consideration as centers of excellence on global, regional and national levels.

The selection process for the 2012 Global Go-To Rankings will be conducted in three rounds. This methodology in the rankings and selection process is the result of the recommendations made by the Expert Panelists who participated in the 2010 rankings

process. In 2010 the ranking criteria and rankings process underwent a thorough review by 120 members of the Global Expert Panel and several changes were implemented. Each one of criterion used to rank think tanks was evaluated, which validated the efficacy of the nominations and ranking criteria used for the global rankings. The Expert Panel members made some additional recommendations at the conclusion of the 2011 Rankings that are reflected in this year's survey.

Finally, the Expert Panel members voiced strong support for the open, democratic and transparent process that they feel is one of the defining characteristics of the Global Think Tank Index. The Expert Panel also endorsed the methodology of using regional and functional experts and peers who are guided by a set of criteria to rank think tanks. While we are encouraged by these findings we continue to strive to improve the process and welcome any comments or suggestions you might have for how we might improve the Global Go-To Think Tank Index.

This year's process and schedule is summarized below:

Round I:

Nominations June 30 – September 2012

Call for Nominations is sent to 6,500 think tanks and approximately 3500 journalists, public and private donors and policy-makers from around the world. These nominations are tabulated and institutes with 5 or more nominations are included in the next step of the 2012 Think Tank Rankings process.

Round II:

Peer/Expert Rankings: September – November 2012

Think Tanks with 5 or more nominations will be placed in an electronic ranking survey. A letter announcing the second round is emailed to all the think tanks, journalists, public and private donors, and the policy maker group who have agreed to participate in the process. The rankings are tabulated and the list of finalists is generated for the Expert Panel to review and make final selections. This year Regional and Functional Expert Panels have been created for every category and these specialists will be consulted to help assure the quality and accuracy of the nominations before they are placed on the final rankings survey. Individuals who served on last year's Expert Panel and those who have been nominated this year will be invited to serve on the 2011 Expert Panel. Experts from every region and functional area will be represented on the Expert Panel.

Round III:

Expert Panel Selects 2011 Go To Think Tanks: November – December 2012

The members of the Expert Panel receive information packets by email in order to facilitate the final selection process.

2012 Global Go-To Think Tank Rankings Announced: January 2013

The 2012 Global Go-To Think Tanks are announced at the United Nations in New York and at selected organizations in every region of the world.

NOMINATION INSTRUCTIONS AND PROCEDURES

You may nominate up to 25 organizations for the leading think tank in the world. Please also nominate top think tanks by region, areas of research and special achievement.

You may nominate up to 25 institutions per region, 25 per research area, 10 per organizational or programmatic achievement and 5 Top Think Tanks.

Please note that all nominations you make will be kept confidential. **NO SELF NOMINATIONS WILL BE CONSIDERED.**

Please submit your responses no later than August 15, 2011.

A copy of the unabridged 2010 Global Go-To Report is currently posted on the University of Pennsylvania International Relations Program web page:

http://www.gotothinktank.com/wp-content/uploads/2010GlobalGoToReport_ThinkTankIndex_UNEDITION_15_.pdf

We value your input and welcome additional comments or suggestions you may have for improving the nominating and ranking processes, as well as how the findings are reported and disseminated.

Thank you for your assistance with the 2011 Global Go-To Think Tank Index.

James G. McGann, Ph.D.

Assistant Director, International Relations Program
Director, Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program
University of Pennsylvania
635 Williams Hall
255 S. 36th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6304
Main Office: 215 898-0452
Direct Line: 215 746-2928
Email: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu
IR Web site: <http://www.sas.upenn.edu/irp/>

“Helping to bridge the gap between knowledge and policy”

“Helping to bridge the gap between knowledge and policy”

2010 Global Go To Think Tank Nomination Categories

Please use the on-line survey instrument to submit your nomination so they can be properly tabulated.

Category I. Best New Think Tanks (established in the last 18 months) (Global)
Nominate up to 10 institutions.

Category II. Outstanding Policy-Oriented Public Policy Research Program (Global)

Nominate up to 10 institutions.

Category III. Best Use of the Internet and Social Media to Engage the Public (Global)

Nominate up to 10 institutions.

Category VI. Best Use of the Media (Print or Electronic) to Communicate Programs and Research (Global)

Nominate up to 10 institutions.

Category V. Best External Relations/Public Engagement Program

Nominate up to 10 institutions.

Category VI. Greatest Impact on Public Policy

Nominate up to 10 institutions.

Category VII. Think Tanks with the Most Innovative Policy Ideas/Proposals

Nominate up to 10 institutions and include the specific policy idea/proposal.

Category VIII. Best University Affiliated Think Tanks

Nominate up to 10 institutions.

Category IX. Best Government Affiliated Think Tanks

Nominate up to 10 institutions

Category X. Best Political Party Affiliated Think Tanks

Nominate up to 10 institutions.

Category XI. Best For-Profit Think Tanks

Nominate up to 10 institutions.

Category XII: Best Think Tanks with an Annual Operating Budget of less than \$5 Million USD (Global)

Nominate up to 10 institutions.

Category XIII: Top Think Tanks by Research Area

Nominate up to 25 institutions for each of these categories:

Top 25 International Development Think Tanks

Top 25 Health Policy Think Tanks

Top 25 Environment Think Tanks

Top 25 Security and International Affairs Think Tanks

Top 25 Domestic Economic Policy Think Tanks

Top 25 International Economic Policy Think Tanks

Top 25 Social Policy Think Tanks

Top 25 Science and Technology Think Tanks

Top 25 Transparency and Good Governance Think Tanks

Category XIV: Top Think Tanks by Region (Regional)

Nominate up to 25 institutions for each of these regions:

Top 25 Think Tanks Worldwide (Non-United States) ****
Top 25 Think Tanks in the United States
Top 25 Think Tanks in Mexico, Canada and the Caribbean
Top 25 Think Tanks in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA)
Top 25 Think Tanks in Sub-Saharan Africa
Top 25 Think Tanks in Asia
Top 25 Think Tanks in Central and Eastern Europe
Top 25 Think Tanks in Western Europe
Top 25 Think Tanks in the Central and South America

Category XV: Think Tank of the Year—Top Think Tank in the World (Global)
Nominate up to 5 institutions.

**** United States think tanks have been excluded from this category in order to collect a representative sample of the top think tanks worldwide. Many of the top think tanks in the United States have a global reach in terms of their research and programming. Such think tanks may have taken a disproportional number of the Top 25 spots; thus, please exclude U.S. think tanks in this category.

Category XIV: Think Tank of the Year—Top

THINK TANK DEFINITION

Think tanks or public policy research, analysis, and engagement institutions are organizations that generate policy-oriented research, analysis, and advice on domestic and international issues in an effort to enable policymakers and the public to make informed decisions about public policy issues. Think tanks may be affiliated with political parties, governments, interest groups, or private corporations or constituted as independent nongovernmental organizations (NGOs). These institutions often act as a bridge between the academic and policymaking communities, serving the public interest as an independent voice that translates applied and basic research into a language and form that is understandable, reliable, and accessible for policymakers and the public.

Structured as permanent bodies, in contrast with ad hoc commissions or research panels, think tanks devote a substantial portion of their financial and human resources to commissioning and publishing research and policy analysis in the social sciences: political science, economics, public administration, and international affairs. The major outputs of these organizations are books, monographs, reports, policy briefs, conferences, seminars, formal briefings and informal discussions with policymakers, government officials, and key stakeholders.

In an effort to help make sense of this highly diverse set of institutions we have created a typology that takes into consideration the comparative differences in political systems and civil societies around the world. While think tanks may perform many roles in their host societies, not all think tanks do the same things to the same extent. Over the last 85 years, several distinctive organizational forms of think tanks have come into being that differ substantially in terms of their operating styles, their patterns of recruitment, their aspirations to academic standards of objectivity and completeness in research and their engagement of

policy makers, the press and the public. We believe, despite these differences that most think tanks tend to fall into the broad categories outlined below.

CATEGORIES OF THINK TANK AFFILIATIONS

Category Definition

Autonomous and Independent Significant independence from any one interest group or donor and autonomous in its operation and funding from government.*

Quasi Independent Autonomous from government but an interest group (i.e. unions, religious groups, etc.), donor or contracting agency provides a majority of the funding and has significant influence over operations of the think tank.

University Affiliated A policy research center at a university.

Political Party Affiliated Formally affiliated with a political party.

Government Affiliated A part of the structure of government.

Quasi Governmental Funded exclusively by government grants and contracts but not a part of the formal structure of government.

NOMINATIONS AND RANKING CRITERIA

It is essential that you consider a variety of criteria in making your decisions. These may include, but are not limited to:

- Direct relationship between organization's efforts in a particular area to a positive change in societal values such as significant changes in quality of life within respective country (amounts of goods and services available to citizens, state of physical and mental health, quality of environment, quality of political rights, access to institutions);
- Publication of the organization's work by peer reviewed journals, books and other authoritative publications;
- Ability to retain elite scholars & analysts;
- Access to elites in the area of policymaking, media and academia;
- Academic reputation (formal accreditation, citation of think tank, publications by scholars in major academic books, journals, conferences and in other professional publications);
- Media reputation (number of media appearances, interviews and citations);
- Reputation with policymakers (name recognition with particular issues, number of briefings and official appointments, policy briefs, legislative testimony delivered);
- Level of organization's financial resources (endowment, membership fees, annual donations, government and private contracts, earned income);
- Ability of the organization to meet the demands of those that fund it or to meet the goals of its respective grant-making institution;
- Overall output of organization (policy proposals, publications, interviews, conferences, staff nominated to official posts);
- Number of recommendations to policymakers, staff serving advisory roles to policymakers, awards given to scholars;
- Usefulness of organization's information in advocacy work, preparing legislation or testimony, preparing academic papers or presentations, conducting research or teaching;
- The organization's ability to produce new knowledge or alternative ideas on policy;
- Ability to bridge the gap between the academic and policymaking communities;
- Ability to bridge the gap between policymakers and the public;

- Ability to include new voices in the policymaking process;
- Ability of organization to be inscribed within issue and policy networks;
- Success in challenging the traditional wisdom of policymakers and in generating innovative policy ideas and programs.

THINK TANK ASSESSMENT TOOL

Clearly, assessing the impact of think tanks is not an easy endeavor to undertake given the various and conflicting actors, events, and politics involved in the policy making process. Despite the significant challenges in establishing a causal relationship between knowledge and policy, it is necessary for think tanks to understand and effectively respond to the growing chorus of questions being raised by donors, journalists, and the public about the role and influence of think tanks in civil societies and governments around the world. According to the research of Donald Abelson, James McGann, and others, think tanks can utilize various measures to assess the impact of increases in their activities as well as to account for their contributions to the policymaking environment and civil society. McGann's recent (2008) research has focused on developing a comprehensive assessment tool for evaluating a think tank's impact. The impetus for this research, in part, was the apparent confusion that exists about the differences between outputs and impacts. In various studies and surveys that McGann has conducted over the years, researchers and think tanks responded curiously when asked about impact on public policy and how they measure it. The overwhelming response was to provide a list of research outputs (number of books published, conference held, web hits, media appearances, etc.). Outputs, however, are not the only way to measure impact. The metric provided below is designed to serve as a catalyst for a discussion on how to effectively measure the impact of think tanks. It is provided here as background for the think tank ranking process in the hopes that it will help clarify the distinction between outputs and impacts and provide a useful tool as you prepare your rankings.

- Resource indicators: Ability to recruit and retain leading scholars and analysts; the level, quality, and stability of financial support; proximity and access to decision-makers and other policy elites; a staff with the ability to conduct rigorous research and produce timely and incisive analysis; institutional currency; quality and reliability of networks; and key contacts in the policy academic communities, and the media
- Utilization indicators: Reputation as a "go-to" organization by media and policy elites in the country; quantity and quality of media appearances and citations, web hits, testimony before legislative and executive bodies; briefings, official appointments, consultation by officials or departments/agencies; books sold; reports distributed; references made to research and analysis in scholarly and popular publications and attendees at conferences and seminars organized
- Output indicators: Number and quality of: policy proposals and ideas generated; publications produced (books, journal articles, policy briefs, etc.); news interviews conducted; briefings, conferences, and seminars organized; and staff who are nominated to advisory and government posts
- Impact indicators: Recommendations considered or adopted by policymakers and civil society organizations; issue network centrality; advisory role to political parties, candidates, transition teams; awards granted; publication in or citation of publications in academic

journals, public testimony and the media that influences the policy debate and decision-making; listserv and web site dominance; and success in challenging the conventional wisdom and standard operating procedures of bureaucrats and elected officials in the country

Beyond this quantitative assessment, an effective evaluation of impact should also involve NGOs, as well as members of the government and policymakers, to ascertain the degree to which they have utilized the grantee's research output. This participation can be obtained through interviews, surveys, questionnaires, and focus group meetings, utilizing the Outcome Mapping which "moves away from assessing the products of an activity or a program to focus on changes in behaviors and relationships (outcomes) which can lead to changes." Impact can be viewed as positive if it "changes the behavior, relationships, activities, or actions of the people, groups, and organizations with whom a program works directly."

Although this qualitative assessment is essential because it recognizes that policy impact can be successfully achieved even if policy prescriptions are not directly translated into actual policy, we recommend that this assessment should be translated into numerical rankings, thereby allowing comparisons with baseline data for effective monitoring and evaluation in the future.

THANKS FOR TAKING THE TIME TO COMPLETE THE NOMINATIONS SURVEY!!!!

C. EMAIL INVITING PEERS AND EXPERT PANELISTS TO RANK 2011 NOMINATED INSTITUTIONS

Email sent on October 20, 2012

1st reminder sent on November 11, 2012

2nd reminder sent on November 24, 2012

3rd reminder sent on November 29, 2011

4th and final reminder sent on November 30, 2012

2012 Ranking Process Closed on November 30, 2012

635 Williams Hall
255 South 36th Street
PHILADELPHIA, PA, USA 19104-6305
TEL. (001) 215 746-2928
EMAIL: Jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu

October 20, 2012

Dear Colleague:

I am writing to invite you to help rank the think tanks that have been nominated for the top think tanks in the world for 2012.

Please use this link to enter the rankings survey

The survey is designed to have a unique signature from your e-mail account making it possible for us to track response activity from you and other individuals who respond to the survey.

Please note that your rankings are due on November 20, 2012 and all rankings will be kept strictly confidential.

I am pleased to report that over 1000 individuals participated in the nominations process from 105 countries, which resulted in 743 institutions qualifying for consideration across the 38 categories. The distribution of nominations 743 institutions by region is impressive: Africa (75); Asia (135); Oceania (12); Western Europe (175); Eastern and Central Europe (83); Latin America (53); Middle East and North Africa (58) and North and Central America (152)

Thanks to our outreach efforts we have witnessed a dramatic increase in the participation from journalists, public and private donors and policymakers from Eastern and Central Europe, Asia, Latin America and Africa as demonstrated by the charts provided below.

The impact of this increased participation is reflected in each and every one of the 38 ranking categories.

Over the last two months it has taken a marathon of weekends and late nights to compile the lists of nominees and to consult with members of the Expert Panel to assure the quality and integrity of the nominations process. As you go through the list you will realize that the list of nominated institution represents a valuable reference and networking resource that will only be enhanced by your participation in the next round of 2012 Global Go To Tank rankings. Our goal is to create a list of the world's top think tanks that is rigorous, transparent, representative, inclusive and authoritative.

When ranking the top think tanks in the world, we suggest that you use the criteria provided and focus on aspects such as the rigor and relevance of the research and analysis produced, scale of operations, breadth of audience and financial support, contribution of research and analysis to public debate and the policymaking process, and the organization's overall impact on public policy.

To help with this process please utilize the following criteria when ranking the nominated institutions for the 2012 Global Go To Think Tank Index:

- Direct relationship between the organization's efforts in a particular area to a positive change in societal values such as significant changes in quality of life within respective country (amounts of goods and services available to citizens, state of physical and mental health, quality of environment, quality of political rights, access to institutions);
- Publication of the organization's work in peer-reviewed journals, books and other authoritative publications;
- Ability to retain elite scholars and analysts;
- Access to elites in the area of policymaking, media, and academia;
- Academic reputation (formal accreditation, citation of think tank; publications by scholars in major academic books, journals, conferences, and in other professional publications);
- Media reputation (number of media appearances, interviews, and citations);
- Reputation with policymakers (name recognition with particular issues, number of briefings and official appointments, policy briefs, legislative testimony delivered);
- Level of the organization's financial resources (endowment, membership fees, annual donations, government and private contracts, earned income),
- Ability of the organization to meet the demands of those that fund it or to meet the goals of its respective grant-making institution;
- Overall output of the organization (policy proposals, publications, interviews, conferences, staff nominated to official posts);
- Number of recommendations to policymakers, staff serving advisory roles to policymakers, awards given to scholars;
- Usefulness of the organization's information in advocacy work, preparing legislation or testimony, preparing academic papers or presentations, conducting research, or teaching;
- Organization's ability to produce new knowledge or alternative ideas on policy;
- Ability to bridge the gap between academic and policy communities and policymakers and the public;
- Ability to include new voices in the policymaking process;
- Ability of the organization to be inscribed within issue and policy networks; and
- Success in challenging the traditional wisdom of policymakers and for generating innovative policy ideas.

Your participation in the process helps us recognize centers of excellence in public policy research, analysis and engagement organizations (think tanks) that are operating in governments and civil societies around the world.

A few important ground rules:

***You cannot rank your own institution.

***Adhere to professional conduct by revealing and avoiding any potential conflicts of interest.

***Use the selection criteria provided as a tool when evaluating organizations when ranking each group of institutions.

***Avoid political, ideological and discipline bias when ranking institutions.

You have 1 month to complete the rankings process. You can reenter the survey as frequently as you like but **YOU MUST KEEP THE ORIGINAL LINK THAT IS PROVIDED IN THE FIRST PARAGRAPH OF THIS EMAIL. PLEASE NOTE IT IS UNIQUE TO YOUR EMAIL ADDRESS AND CANNOT BE SHARED WITH OTHERS.** Please complete the rankings process by November 30th, 2012.

Should you have any questions, comments or suggestions don't hesitate to contact me to discuss them. For more information on the Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program, please see the description below. If you feel there is a glowing omission or error please bring it to our attentions and we will share it with the Expert Panel.

Please note: If you do not wish to receive further emails from us, please click the link below, and you will be automatically removed from our mailing list
<http://www.surveymonkey.com/optout.aspx>

Thank you for your participation and continued interest in our research on think tanks and civil societies around the world.

Sincerely,

James G. McGann

Think Tank and Civil Societies Program

The Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program (TTCSP) at the International Relations Program, University of Pennsylvania conducts research on the role policy institutes play in governments and civil societies around the world. TTCSP was established in 1989. TTCSP maintains a database and network of over 6,500 think tanks in 152 countries. Often referred to as the "think tanks' think tank," TTCSP examines the evolving role and character of public policy research organizations. Over the last 25 years, the Program has developed and led a series of global initiatives that have helped bridge the gap between knowledge and policy in critical policy areas such as international peace and security, globalization and governance, international economics, environmental issues, information and society, poverty alleviation, and healthcare/global health. These international collaborative efforts are designed to establish regional and international networks of policy institutes and communities that improve policy making while strengthening democratic institutions and civil societies around the world. TTCSP works with leading scholars and practitioners from

think tanks and universities in a variety of collaborative efforts and programs and maintains the world's leading research database and directory of think tanks. TTCSP produces the annual Global Go To Think Tank Index that ranks world's leading think tanks with the help of a panel of over 1,500 peer institutions and experts from the print and electronic media, academia, public and private donor institutions, and governments around the world.

D. SAMPLE EMAIL TO REGIONAL AND FUNCTIONAL AREA EXPERT
PANEL MEMBERS REQUESTING THEIR REVIEW OF THE PENULTIMATE
2011 LIST OF INSTITUTIONS TO BE RANKED IN ROUND II

Emails sent on or around September 15, 2012

Expert Panel Review Period closed on October 10, 2012

255 South 36th Street
638 Williams Hall
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104
TEL. (215) 746-2928
FAX (215) 732-4401
EMAIL: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu

September 12, 2011

Dear Allison:

I hope all is well with you and your fall semester is off to a great start. Can I ask you to review the list of nominated think tanks in the following two categories: 1) top think tanks in Asia and 2) Top Security and International Affairs think tanks and let me know if you find any errors, translation typos or serious omissions? We are about to launch Round II where all of the nominated think tanks will be ranked and I want to make sure there are not serious issues with the list of nominees. Thanks in advance for your assistance with the ranking process.

All the best,
Jim McGann

2012 Nominated Top Think Tanks in Asia:

Alternate Solutions Institute (Pakistan)
Asan Institute (South Korea)
Asia Forum Japan (AFJ), (Japan)
Asian Development Bank Institute (Japan)
Australian Institute for International Affairs (AIIA) (Australia)
Bangladesh Institute for Development Studies (BIDS), (Bangladesh)
Cambodian Institute for Cooperation and Peace, (Cambodia)
Carnegie Endowment for International Peace–Tsinghua Center for Global Policy (China)
Cathay Institute for Public Affairs, (China)
Center for Civil Society (India)
Center for Economic Research (Uzbekistan)
Center for Free Enterprise (South Korea)
Center for International and Strategic Studies and Peking University (China)
Center for Policy Research (India)

Center for Study of Science, Technology and Policy, (India)
 Centre for Independent Studies, (Australia)
 Centre for Policy Dialogue, (Bangladesh)
 Centre for Policy Research, (India)
 Centre for Public Policy Studies (Malaysia)
 Centre for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (Indonesia)
 Centre for Strategic Studies (CSS), (New Zealand)
 Centre for the Study of Developing Societies, (India)
 China Institutes of Contemporary International Relations (CICIR) (China)
 China Institute for International Studies (CIIS) (China)
 Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS) (China)
 Delhi Policy Group (India)
 Development Alternatives (India)
 Development Institute (KDI) (South Korea)
 Development Research Center, State Council, (China)
 East Asia Institute (South Korea)
 East Asian Institute (Singapore)
 Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (Indonesia)
 Energy Research Institute (TERI) (India)
 Foundation for Tolerance International, (Kyrgyzstan)
 Hong Kong Centre for Economic Research (Hong Kong)
 Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations (ICRIER) (India)
 Institute for Defense Studies and Analyses (IDSA) (India)
 Institute for Foreign Affairs and National Security (IFANS), (South Korea)
 Institute for International Policy Studies (Japan)
 Institute for National Policy Research (INPR), (Taiwan)
 Institute for Social and Environmental Transition, (Nepal)
 Institute of Developing Economies, Japan External Trade Organization (IDE-JETRO) (Japan)
 Institute of Economic Growth, (India)
 Institute of Governance Studies, (Bangladesh)
 Institute of International Policy Studies (IIPS) (Japan)
 Institute of Peace and Conflict Studies (IPCS), (India)
 Institute of Security and International Studies (ISIS), (Thailand)
 Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS) (Singapore)
 Institute of Strategic and Development Studies (ISDS), (Philippines)
 Institute of Strategic and International Studies (ISIS) (Malaysia)
 Japan Center for International Exchange (JCIE) (Japan)
 Japan Institute for International Development (Japan)
 Japan Institute of International Affairs (JIIA) (Japan)
 Korea Development Institute (KDI) (South Korea)
 Korea Foundation (South Korea)
 Korea Institute for Economic Policy (South Korea)
 Korea Institute for National Unification (KINU), (South Korea)
 Korean Energy Economics Institute (KEEI) (South Korea)
 Lee Kuan Yew School of Public Policy, Institute of Policy Studies (IPS) (Singapore)
 Liberty Institute (India)

Lion Rock Institute (Hong Kong)
Lowy Institute for International Policy (Australia)
National Council of Applied Economic Research, (India)
National Institute for Defense Studies (NIDS) (Japan)
National Institute for Research Advancement (NIRA) (Japan)
Observer Research Foundation (India)
Philippine Institute for Development Studies, (Philippines)
Political Risks Assessment Group, (Kazakhstan)
S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies (RSIS), formerly known as FNA Institute of
Defence and Strategic Studies (IDSS) (Singapore)
Regional Centre for Strategic Studies (RCSS) (Sri Lanka)
Research Centre (SHARQ), (Tajikistan)
Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI) (Japan)
Shanghai Institute for International Studies (SIIS) (China)
Singapore Institute of International Affairs (ISIS) (Singapore)
Strategic and Defense Studies Centre (SDSC), Australian National University, (Australia)
Sustainable Development Policy Institute, (Pakistan)
Taiwan Foundation for Democracy (Taiwan)
Tokyo Foundation for Global Studies, (Japan)
Unirule Institute for Economics (China)
United Service Institution of India (India)

James G. McGann, Ph.D.
Assistant Director, International Relations Program
Director, Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program
University of Pennsylvania
635 Williams Hall
255 S. 36th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6304

Main Office: 215 898-0452
Direct Line: 215 746-2928
Mobile: 215 206-1799
Email: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu
IR Web site: <http://www.sas.upenn.edu/irp/>
TTCSP Web site: <http://gotothinktank.com>

E. SAMPLE EMAIL TO REGIONAL AND FUNCTIONAL AREA EXPERT
PANEL MEMBERS REQUESTING THEIR REVIEW OF THE DRAFT 2011
GLOBAL GO TO THINK TANK INDEX

Emails sent on or around December 1, 2012

Expert Panel Review Period Closed in December, 2012

255 South 36th Street
638 Williams Hall
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104
TEL. (215) 746-2928
FAX (215) 732-4401
EMAIL: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu

December 1, 2012

Dear George:

I hope all is well with you. The 2012 rankings process is now complete. I am now seeking the input of members of the Expert Panel to help review the 2012 Global Go-To Think Tank Index. Can I please ask you to review the list of the top think tanks in the following two categories: 1) top think tanks in Africa and 2) International Development think tanks and let me know if you find any errors, translation typos or other issues I should be aware of with the institutions that appear on the lists? We are about to draft the final report and I want to make sure there are not serious issues with the rankings. Thanks in advance for your assistance with the ranking process.

All the best,
Jim McGann

James G. McGann, Ph.D.
Assistant Director, International Relations Program
Director, Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program
University of Pennsylvania
635 Williams Hall
255 S. 36th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6304

Main Office: 215 898-0452
Direct Line: 215 746-2928
Mobile: 215 206-1799
Email: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu
IR Web site: <http://www.sas.upenn.edu/irp/>
TTCSP Web site: <http://gotothinktank.com>

BACKGROUND ON THE THINK TANKS AND CIVIL SOCIETIES PROGRAM

The Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program (TTCSP) was established in 1989 at the Foreign Policy Research Institute in Philadelphia. In 2008, TTCSP relocated to the International Relations Program at the University of Pennsylvania. The Program conducts research on the role policy institutes play in governments and in civil societies around the world. Often referred to as the “think tanks’ think tank,” TTCSP examines the evolving role and character of public policy research organizations, specializing in researching the challenges think tanks face and developing strategies and programs to strengthen the capacity and performance of think tanks worldwide. Over the last twenty-six years, TTCSP has launched a number of global initiatives that have helped bridge the gap between knowledge and policy in critical fields such as international peace and security, globalization and governance, international economics, environmental protection, information and society, poverty alleviation, and healthcare. These international collaborative efforts seek to establish regional and international networks of policy institutions and communities that might help to improve policymaking and to strengthen democratic institutions and civil societies around the world. The Program works with some of the leading private foundations, intergovernmental organizations, think tanks, and universities in the context of a variety of collaborative efforts and programs. For additional information about our publications and programs, contact our Director, James G. McGann, at: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu.

Research on Think Tanks and Civil Societies

TTCSP conducts research on the role of think tanks both in the policymaking process and as key civil society actors in countries around the world.

Think Tank Capacity Building Program (TTCBP)

TTCBP conducts research and provides technical assistance on a wide range of environmental challenges and organizational development issues facing think tanks and policy communities around the globe. In addition, TTCBP develops and implements strategies that help to increase the capacity of think tanks so that they can better serve policymakers and the public.

Database and Directories

TTCSP developed the first comprehensive, interactive, global, multi-sectoral database and directory of think tanks. Most databases and directories are organized by discipline, country, or region. The Program maintains the only multi-sectoral database of think tanks, consisting of over 11,000 think tanks in over 160 countries. The Program further has created and maintained specialized databases of think tanks in areas such as development, democracy, security, international affairs, and healthcare.

THE RESEARCH TEAM

PROGRAM DIRECTOR

James G. McGann, Ph.D., is the Assistant Director of the International Relations Program and the Director of the Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program at the University of Pennsylvania. He conducts research on the trends and challenges facing think tanks and policymakers around the world and provides advice and technical assistance to think tanks, governments, and public and private donors on how to improve the quality and impact of policy research. He is also a senior fellow at the Foreign Policy Research Institute, a Philadelphia-based think tank. Prior to coming to the University of Pennsylvania, Dr. McGann was an Assistant Professor of Political Science at Villanova University, where he taught courses on international relations, international organizations, and international law.

Dr. McGann has served as a consultant and advisor to the World Bank, United Nations, United States Agency for International Development, the Soros, Rockefeller, MacArthur, Hewlett, and Gates Foundations, the Carnegie Corporation, and various foreign governments on the role of non-governmental, public policy, and public engagement organizations in civil society. He has served as the Senior Vice President for the Executive Council on Foreign Diplomats, the Public Policy Program Officer for the Pew Charitable Trusts, the Assistant Director of the Institute of Politics at the John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, and a senior advisor to the Citizens' Network for Foreign Affairs and the Society for International Development.

Among Dr. McGann's publications are *Competition for Dollars, Scholars, and Influence in the Public Policy Research Industry* (University Press of America 1995); *The International Survey of Think Tanks* (Foreign Policy Research Institute 1999); *Think Tanks and Civil Societies: Catalyst for Ideas and Action*, co-edited with Kent B. Weaver (Transaction Publishers 2000); *Comparative Think Tanks, Politics, and Public Policy* (Edward Elgar 2005); *Think Tanks and Policy Advice in the U.S.: Academics, Advisors, and Advocates* (Routledge 2007); *Global Trends and Transitions: 2007 Survey of Think Tanks* (Foreign Policy Research Institute 2008); *Think Tank Index* (Foreign Policy Magazine 2009); *The 2008 Global Go To Think Tank Rankings* (IRP, University of Pennsylvania 2009); *Democratization and Market Reform: Think Tanks as Catalysts* (Routledge 2009); *Catalysts for Economic Growth and Development: The Role of Think Tanks in Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa* (CIPE 2009); *The 2009 Global Go To Think Tank Rankings* (IRP, University of Pennsylvania 2010); and *Global Think Tanks, Policy Networks and Governance* (Routledge 2010).

RESEARCH INTERNS

Aaron Schwartzbaum

Alexandra Jeszeck

Andrew Metrick

Courtney McMahon

Debby Chiang

Jillian Rafferty

John Colavita

Kari Abromitis

Laura Bove

Mariana Frias

Ola Aboukhsaiwan

Sandra Mazlagic

Sophie Kim

Tim Connors

THINK TANK AND CIVIL SOCIETIES PROGRAM

The Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program (TTCSP) examines the role policy institutes play in governments and in civil societies around the world. Often referred to as the “think tanks’ think tank,” TTCSP examines the evolving role and character of public policy research organizations. Over the last 25 years the Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program has laid the foundation for a global initiative that will help bridge the gap between knowledge and policy in critical policy areas such as international peace and security, globalization and governance, international economics, environment, information and society, poverty alleviation and health. This international collaborative effort is designed to establish regional and international networks of policy institutes and communities that will improve policymaking and strengthen democratic institutions and civil societies around the world. The Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program works with some of the leading scholars and practitioners from think tanks and universities in a variety of collaborative efforts and programs.

THINK TANKS AND CIVIL SOCIETIES PROGRAM © 2013, University of Pennsylvania, International Relations Program

All rights reserved. Except for short quotes, no part of this document and presentation may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program.

All requests, questions and comments should be sent to:

James G. McGann, Ph.D.
Director
Think Tanks and Civil Societies Program
International Relations Program
University of Pennsylvania
635 Williams Hall
255 S. 36th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6305 U.S.A.

Telephone: +1-215-746-2928 or +1-215-732 3774 ext. 209

Email: jmcgann@sas.upenn.edu